PLANNING AND ZONING CASE CHECKLIST

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

P&Z CASE # Z2022-010 **P&Z DATE** 03/15/22 **CC DATE** 03/21/22 **APPROVED/DENIED**

ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD DATE_____ **HPAB DATE**_____ **PARK BOARD DATE**_____

ZONING APPLICATION
☐ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT
☐ ZONING CHANGE
☐ PD CONCEPT PLAN
☐ PD DEVELOPMENT PLAN

SITE PLAN APPLICATION
☐ SITE PLAN
☐ LANDSCAPE PLAN
☐ TREESCAPE PLAN
☐ PHOTOMETRIC PLAN
☐ BUILDING ELEVATIONS
☐ MATERIAL SAMPLES
☐ COLOR RENDERING

PLATTING APPLICATION
☐ MASTER PLAT
☐ PRELIMINARY PLAT
☐ FINAL PLAT
☐ REPLAT
☐ ADMINISTRATIVE/MINOR PLAT
☐ VACATION PLAT
☐ LANDSCAPE PLAN
☐ TREESCAPE PLAN

- ☐ COPY OF ORDINANCE (ORD.#_____)
- ☐ APPLICATIONS
- ☐ RECEIPT
- ☐ LOCATION MAP
- ☐ HOA MAP
- ☐ PON MAP
- ☐ FLU MAP
- ☐ NEWSPAPER PUBLIC NOTICE
- ☐ 500-FT. BUFFER PUBLIC NOTICE
- ☐ PROJECT REVIEW
- ☐ STAFF REPORT
- ☐ CORRESPONDENCE
- ☐ COPY-ALL PLANS REQUIRED
- ☐ COPY-MARK-UPS
- ☐ CITY COUNCIL MINUTES-LASERFICHE
- ☐ MINUTES-LASERFICHE
- ☐ PLAT FILED DATE_____
  - ☐ CABINET #_____
  - ☐ SLIDE #_____

NOTES:_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

ZONING MAP UPDATED_____

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY

PLANNING & ZONING CASE NO. Z2022-010

NOTE: *THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.*

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:

CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ MASTER PLAT ($100.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ PRELIMINARY PLAT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ FINAL PLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ REPLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ($150.00)
- ☐ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ($100.00)

SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ SITE PLAN ($250.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN ($100.00)

ZONING APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ ZONING CHANGE ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1 & 2]
- ☑ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]

OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ TREE REMOVAL ($75.00)
- ☐ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS ($100.00) [2]

NOTES:
[1]: IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.
[2]: A ***$1,000.00*** FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

ADDRESS	1649 FM 1141, Rockwall, TX 75087		
SUBDIVISION	ABS A0122, MB Jones, Tract 4-01	LOT	BLOCK
GENERAL LOCATION	Approximatly 1250 feet east of the intersection John King and FM 1141 South of 1141		

ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

CURRENT ZONING	Agricultural	CURRENT USE	Agricultural
PROPOSED ZONING	Planned Development District	PROPOSED USE	Single Family
ACREAGE	93.97	LOTS [CURRENT] 1	LOTS [PROPOSED] 182

☐ ***SITE PLANS AND PLATS:*** *BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.*

OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]

☐ OWNER	Mike Peoples	☐ APPLICANT	Michael Joyce Properties
CONTACT PERSON	Mike Peoples	CONTACT PERSON	Ryan Joyce
ADDRESS	1850 FM 1141	ADDRESS	767 Justin Rd
CITY, STATE & ZIP	Rockwall, TX 75087	CITY, STATE & ZIP	Rockwall, TX 75087
PHONE		PHONE	512-965-6280
E-MAIL		E-MAIL	ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED Mike Peoples [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:

"I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF $_____, TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE 14 DAY OF Feb, 20 22 BY SIGNING THIS APPLICATION, I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL (I.E. "CITY") IS AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION."

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE ON THIS THE 14 DAY OF Feb, 20 22

OWNER'S SIGNATURE

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS TEXAS

ROBERT LEE BASS
NOTARY PUBLIC
ID# 12344082
State of Texas
Comm. Exp. 06-05-2024

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 06-05-2024

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

PLATTING APPLICATION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS [ALL PLAT TYPES]

[ ] *PLAT TYPE.*

- [ ] *MINOR/AMENDING PLAT.*
- [ ] *MASTER PLAT.*
- [ ] *PRELIMINARY PLAT.*
- [ ] *FINAL PLAT.*
- [ ] *REPLAT.*
- [ ] *VACATION PLAT.*

Staff requires two (2), 18" x 24" copies be submitted at the time the application is submitted. See *Folding Requirements* in this development packet.

[ ] *DIGITAL COPY OF THE PLAT.* The following is required with all plat submittals:

- [ ] *ONE (1) PDF COPY OF THE PLAT*
- [ ] *ONE (1) SURVEY BOUNDARY CLOSURE REPORT.*

** AFTER APPROVAL STAFF WILL REQUIRE ONE (1) AUTOCAD/ESRI (DWG, DXF, OR SHP FILE FORMAT) FILE*

[ ] *TREESCAPE PLAN [IF APPLICABLE].*

[ ] *LANDSCAPE PLAN [IF APPLICABLE].*

[ ] *APPLICATION AND APPLICATION FEE.*

SITE PLAN APPLICATION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

[ ] *PLAN SET.* A plan set is composed of the following items (failure to submit all of the items required *shall constitute an incomplete application*):

- [ ] *SITE PLAN.*
- [ ] *LANDSCAPE PLAN.*
- [ ] *TREESCAPE PLAN.*
- [ ] *PHOTOMETRIC PLAN.*
- [ ] *BUILDING ELEVATIONS.*

Staff requires the submittal of two (2) complete plan sets and one (1) digital copy in .pdf format with a site plan application. All sheets submitted to staff shall be 24" x 36", arranged into sets, and be folded to a 9" x 12" *Tri-Fold* with the project title or identifier facing out.

[ ] *PHYSICAL BUILDING MATERIAL SAMPLE BOARD (11" x 17").* See the *Material Sample Board Design Guidelines* in this development packet. Individual material samples or sample boards that are larger than *11" x 17"* will *not* be accepted.

[ ] *VARIANCE REQUEST LETTER.* The letter must indicate the variance being requested, the reason for the variance, *and the two (2) required compensatory measures being offered to off-set the variance* in accordance with the requirements of the UDC.

[ ] *APPLICATION AND APPLICATION FEE.*

ZONING CHANGE APPLICATION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

will be submitted before P&Z. ok'd by Ryan Miller

[ ] *LEGAL DESCRIPTION.* A legal description consists of written words that delineate a specific piece of real property. A legal description may be a metes and bounds description or, if the property is platted, a copy of the plat may be submitted to verify the lot and block survey system.

[✓] *ZONING EXHIBIT OR CONCEPT PLAN.* A zoning exhibit is generally a survey, plat, concept plan or other visual description of the property [Specific Use Permit (SUP) exhibits can be a site plan or building elevations; check with staff for prior to submitting an application for what is appropriate]. Staff requires two (2), 24" x 36" copies and one digital copy (PDF preferred) be submitted at the time the application is submitted. All submittals should be folded individually so that the project title or identifier is facing out. *In order to reduce the applicant's costs and to conserve paper, staff may accept other sheet sizes for a submittal if an appropriate scale and level of detail is maintained. Check with staff prior to submitting a small or larger drawing size.*

[✓] *LETTER OF EXPLANATION.* A letter from the applicant or property owner explaining the purpose of the zoning application.

[✓] *APPLICATION AND APPLICATION FEE.*

IT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU AS AN APPLICANT TO RETURN A FULLY COMPLETED APPLICATION PACKAGE. INCOMPLETE APPLICATION PACKAGES WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED BY STAFF AND WILL BE RETURNED TO APPLICANTS. DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167, APPLICATIONS AND APPLICATION PACKAGES WILL ONLY BE ACCEPTED ON THE SUBMITTAL DATE AND EARLY AND/OR LATE APPLICATIONS WILL BE RETURNED.

JOHNSON VOLK
CONSULTING
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, Texas 75074 | 972.201.3100

February 18, 2022

City of Rockwall
Planning Department
385 South Goliad
Rockwall, TX 75087

RE: Vallis Greene – Zoning Application

Dear Staff,

The purpose of this letter is to formally request a zoning change for the referenced property located south of FM 1141 and north of SH 66. The subject development will consist of +/-182 single family lots on a 93.97 acre tract. After meeting with staff at a Development Review Committee Meeting, the Concept Plan included with this submittal is representative of our proposed vision for the property.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions regarding this project or application.

Sincerely,

Johnson Volk, Inc.

Tom Dayton, PE



LOT TYPE	A	B	C	D	E
LOT COUNT	**9**	**29**	**46**	**21**	**77**
MINIMUM LOT AREA (SF)	13,000	10,000	9,000	8,400	7,200
MINIMUM LOT WIDTH (FT)*	100	80	70	70	60
MINIMUM LOT DEPTH (FT)	130	120	115	110	110
FRONT BUILDING SETBACK (FT)	25	20	20	20	20
REAR BUILDING SETBACK (FT)	25	20	20	20	20
SIDE BUILDING SETBACK - INTERIOR (FT)	15	6	6	6	6
SIDE BUILDING SETBACK - CORNER (FT)	15	15	15	15	15
MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT	35 FEET / 2.5 STORIES				
MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE (%)	50	65	65	65	65

*Measured at front building setback

OPEN SPACE	ACRES
FLOOD PLAIN	29.72
OTHER	12.93
TOTAL	42.65

OPEN SPACE RATIO*
[(29.72/2) + 12.93] / 93.97 = 29.6%

*ASSUMING HALF OF FLOODPLAIN

JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING
TBPELS: Engineering Firm No. 11962 / Land Surveying Firm No. 10194033
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, TX 75074 | 972.201.3100

CONCEPT PLAN
VALLIS GREENE
93.97 ACRES
ROCKWALL TEXAS

LOT TYPE	MIN. LOT AREA (SF)
A	13,000
B	10,000
C	9,000
D	8,400
E	7,200

100 50 0 100
SCALE 1" = 100'

Density and Development Standards.

(1) *Permitted Uses.* Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District document, the following uses are permitted on the *Subject Property*:

(a) *Residential Land Uses*. Residential land uses shall be allowed only within the area designated for residential lots as depicted on the *Concept Plan* in *Exhibit 'A'* of this document. These areas are limited to those uses permit *by-right* or by Specific Use Permit (SUP) for the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as stipulated by the *Permissible Use Charts* contained in Article 04, *Permissible Uses*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

(2) *Residential Lot Composition and Layout.* The lot layout and composition shall generally conform to the *Concept Plan* depicted in *Exhibit 'A'* and stated in *Table 1*, which is as follows:

Table 1: Lot Composition

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size (FT)*	*Minimum Lot Size (SF)*	*Dwelling Units (#)*	*Dwelling Units (%)*
A	100' x 130'	13,000 SF	9	04.95%
B	82' x 120'	10,000 SF	31	17.03%
C	72' x 110'	9, 000 SF	42	23.08%
D	72' x 110'	8,400 SF	23	12.64%
E	62' x 110'	7,200 SF	77	42.30%
		Maximum Permitted Units:	*182*	*100.00%*

(3) *Variation in Residential Lot Composition*. The allocation of single-family residential lot types may deviate from that in Subsection (2), *Residential Lot Composition and Layout*, provided that the maximum allowed total dwelling units does not exceed *182* units provided [*1*] a minimum of 57.69% of the total lot count be comprised of *Lot Types 'A', 'B', 'C' & 'D'*, [*2*] a maximum of 9 *Lot Type 'A'* lots and 31 *Lot Type 'B'* lots are provided, and [*3*] a maximum of 42.30% *Lot Type 'E'* lots can be provided.

(4) *Density and Dimensional Requirements.* Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District document, the development standards stipulated for the *Subject Property* shall be as follows:

(a) *Residential*. Except as modified by this Planned Development District document, residential land uses on the *Subject Property* shall be required to meet the development standards for the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as specified by Article 05, *District Development Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC). The maximum permissible density for the *Subject Property* shall not exceed *1.98* dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed *182* units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in *Table 2*, which are as follows:

Table 2: Lot Dimensional Requirements

Lot Type (see Concept Plan) ►	***A***	***B***	***C***	***D***	***E***
Minimum Lot Width [1]	100'	82'	72'	72'	65'
Minimum Lot Depth	130'	120'	110'	110'	110'
Minimum Lot Area (SF)	13,000	10,000	9,000	8,400	7,200
Minimum Front Yard Setback [2], [5] & [6]	20'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Side Yard Setback	10'	6'	6'	6'	6'
Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) [2] & [5]	10'	10'	10'	10'	10'
Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement	20'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Maximum Height [3]	35'	35'	35'	35'	35'
Minimum Rear Yard Setback [4]	10'	10'	10'	10'	10'
Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space]	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,000	2,000
Maximum Lot Coverage	65'	65'	65'	65'	65'

General Notes:

[1]: Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by 20% as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the *Front Yard Building Setback*. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in *Table 1*.

[2]: The location of the *Front Yard Building Setback* as measured from the front property line.

[3]: The *Maximum Height* shall be measured to the eave or top plate (*whichever is greater*) of the single-family home.

[4]: The location of the *Rear Yard Building Setback* as measured from the rear property line.

[5]: Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the *Front Yard Building Setback* by up to ten (10) feet for any property; however, the encroachment shall not be on *Side Yard Setbacks*. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15-feet in width that has glass on at least 50% of each of the encroaching faces.

[6]: *J-Swing* or *Traditional Swing Garages* are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a maximum of five (5) feet.

(5) *Building Standards for Residential.* All residential development shall adhere to the following building standards:

(a) *Masonry Requirement.* The minimum masonry requirement for the exterior façade of all buildings shall be 90% (*excluding dormers and walls over roof areas*); however, no individual façade shall be less than 85% masonry. For the purposes of this document, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementitious fiberboard (*e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank*) in a horizontal lap-siding, *board-and-batten* siding, or a decorative pattern (*see examples below*) may be used for up to 50% of the masonry requirement; however, a Specific Use Permit (SUP) may be requested for housing plans that utilize cementitous fiberboard in excess of 50% of the masonry requirement.

Examples of Cementitious Fiberboard



Examples of Board and Batten

Examples of Horizontal Lap

(b) *Roof Pitch*. A minimum of an *8:12* roof pitch is required on all structures with the exception of dormers, sunrooms and porches, which shall have a minimum of a *4:12* roof pitch.

(c) *Garage Orientation and Garage Doors*. A total of 50% of all garages may be oriented toward the street in a *Front Entry* garage configuration; however, the front façade of

the garage must be situated a minimum of five (5) feet behind the front façade of the primary structure. The remaining 50% of all garages shall be oriented in a *J-Swing* (*or Traditional Swing*) configuration or be situated a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the home. In addition, the following architectural elements must be incorporated into all garage configurations: [*1*] coach lighting, [*2*] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, and [*3*] driveways must be constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, broom finished, or salt finish. An example of a garage door meeting these standards is depicted in *Figure 1*.

Figure 1. Examples of Enhanced Wood Garage Door

(6) *Anti-Monotony Restrictions*. The development shall adhere to the Anti-Monotony Matrix depicted in *Table 3* below (*for spacing requirements see Figures 3 & 4 below*).

Table 3: Anti-Monotony Matrix

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size*	*Elevation Features*
A	100' x 130'	(1), (2), (3), (4)
B	82' x 120'	(1), (2), (3), (4)
C	72' x 110'	(1), (2), (3), (4)
D	72' x 110'	(1), (2), (3), (4)
E	62' x 110'	(1), (2), (3), (4)

(a) Identical brick blends or paint colors may not occur on adjacent (*side-by-side*) properties along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing materials on the same side of the street beginning with the adjacent property and six (6) intervening homes of differing materials on the opposite side of the street.

(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same side of the street and six (6) intervening homes of differing appearance on the opposite side of the street. The rear elevation of homes backing to open spaces, FM-549 or Wylie Road shall not repeat without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:

(1) Number of Stories

(2) Permitted Encroachment Type and Layout
(3) Roof Type and Layout
(4) Articulation of the Front Façade

(c) Permitted encroachment (*i.e. porches and sunroom*) elevations shall not repeat or be the same along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of sufficient dissimilarity on the same side of the street beginning with the home adjacent to the subject property and six (6) intervening homes beginning with the home on the opposite side of the street.

(d) Each phase of the subdivision will allow for a maximum of four (4) compatible roof colors, and all roof shingles shall be an architectural or dimensional shingle (*3-Tab Roofing Shingles are prohibited*).

Figure 3: Properties line up on the opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.



Figure 4: Properties do not line up on opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.



(7) <u>*Fencing Standards*</u>. All individual residential fencing and walls shall be architecturally compatible with the design, materials and colors of the primary structure on the same lot, and meet the following standards:

(a) <u>*Front Yard Fences*</u>. Front yard fences shall be prohibited.

(b) <u>*Wood Fences*</u>. All solid fencing shall be constructed utilizing standard cedar fencing materials (*spruce fencing is prohibited*) that are a minimum of ½-inch or greater in thickness. Fences shall be *board-on-board* panel fence that is constructed a minimum of six (6) feet in height and a maximum of eight (8) feet in height. Posts, fasteners, and bolts shall be formed from hot dipped galvanized or stainless steel.

All cedar pickets shall be placed on the public side (*i.e. facing streets, alleys, open space, parks, and/or neighboring properties*). All posts and/or framing shall be placed on the private side (*i.e. facing towards the home*) of the fence. All wood fences shall be smooth finished, free of burs and splinters, and be stained and sealed on both sides of the fence. Painting a fence with oil or latex based paint shall be prohibited.

(c) *Wrought Iron/Tubular Steel*. Lots located along the perimeter of roadways (*i.e. FM-1141*), abutting open spaces, greenbelts and parks shall be required to install a wrought iron or tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences can be a maximum of six (6) feet in height. Lots one hundred (100) feet and larger shall be a utilize wrought iron/tubular steel fencing exclusively. In the event a 100' lot adjoins an existing home, privacy / wood fencing shall be allowed on the shared property lines with an existing home.

(d) *Corner Lots*. Corner lots fences (*i.e. adjacent to the street*) shall provide masonry columns at 45-feet off center spacing that begins at the rear of the property line. A maximum of six (6) foot solid *board-on-board panel* fence constructed utilizing cedar fencing shall be allowed between the masonry columns along the side and/or rear lot adjacent to a street. In addition, the fencing shall be setback from the side property line adjacent to a street a minimum of five (5) feet. The property owner shall be required to maintain both sides of the fence.

(e) *Solid Fences (including Wood Fences)*. All solid fences shall incorporate a decorative top rail or cap detailing into the design of the fence.

(f) *Fence in Easements*. No fencing shall be constructed in or across North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD) and/or City of Rockwall's easements.

(8) *Landscape and Hardscape Standards*.

(a) *Landscape*. Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*. All *Canopy/Shade Trees* planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all *Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees* shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height. Any residential lot that sides or backs to a major roadway where wrought iron/tubular steel fencing is required, shall also be required to plant a row of shrubs adjacent to the wrought iron/tubular fence within the required 30-foot landscape buffer (*i.e. on the Homeowner's Associations' [HOAs'] property*). These shrubs shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(b) *Landscape Buffers*. All landscape buffers and plantings located within the buffers shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(1) *Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (FM-1141)*. A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along FM-1141 (*outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication*), and shall incorporate ground cover, a *built-up* berm and shrubbery along the frontage adjacent to residential lots. Berms and shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 48-inches each. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage.

A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30-foot landscape buffer.

(c) *Street Trees.* The Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and will be required to maintain a minimum of 14-feet vertical clearance height for any trees overhanging a public right-of-way. Street trees shall be planted a minimum of five (5) feet from public water, sanitary sewer and storm lines. All street trees shall be reviewed with the *PD Site Plan*.

(d) *Residential Lot Landscaping*. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (CO), all residential lots depicted on *Exhibit 'A'* shall be landscaped with a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the front yard. In addition, corner lots shall be required to add a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the side yard facing the street.

(e) *Irrigation Requirements*. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping located within detention areas, common areas, landscape buffers, and/or open space. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(f) *Hardscape.* Hardscape plans indicating the location of all sidewalks and trails shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*.

(9) *Street*. All streets (*excluding drives, fire lanes and private parking areas*) shall be built according to City street standards.

(10) *Lighting*. Light poles shall not exceed 20-feet in total height (*i.e. base and lighting standard*). All fixtures shall be directed downward and be positioned to contain all light within the development area.

(11) *Sidewalks*. All sidewalks adjacent to a street shall be a maximum of two (2) feet inside the right-of-way line and be a minimum of five (5) feet in overall width.

(12) *Buried Utilities*. New distribution power-lines required to serve the *Subject Property* shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the perimeter of the *Subject Property*, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. Temporary power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the *Subject Property* to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.

(13) *Open Space/Public Park*. The development shall consist of a minimum of 20% open space (*or a minimum of 18.794-acres -- as calculated by the formula stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan*), and generally conform to the *Concept Plan* contained in *Exhibit 'A'* of this document.

(14) *Trails*. A minimum of a six (6) foot concrete trail system shall be constructed generally in the same location as the trail system depicted in *Exhibit 'A'* of this document.

(15) *Neighborhood Signage and Enhancements*. Permanent subdivision identification signage shall be required at all major entry points for the proposed subdivision. Final design and location of any entry features shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*. The developer shall provide enhanced landscaping areas at all entry points to the *Subject Property*. The final design of these areas shall be provided on the *PD Site Plan*.

(16) *Homeowner's Association (HOA)*. A Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of *Section 38-15* of the *Subdivision Regulations* contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. The HOA shall also maintain all private neighborhood parks, trails, open space and common areas (*including drainage facilities*), detention and drainage easements, floodplain areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and neighborhood signage associated with this development. These areas are required to be delineated on the *PD Site Plan*.

(17) *Variances*. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) shall apply to any application for variances to this document.

Exhibit 'A'



JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING

AREA	ACRES
SITE	94.0
FLOOD PLAIN	29.7
AVIGATION EASEMENT (A.E.)	7.1
A.E. OUTSIDE FLOODPLAIN	0.9
TOTAL A.E. + FLOODPLAIN	30.8
NET DEVELOPABLE AREA	63.2

CONCEPT SKETCH
PEOPLES TRACT
93.97 ACRES
ROCKWALL TEXAS

LOT SIZE	QTY.
13,000	9
10,000	31
9,000	42
8,400	23
7,200	77
TOTAL	182

SCALE 1" = 100'

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY

PLANNING & ZONING CASE NO. Z2022-010

NOTE: *THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.*

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:

CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ MASTER PLAT ($100.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ PRELIMINARY PLAT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ FINAL PLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ REPLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ($150.00)
- ☐ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ($100.00)

SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ SITE PLAN ($250.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN ($100.00)

ZONING APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ ZONING CHANGE ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1 & 2]
- ☑ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]

OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ TREE REMOVAL ($75.00)
- ☐ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS ($100.00) [2]

NOTES:
[1]: IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.
[2]: A ***$1,000.00*** FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

ADDRESS	1649 FM 1141, Rockwall, TX 75087		
SUBDIVISION	ABS A0122, MB Jones, Tract 4-01	LOT	BLOCK
GENERAL LOCATION	Approximatly 1250 feet east of the intersection John King and FM 1141 South of 1141		

ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

CURRENT ZONING	Agricultural	CURRENT USE	Agricultural
PROPOSED ZONING	Planned Development District	PROPOSED USE	Single Family
ACREAGE	93.97	LOTS [CURRENT] 1	LOTS [PROPOSED] 182

☐ ***SITE PLANS AND PLATS:*** *BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.*

OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]

☐ OWNER	Mike Peoples	☐ APPLICANT	Michael Joyce Properties
CONTACT PERSON	Mike Peoples	CONTACT PERSON	Ryan Joyce
ADDRESS	1850 FM 1141	ADDRESS	767 Justin Rd
CITY, STATE & ZIP	Rockwall, TX 75087	CITY, STATE & ZIP	Rockwall, TX 75087
PHONE		PHONE	512-965-6280
E-MAIL		E-MAIL	ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED Mike Peoples [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:

"I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF $_____, TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE 14 DAY OF Feb, 20 22 BY SIGNING THIS APPLICATION, I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL (I.E. "CITY") IS AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION."

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE ON THIS THE 14 DAY OF Feb, 20 22

OWNER'S SIGNATURE

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS TEXAS

ROBERT LEE BASS
NOTARY PUBLIC
ID# 12344082
State of Texas
Comm. Exp. 06-05-2024

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 06-05-2024



City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.



Case Number: Z2022-010
Case Name: PD Development Plan for Vallis Greene
Case Type: Zoning
Zoning: Agricultural (AG) District
Case Address: 1649 FM 1141



Date Saved: 2/18/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745

City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.



Case Number: Z2022-010
Case Name: PD Development Plan for Vallis Greene
Case Type: Zoning
Zoning: Agricultural (AG) District
Case Address: 1649 FM 1141



Date Saved: 2/18/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745

MAUTNER ERNESTO & BEATRICE
103 N GREENPRINT CIRCLE
TOMBALL, TX 76262

BROWN LARRY MELVIN & MARSHA LIZBETH
1042 LAKE SHORE DR
MESQUITE, TX

SEE BETTY
110 WESTMINISTER
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

PEOPLES MIKE L
111 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RATLIFF JENNIFER L
123 HARKER TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ANDRULAT ALEC J AND VERONICA BITZ
124 HARKER TR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCKEE MARK D
131 MEADOWLARK CIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SQUIER NATALIE
153 MEADOWLARK CIRCLE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAMPTON ELDEN L
1530 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GRIFFITH JESSE JOHN AND SARAH KRISTIN
1578 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WHYDE KIMBERLY
1602 ENCANTO TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX

GIAMPAPA SANTO AND SUSAN
1606 ENCANTO TRL
ROCKWALL, TX

SANDKNOP LES T AND CLAUDIA J
1614 ENCANTO TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX

SHANNON STANLEY W
162 HARKER TRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DENNEY DENNIS W & LINDA L
162 MEADOWLARK CIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
1620 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
1624 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
1628 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL PROPERTY CORPORATION
ATTN BILL BRICKER
1630 WILLIAMS
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
1632 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
1636 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HUDDIN RYAN S AND JADA
1666 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L JR AND
SHERYL NEWMAN PEOPLES
1700 STATE HIGHWAY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CITY OF ROCKWALL
1701 AIRPORT RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WAINNER MATTHEW CODY &
MELANIE RENEE HUMBLE
173 MEADOWLARK CIRCLE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SCHRAMM EDWIN W III & PATSY ANN
1895 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L
1936 HWY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BELEW THOMAS E SR
DIANNE S CURREY
2 NORMAN TRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L
2026 HWY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SALINAS HILSE S
2068 STATE HWY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GILLILAND TOMMY JOE
2098 WILLIAMS
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RANDLE SUSAN AND DERRICE
226 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAIN FAMILY PARTNERSHIP LTD
2294 ESTATE HIGHWAY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MIKE
291 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL PROPERTY CORPORATION
ATTN BILL BRICKER
305 PARK PLACE BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAIN FAMILY PARTNERSHIP LTD
305 STONEBRIDGE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MIKE
333 CORNELIUS
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
361 W BYRON NELSON BLVD SUITE 104
ROANOKE, TX 76262

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
361 W BYRON NELSON BLVD SUITE 104
ROANOKE, TX 76262

DAVIS WILLIAM PAUL JR
510 TURTLE COVE BLVD STE 200
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VACLAVIK JOHN AND MARCILE
610 W COLCHESTER DR
EAGLE, ID

RILEY RODNEY H & JANET E
802 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
806 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
810 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
814 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ALDERMAN CLAUDETTE
815 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
818 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
819 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LANCASTER JAMES EVERETT JR &
GEORGIANA MARIE
820 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

RW LADERA LLC
822 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
825 BELLA CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WALLACE MARTHA G
826 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
826 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MAUTNER ERNESTO & BEATRICE
829 BELLA CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EVANS MARY E
830 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

HUCK WALTER R AND MARY A
830 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
831 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
833 BELLA CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOPKINS TEENA
834 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

PAUL DAVID OLIVIER AND LYN DIANE OLIVIER
FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST
LYN DIANE OLIVIER- SURVIVING TRUSTOR
834 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MURPHY DON & SHARON
837 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

RW LADERA LLC
837 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HENDLEY BEVERLY
838 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
841 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
841RIO VISTALN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOWARD EDWARD L AND BRENDA S
902 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

DELK JEANNETTE FREY
903 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

HAMBLIN DONALD ELEX AND DEBORAH LUCILLE
905 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

EASLEY PHYLLIS
909 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX

JONES BRENDA K & SAM A
910 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX

ROONEY CATHERINE
912 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX

JOHN AND LYNNE MCMAHAN REVOCABLE TRUST
JOHN AND LYNNE MCMAHAN- TRUSTEES
912 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

BROCHON DELILIA A AND MARTIAL H
913 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX

G & C DAVIS FAMILY 2013 TRUST
GREGORY A DAVIS AND CAROL L DAVIS - CO TRUSTEES
916 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

DIMISSEW SAMUEL
956 S WEATHERRED DR
RICHARDSON, TX 75080

LUKER LEO
P.O. BOX 1599
LYTLE, TX 78052

POTTER JOHN D ETUX
PO BOX 259
FATE, TX 75132

PEOPLES MICHAEL L
PO BOX 41
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JOHNSON VOLK
CONSULTING
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, Texas 75074 | 972.201.3100

February 18, 2022

City of Rockwall
Planning Department
385 South Goliad
Rockwall, TX 75087

RE: Vallis Greene – Zoning Application

Dear Staff,

The purpose of this letter is to formally request a zoning change for the referenced property located south of FM 1141 and north of SH 66. The subject development will consist of +/-182 single family lots on a 93.97 acre tract. After meeting with staff at a Development Review Committee Meeting, the Concept Plan included with this submittal is representative of our proposed vision for the property.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions regarding this project or application.

Sincerely,

Johnson Volk, Inc.

Tom Dayton, PE



LOT TYPE	A	B	C	D	E
LOT COUNT	**9**	**29**	**46**	**21**	**77**
MINIMUM LOT AREA (SF)	13,000	10,000	9,000	8,400	7,200
MINIMUM LOT WIDTH (FT)*	100	80	70	70	60
MINIMUM LOT DEPTH (FT)	130	120	115	110	110
FRONT BUILDING SETBACK (FT)	25	20	20	20	20
REAR BUILDING SETBACK (FT)	25	20	20	20	20
SIDE BUILDING SETBACK - INTERIOR (FT)	15	6	6	6	6
SIDE BUILDING SETBACK - CORNER (FT)	15	15	15	15	15
MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT	35 FEET / 2.5 STORIES				
MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE (%)	50	65	65	65	65

*Measured at front building setback

OPEN SPACE	ACRES
FLOOD PLAIN	29.72
OTHER	12.93
TOTAL	42.65

OPEN SPACE RATIO*
[(29.72/2) + 12.93] / 93.97 = 29.6%

*ASSUMING HALF OF FLOODPLAIN

JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING
TBPELS: Engineering Firm No. 11962 / Land Surveying Firm No. 10194033
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, TX 75074 | 972.201.3100

CONCEPT PLAN
VALLIS GREENE
93.97 ACRES
ROCKWALL TEXAS

LOT TYPE	MIN. LOT AREA (SF)
A	13,000
B	10,000
C	9,000
D	8,400
E	7,200

100 50 0 100
SCALE 1" = 100'

Density and Development Standards.

(1) *Permitted Uses.* Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District document, the following uses are permitted on the *Subject Property*:

(a) *Residential Land Uses*. Residential land uses shall be allowed only within the area designated for residential lots as depicted on the *Concept Plan* in *Exhibit 'A'* of this document. These areas are limited to those uses permit *by-right* or by Specific Use Permit (SUP) for the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as stipulated by the *Permissible Use Charts* contained in Article 04, *Permissible Uses*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

(2) *Residential Lot Composition and Layout.* The lot layout and composition shall generally conform to the *Concept Plan* depicted in *Exhibit 'A'* and stated in *Table 1*, which is as follows:

Table 1: Lot Composition

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size (FT)*	*Minimum Lot Size (SF)*	*Dwelling Units (#)*	*Dwelling Units (%)*
A	100' x 130'	13,000 SF	9	04.95%
B	82' x 120'	10,000 SF	31	17.03%
C	72' x 110'	9, 000 SF	42	23.08%
D	72' x 110'	8,400 SF	23	12.64%
E	62' x 110'	7,200 SF	77	42.30%
		Maximum Permitted Units:	*182*	*100.00%*

(3) *Variation in Residential Lot Composition*. The allocation of single-family residential lot types may deviate from that in Subsection (2), *Residential Lot Composition and Layout*, provided that the maximum allowed total dwelling units does not exceed *182* units provided [*1*] a minimum of 57.69% of the total lot count be comprised of *Lot Types 'A', 'B', 'C' & 'D'*, [*2*] a maximum of 9 *Lot Type 'A'* lots and 31 *Lot Type 'B'* lots are provided, and [*3*] a maximum of 42.30% *Lot Type 'E'* lots can be provided.

(4) *Density and Dimensional Requirements.* Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District document, the development standards stipulated for the *Subject Property* shall be as follows:

(a) *Residential*. Except as modified by this Planned Development District document, residential land uses on the *Subject Property* shall be required to meet the development standards for the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as specified by Article 05, *District Development Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC). The maximum permissible density for the *Subject Property* shall not exceed *1.98* dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed *182* units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in *Table 2*, which are as follows:

Table 2: Lot Dimensional Requirements

Lot Type (see Concept Plan) ►	***A***	***B***	***C***	***D***	***E***
Minimum Lot Width [1]	100'	82'	72'	72'	65'
Minimum Lot Depth	130'	120'	110'	110'	110'
Minimum Lot Area (SF)	13,000	10,000	9,000	8,400	7,200
Minimum Front Yard Setback [2], [5] & [6]	20'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Side Yard Setback	10'	6'	6'	6'	6'
Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) [2] & [5]	10'	10'	10'	10'	10'
Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement	20'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Maximum Height [3]	35'	35'	35'	35'	35'
Minimum Rear Yard Setback [4]	10'	10'	10'	10'	10'
Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space]	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,000	2,000
Maximum Lot Coverage	65'	65'	65'	65'	65'

General Notes:

[1]: Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by 20% as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the *Front Yard Building Setback*. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in *Table 1*.

[2]: The location of the *Front Yard Building Setback* as measured from the front property line.

[3]: The *Maximum Height* shall be measured to the eave or top plate (*whichever is greater*) of the single-family home.

[4]: The location of the *Rear Yard Building Setback* as measured from the rear property line.

[5]: Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the *Front Yard Building Setback* by up to ten (10) feet for any property; however, the encroachment shall not be on *Side Yard Setbacks*. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15-feet in width that has glass on at least 50% of each of the encroaching faces.

[6]: *J-Swing* or *Traditional Swing Garages* are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a maximum of five (5) feet.

(5) *Building Standards for Residential.* All residential development shall adhere to the following building standards:

(a) *Masonry Requirement.* The minimum masonry requirement for the exterior façade of all buildings shall be 90% (*excluding dormers and walls over roof areas*); however, no individual façade shall be less than 85% masonry. For the purposes of this document, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementitious fiberboard (*e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank*) in a horizontal lap-siding, *board-and-batten* siding, or a decorative pattern (*see examples below*) may be used for up to 50% of the masonry requirement; however, a Specific Use Permit (SUP) may be requested for housing plans that utilize cementitous fiberboard in excess of 50% of the masonry requirement.

Examples of Cementitious Fiberboard



Examples of Board and Batten

Examples of Horizontal Lap

(b) *Roof Pitch*. A minimum of an *8:12* roof pitch is required on all structures with the exception of dormers, sunrooms and porches, which shall have a minimum of a *4:12* roof pitch.

(c) *Garage Orientation and Garage Doors*. A total of 50% of all garages may be oriented toward the street in a *Front Entry* garage configuration; however, the front façade of

the garage must be situated a minimum of five (5) feet behind the front façade of the primary structure. The remaining 50% of all garages shall be oriented in a *J-Swing* (*or Traditional Swing*) configuration or be situated a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the home. In addition, the following architectural elements must be incorporated into all garage configurations: [*1*] coach lighting, [*2*] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, and [*3*] driveways must be constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, broom finished, or salt finish. An example of a garage door meeting these standards is depicted in *Figure 1*.

Figure 1. Examples of Enhanced Wood Garage Door

(6) *Anti-Monotony Restrictions*. The development shall adhere to the Anti-Monotony Matrix depicted in *Table 3* below (*for spacing requirements see Figures 3 & 4 below*).

Table 3: Anti-Monotony Matrix

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size*	*Elevation Features*
A	100' x 130'	(1), (2), (3), (4)
B	82' x 120'	(1), (2), (3), (4)
C	72' x 110'	(1), (2), (3), (4)
D	72' x 110'	(1), (2), (3), (4)
E	62' x 110'	(1), (2), (3), (4)

(a) Identical brick blends or paint colors may not occur on adjacent (*side-by-side*) properties along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing materials on the same side of the street beginning with the adjacent property and six (6) intervening homes of differing materials on the opposite side of the street.

(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same side of the street and six (6) intervening homes of differing appearance on the opposite side of the street. The rear elevation of homes backing to open spaces, FM-549 or Wylie Road shall not repeat without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:

(1) Number of Stories

(2) Permitted Encroachment Type and Layout
(3) Roof Type and Layout
(4) Articulation of the Front Façade

(c) Permitted encroachment (*i.e. porches and sunroom*) elevations shall not repeat or be the same along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of sufficient dissimilarity on the same side of the street beginning with the home adjacent to the subject property and six (6) intervening homes beginning with the home on the opposite side of the street.

(d) Each phase of the subdivision will allow for a maximum of four (4) compatible roof colors, and all roof shingles shall be an architectural or dimensional shingle (*3-Tab Roofing Shingles are prohibited*).

Figure 3: Properties line up on the opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.



Figure 4: Properties do not line up on opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.



(7) <u>*Fencing Standards*</u>. All individual residential fencing and walls shall be architecturally compatible with the design, materials and colors of the primary structure on the same lot, and meet the following standards:

(a) <u>*Front Yard Fences*</u>. Front yard fences shall be prohibited.

(b) <u>*Wood Fences*</u>. All solid fencing shall be constructed utilizing standard cedar fencing materials (*spruce fencing is prohibited*) that are a minimum of ½-inch or greater in thickness. Fences shall be *board-on-board* panel fence that is constructed a minimum of six (6) feet in height and a maximum of eight (8) feet in height. Posts, fasteners, and bolts shall be formed from hot dipped galvanized or stainless steel.

All cedar pickets shall be placed on the public side (*i.e. facing streets, alleys, open space, parks, and/or neighboring properties*). All posts and/or framing shall be placed on the private side (*i.e. facing towards the home*) of the fence. All wood fences shall be smooth finished, free of burs and splinters, and be stained and sealed on both sides of the fence. Painting a fence with oil or latex based paint shall be prohibited.

(c) *Wrought Iron/Tubular Steel*. Lots located along the perimeter of roadways (*i.e. FM-1141*), abutting open spaces, greenbelts and parks shall be required to install a wrought iron or tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences can be a maximum of six (6) feet in height. Lots one hundred (100) feet and larger shall be a utilize wrought iron/tubular steel fencing exclusively. In the event a 100' lot adjoins an existing home, privacy / wood fencing shall be allowed on the shared property lines with an existing home.

(d) *Corner Lots*. Corner lots fences (*i.e. adjacent to the street*) shall provide masonry columns at 45-feet off center spacing that begins at the rear of the property line. A maximum of six (6) foot solid *board-on-board panel* fence constructed utilizing cedar fencing shall be allowed between the masonry columns along the side and/or rear lot adjacent to a street. In addition, the fencing shall be setback from the side property line adjacent to a street a minimum of five (5) feet. The property owner shall be required to maintain both sides of the fence.

(e) *Solid Fences (including Wood Fences)*. All solid fences shall incorporate a decorative top rail or cap detailing into the design of the fence.

(f) *Fence in Easements*. No fencing shall be constructed in or across North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD) and/or City of Rockwall's easements.

(8) *Landscape and Hardscape Standards*.

(a) *Landscape*. Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*. All *Canopy/Shade Trees* planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all *Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees* shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height. Any residential lot that sides or backs to a major roadway where wrought iron/tubular steel fencing is required, shall also be required to plant a row of shrubs adjacent to the wrought iron/tubular fence within the required 30-foot landscape buffer (*i.e. on the Homeowner's Associations' [HOAs'] property*). These shrubs shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(b) *Landscape Buffers*. All landscape buffers and plantings located within the buffers shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(1) *Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (FM-1141)*. A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along FM-1141 (*outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication*), and shall incorporate ground cover, a *built-up* berm and shrubbery along the frontage adjacent to residential lots. Berms and shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 48-inches each. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage.

A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30-foot landscape buffer.

(c) *Street Trees.* The Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and will be required to maintain a minimum of 14-feet vertical clearance height for any trees overhanging a public right-of-way. Street trees shall be planted a minimum of five (5) feet from public water, sanitary sewer and storm lines. All street trees shall be reviewed with the *PD Site Plan*.

(d) *Residential Lot Landscaping*. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (CO), all residential lots depicted on *Exhibit 'A'* shall be landscaped with a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the front yard. In addition, corner lots shall be required to add a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the side yard facing the street.

(e) *Irrigation Requirements*. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping located within detention areas, common areas, landscape buffers, and/or open space. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(f) *Hardscape.* Hardscape plans indicating the location of all sidewalks and trails shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*.

(9) *Street*. All streets (*excluding drives, fire lanes and private parking areas*) shall be built according to City street standards.

(10) *Lighting*. Light poles shall not exceed 20-feet in total height (*i.e. base and lighting standard*). All fixtures shall be directed downward and be positioned to contain all light within the development area.

(11) *Sidewalks*. All sidewalks adjacent to a street shall be a maximum of two (2) feet inside the right-of-way line and be a minimum of five (5) feet in overall width.

(12) *Buried Utilities*. New distribution power-lines required to serve the *Subject Property* shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the perimeter of the *Subject Property*, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. Temporary power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the *Subject Property* to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.

(13) *Open Space/Public Park*. The development shall consist of a minimum of 20% open space (*or a minimum of 18.794-acres -- as calculated by the formula stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan*), and generally conform to the *Concept Plan* contained in *Exhibit 'A'* of this document.

(14) *Trails*. A minimum of a six (6) foot concrete trail system shall be constructed generally in the same location as the trail system depicted in *Exhibit 'A'* of this document.

(15) *Neighborhood Signage and Enhancements*. Permanent subdivision identification signage shall be required at all major entry points for the proposed subdivision. Final design and location of any entry features shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*. The developer shall provide enhanced landscaping areas at all entry points to the *Subject Property*. The final design of these areas shall be provided on the *PD Site Plan*.

(16) *Homeowner's Association (HOA)*. A Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of *Section 38-15* of the *Subdivision Regulations* contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. The HOA shall also maintain all private neighborhood parks, trails, open space and common areas (*including drainage facilities*), detention and drainage easements, floodplain areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and neighborhood signage associated with this development. These areas are required to be delineated on the *PD Site Plan*.

(17) *Variances*. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) shall apply to any application for variances to this document.

Exhibit 'A'



JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING

AREA	ACRES
SITE	94.0
FLOOD PLAIN	29.7
AVIGATION EASEMENT (A.E.)	7.1
A.E. OUTSIDE FLOODPLAIN	0.9
TOTAL A.E. + FLOODPLAIN	30.8
NET DEVELOPABLE AREA	63.2

CONCEPT SKETCH
PEOPLES TRACT
93.97 ACRES
ROCKWALL TEXAS

LOT SIZE	QTY.
13,000	9
10,000	31
9,000	42
8,400	23
7,200	77
TOTAL	182

SCALE 1" = 100'

PROJECT COMMENTS

CITY OF ROCKWALL
385 S. GOLIAD STREET
ROCKWALL, TEXAS 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7700

DATE: 2/25/2022

PROJECT NUMBER:	Z2022-010	CASE MANAGER:	Ryan Miller
PROJECT NAME:	PD Development Plan for Vallis Greene	CASE MANAGER PHONE:	972-772-6441
SITE ADDRESS/LOCATIONS:	1649 FM 1141, ROCKWALL, 75087	CASE MANAGER EMAIL:	rmiller@rockwall.com

CASE CAPTION: Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

DEPARTMENT	REVIEWER	DATE OF REVIEW	STATUS OF PROJECT
	Ryan Miller	02/24/2022	Approved w/ Comments

02/24/2022: Z2022-010; Zoning Change (AG to PD) for Vallis Greene
Please address the following comments (M= Mandatory Comments; I = Informational Comments)

I.1 This request is for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, and addressed as 1936 SH-66.
I.2 For questions or comments concerning this case please contact Ryan Miller in the Planning Department at (972) 772-6441 or email rcmiller@rockwall.com.
M.3 For reference, include the case number (Z2022-010) in the lower right-hand corner of all pages on future submittals.
I.4 According to the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan the subject property is located within the Northeast Residential District, and according to the Future Land Use Map the subject property is designated for Low Density Residential district land uses. Low Density Residential is defined as 2.0 dwelling units/acre with the ability to increase the density up to 2.50 dwelling units/acre at the discretion of the City Council if they determine that increased amenity is provided.
M.5 The proposed density is 1.93 dwelling units/acre, which is in conformance with the density requirements of the Low Density Residential district land use designation.
I.6 According to the Land Use Plan the Northeast Residential District "… has several large vacant tracts of land suitable for low-density, residential development." Additionally, the District Strategies for this district state that "… (a)ny new Suburban Residential developments should include a mix of larger to mid-sized lots. Lots in these developments should not be smaller than existing Suburban Residential in the district."
M.7 This case requires a survey and legal description prior to City Council action. These items will need to be turned in by no later than March 16, 2022 or the case will be withdrawn by staff.

M.8 Please address the following on the Concept Plan:

(1) Please remove the Density and Dimensional chart off of the Concept Plan.
(2) Please indicate monument signage locations on the Concept Plan.
(3) Please indicate that all lots less than 12,000 SF are located within 800-feet of a public or private open space.
(4) Please add a 20-foot landscape buffer adjacent to the non-residential land use adjacent to the southern boundary (see staff's comments below concerning what is required within this buffer).

M.9 Based on the City's standards and previous Planned Development District ordinances, staff made the following changes to the proposed Density and Dimensional District Standards:

(1) Subsection 3, Variation in Residential Lot Composition. Staff changed #2 from a "…maximum of nine (9) Lot Type 'A' lots and 31 Lot Type 'B' lots…" to a "…minimum of nine (9) Lot Type 'A' lots and 31 Lot Type 'B' lots…".
(2) Subsection 4, Density and Dimensional Requirements. Staff changed the minimum dwelling unit square footage for Lot Type 'A' from 2,200 SF to 2,400 SF to better conform to the SF-16 standard, which is more comparable to the Lot Type 'A' lots than the SF-10 standard. Staff also changed the minimum dwelling unit square footage for Lot Type 'D' from 2,000 square feet to 2,200 SF.
(3) Subsection 4, Density and Dimensional Requirements. Staff changed the maximum lot coverage for the Lot Types 'A', 'B', & 'C' from 65% to 45% to better reflect the SF-10 standard of 45%.
(4) Subsection 4, Density and Dimensional Requirements. Staff changed Note #5 to allow a five (5) foot encroachment as opposed to a ten (10) foot encroachment in the front yard building setback. Staff added Note #7 regulating side yard setbacks for Keystone Lots.
(5) Subsection 5a, Masonry Requirement. Staff removed "…however, no individual façade shall be less than 85% masonry." All facades are typically required to meet the minimum 90% standard. Also changed the language to allow additional flexibility with regard to cementitous materials.
(6) Subsection 5c, Garage Orientation and Garage Doors. Staff removed broom finished and changed it to stain finished. Broom finished driveways are typical and not an enhanced or upgraded standard. Staff also added additional representations of upgraded garage doors and enhancements.
(7) Subsection 8a, Landscape and Hardscape Standards. Added a requirement that all shrubs be a minimum of five (5) gallons. This is a requirement of the Unified Development Code (UDC). Staff also added the word "additional" to the section referring to the row of shrubs adjacent to properties that back to a roadway. This better clarifies the intent of this requirement.
(8) Subsection 8b, Landscape and Hardscape Standards. Added an SH-66 landscape buffer requirement and a landscape buffer requirement for roadways adjacent to open space. Both of these are required by the Unified Development Code (UDC).

M.10 Based on this submittal staff recommends the following:

(1) Staff would recommend incorporating representative examples of entry monumentation to be included in the ordinance. Examples of good representations of existing entry monumentation signage in the City are the Breezy Hill, Stone Creek, and Rockwall Downes Subdivisions.
(2) With regard to park dedication and cash-in-lieu of land fees, there is the possibility of utilizing the City's land adjacent to Cornelius Road for a public park; however, this would be at the discretion of the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission and Parks and Recreation Board. If approved this would allow the City's four (4) acres to be added to the open space for this development and the fees (i.e. cash-in-lieu of land and pro-rata equipment fees) to be used to amenitized this development. Please contact staff to discuss this possibility.
(3) Please note that nothing can be constructed within the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport (see Planning Markups).
(4) Currently, the Unified Development Code (UDC) would require a minimum of a six (6) foot masonry fence adjacent to the non-residential land use along the southern property line; however, this can be substituted for a 20-foot landscape buffer, berm and three (3) tiered landscaping. Staff has added a highlighted section into the draft ordinance proposing this alternative screening. Despite which option is chosen, this will need to be addressed in the draft ordinance.
(5) The OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan stipulates a maximum of 20% flat front entry garages. The 50% being requested has only been approved on one (1) development in this area. Consider reducing this number to a maximum of 35%. This is more characteristic of the percentages granted in this area.
(6) Staff has prepared a marked-up Concept Plan that shows the location of the fencing, setbacks, landscape buffers, comments and recommendations per the Draft Ordinance and these comments.

I.11 With the exception of the proposed garage orientation standard, the proposed development appears to be in general conformance with the goals and policies of the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.
M.12 Please review the attached Draft Ordinance prior to the February 28, 2022 Planning and Zoning Commission Work Session meeting, and provide staff with your markups by no later than March 7, 2022. In reviewing the Draft Ordinance, please pay close attention to staff's suggestions.
I.13 Staff has identified the aforementioned items necessary to continue the submittal process. Please make these revisions and corrections, and provide any additional information that is requested. Revisions for this case will be due on March 7, 2022; however, it is encouraged for applicants to submit revisions as soon as possible to give staff ample time to review the case prior to the March 15, 2022 Planning and Zoning Commission Public Hearing Meeting. The Planning and Zoning Commission Work Session Meeting for this case will be held on February 28, 2022.
I.14 The projected City Council meeting dates for this case will be March 21, 2022 (1st Reading) and April 4, 2022 (2nd Reading).

DEPARTMENT	REVIEWER	DATE OF REVIEW	STATUS OF PROJECT
ENGINEERING	Sarah Johnston	02/24/2022	Needs Review

02/24/2022: - Must install a 12" water line from SH 66 to existing stub out in FM 1141.
- Need a flood study to reclaim the floodplain to install a roadway connecting to SH 66.
- Show the 30' NTMWD easement. No construction in the area with NTMWD approval, including grading.

The following items are informational for the engineering design process.
General Items:
- Must meet City Standards of Design and Construction
- 4% Engineering Inspection Fees
- Impact Fees (Water, Wastewater & Roadway)
- Minimum easement width is 20' for new easements. No structures allowed in easements.
- Retaining walls 3' and over must be engineered.
- All retaining walls must be rock or stone face. No smooth concrete walls.

Drainage Items:
- Detention is required. Not allowed in flood plain or 100 year water surface
- Areas of 20 acres or more draining to a single detention pond must use the unit hydrograph method. Review fees apply.
- Manning's C-value is per zoning type.
- Flood Study is required to define all localized 100-yr flood plains or if you "touch" the existing floodplain. Review fees apply. See the City Standards of Design for flood study requirements.
- Must get a Wetlands/WOTUS study for all ponds and wetland areas.
- Need to show erosion hazard setback. See engineering design standards. Erosion hazard setback will not be in a residential lot.
- Need separate HOA Lot/Drainage Easement on east side of subdivision to collect off-site drainage and collect in ditch/channel/storm system. No Lot to lot drainage allowed.
- Need written permission to build in and around NRCS easement for Greene Lake as well as using it as a detention above the normal water surface. Will need to show NRCS easement on plans and plat. Or written confirmation from NRCS that this isn't one of their lakes.

Water and Wastewater Items:
- Must loop min 8" water line on site.
- Only one "use" off a dead-end line (domestic, irrigation, fire sprinkler, fire hydrant, etc.)
- Minimum public sewer is 8".
- 12" water line to be install along SH 66 and north to tie into the existing 12" water in FM 1141

Roadway Paving Items:
- All streets to be concrete. 50' ROW, 29' back-to-back paving. Streets must be standard curb and gutter style. No asphalt or rock streets.
- Must install alleys at the back of the lots.
- No dead-end streets allowed. Must have a cul-de-sac or turnaround per City Standards.
- TIA will be required for SH 66 and FM 1141 with associated review fees.
- Must meet TxDOT Driveway spacing along SH 66 and FM 1141.
- Dedicate ROW for FM 1141. 85' ROW for an M4D four lane divided roadway. Must dedicate 42.5' from the centerline if not existing.
- Dedicate ROW for SH66. 110' ROW for an P6D four lane divided roadway. Must dedicate 55' from the centerline if not existing.

Landscaping:
- No trees to be with 10' of any public water, sewer or storm line that is 10" in diameter or larger.
- No trees to be with 5' of any public water, sewer, or storm line that is less than 10".
- "Open spaces to be maintained by the property owner/HOA" add note to preliminary plat.

DEPARTMENT	REVIEWER	DATE OF REVIEW	STATUS OF PROJECT

BUILDING	Rusty McDowell	02/22/2022	Approved

No Comments

DEPARTMENT	REVIEWER	DATE OF REVIEW	STATUS OF PROJECT
FIRE	Ariana Kistner	02/23/2022	Approved

No Comments

DEPARTMENT	REVIEWER	DATE OF REVIEW	STATUS OF PROJECT
GIS	Lance Singleton	02/22/2022	Approved

No Comments

DEPARTMENT	REVIEWER	DATE OF REVIEW	STATUS OF PROJECT
POLICE	Ryan Miller	02/24/2022	N/A

No Comments

DEPARTMENT	REVIEWER	DATE OF REVIEW	STATUS OF PROJECT
PARKS	Ryan Miller	02/24/2022	N/A

No Comments

LOT TYPE	A	B	C	D	E
LOT COUNT	9	29	46	21	77
MINIMUM LOT AREA (SF)	13,000	10,000	9,000	8,400	7,200
MINIMUM LOT WIDTH (FT)*	100	80	80	70	60
MINIMUM LOT DEPTH (FT)	130	120	115	110	110
FRONT BUILDING SETBACK (FT)	25	20	20	20	20
REAR BUILDING SETBACK (FT)	25	20	20	20	20
SIDE BUILDING SETBACK - INTERIOR (FT)	15	6	6	6	6
SIDE BUILDING SETBACK - CORNER (FT)	15	15	15	15	15
MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT	35 FEET / 2.5 STORIES				
MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE (%)	50	65	65	65	65

*Measured at front building setback

REMOVE

LSB: LANDSCAPE BUFFER
S: SETBACK
K: KEYSTONE LOTS → SEE NOTE #7 IN ORD.
W: WROUGHT IRON FENCE REQUIRED.
'—': LOCATION OF W
'—': MASONRY COLUMNS W/ BOARD ON BOARD

OPEN SPACE	ACRES
FLOOD PLAIN	29.72
OTHER	12.93
TOTAL	42.65

OPEN SPACE RATIO*
[(29.72/2) + 12.93] / 93.97 = 29.6%

*ASSUMING HALF OF FLOODPLAIN

JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING
TBPELS: Engineering Firm No. 11962 / Land Surveying Firm No. 10194033
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, TX 75074 | 972.201.3100

CONCEPT PLAN
VALLIS GREENE
93.97 ACRES
ROCKWALL TEXAS

LOT TYPE	MIN. LOT AREA (SF)
A	13,000
B	10,000
C	9,000
D	8,400
E	7,200

100 50 0 100
SCALE 1" = 100'



LOT TYPE	A	B	C	D	E
LOT COUNT	9	29	46	21	77
MINIMUM LOT AREA (SF)	13,000	10,000	9,000	8,400	7,200
MINIMUM LOT WIDTH (FT)*	100	80	70	70	60
MINIMUM LOT DEPTH (FT)	130	120	115	110	110
FRONT BUILDING SETBACK (FT)	25	20	20	20	20
REAR BUILDING SETBACK (FT)	25	20	20	20	20
SIDE BUILDING SETBACK - INTERIOR (FT)	15	6	6	6	6
SIDE BUILDING SETBACK - CORNER (FT)	15	15	15	15	15
MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT	35 FEET / 2.5 STORIES				
MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE (%)	50	65	65	65	65

*Measured at front building setback

OPEN SPACE	ACRES
FLOOD PLAIN	29.72
OTHER	12.93
TOTAL	42.65

OPEN SPACE RATIO*
[(29.72/2) + 12.93] / 93.97 = 29.6%

*ASSUMING HALF OF FLOODPLAIN

JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING
TBPELS: Engineering Firm No. 11962 / Land Surveying Firm No. 10194033
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, TX 75074 | 972.201.3100

CONCEPT PLAN
VALLIS GREENE
93.97 ACRES
ROCKWALL TEXAS

LOT TYPE	MIN. LOT AREA (SF)
A	13,000
B	10,000
C	9,000
D	8,400
E	7,200

100 50 0 100
SCALE 1" = 100'

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY

PLANNING & ZONING CASE NO. Z2022-010

NOTE: *THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.*

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:

CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ MASTER PLAT ($100.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ PRELIMINARY PLAT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ FINAL PLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ REPLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ($150.00)
- ☐ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ($100.00)

SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ SITE PLAN ($250.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN ($100.00)

ZONING APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ ZONING CHANGE ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1 & 2]
- ☑ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]

OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ TREE REMOVAL ($75.00)
- ☐ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS ($100.00) [2]

NOTES:
[1]: IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.
[2]: A ***$1,000.00*** FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

ADDRESS	1649 FM 1141, Rockwall, TX 75087		
SUBDIVISION	ABS A0122, MB Jones, Tract 4-01	LOT	BLOCK
GENERAL LOCATION	Approximatly 1250 feet east of the intersection John King and FM 1141 South of 1141		

ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

CURRENT ZONING	Agricultural	CURRENT USE	Agricultural
PROPOSED ZONING	Planned Development District	PROPOSED USE	Single Family
ACREAGE	93.97	LOTS [CURRENT] 1	LOTS [PROPOSED] 182

☐ ***SITE PLANS AND PLATS:*** *BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.*

OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]

☐ OWNER	Mike Peoples	☐ APPLICANT	Michael Joyce Properties
CONTACT PERSON	Mike Peoples	CONTACT PERSON	Ryan Joyce
ADDRESS	1850 FM 1141	ADDRESS	767 Justin Rd
CITY, STATE & ZIP	Rockwall, TX 75087	CITY, STATE & ZIP	Rockwall, TX 75087
PHONE		PHONE	512-965-6280
E-MAIL		E-MAIL	ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED Mike Peoples [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:

"I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF $_____, TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE 14 DAY OF Feb, 20 22 BY SIGNING THIS APPLICATION, I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL (I.E. "CITY") IS AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION."

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE ON THIS THE 14 DAY OF Feb, 20 22

OWNER'S SIGNATURE

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS TEXAS

ROBERT LEE BASS
NOTARY PUBLIC
ID# 12344082
State of Texas
Comm. Exp. 06-05-2024

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 06-05-2024



City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.



Case Number: Z2022-010
Case Name: PD Development Plan for Vallis Greene
Case Type: Zoning
Zoning: Agricultural (AG) District
Case Address: 1649 FM 1141



Date Saved: 2/18/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745

Miller, Ryan

From: Gamez, Angelica
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 2:27 PM
Cc: Miller, Ryan; Lee, Henry; Ross, Bethany
Subject: Neighborhood Notification Program [Z2022-010]
Attachments: Public Notice (02.23.2022).pdf; HOA Map (02.22.2022).pdf

HOA/Neighborhood Association Representative:

Per your participation in the *Neighborhood Notification Program*, you are receiving this notice to inform your organization that a zoning case has been filed with the City of Rockwall that is located within 1,500-feet of the boundaries of your neighborhood. As the contact listed for your organization, you are encouraged to share this information with the residents of your subdivision. Please find the attached map detailing the property requesting to be rezoned in relation to your subdivision boundaries. Additionally, below is the summary of the zoning case that will be published in the Rockwall Herald Banner on *February 25, 2022*. The Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on *Tuesday, March 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM*, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 6:00 PM*. Both hearings will take place at 6:00 PM at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad, Rockwall, TX 75087.

All interested parties are encouraged to submit public comments via email to Planning@rockwall.com at least 30 minutes in advance of the meeting. Please include your name, address, and the case number your comments are referring to. These comments will be read into the record during each of the public hearings. Additional information on all current development cases can be found on the City's website: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases.

Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD
Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a *Zoning Change* from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

Thank you,

Angelica Gamez
Planning & Zoning Coordinator
City of Rockwall
972.771.7745 Office
972.772.6438 Direct
http://www.rockwall.com/planning/

This email was scanned by Bitdefender

City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.



Case Number: Z2022-010
Case Name: PD Development Plan for Vallis Greene
Case Type: Zoning
Zoning: Agricultural (AG) District
Case Address: 1649 FM 1141



Date Saved: 2/18/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745

MAUTNER ERNESTO & BEATRICE
103 N GREENPRINT CIRCLE
TOMBALL, TX 76262

BROWN LARRY MELVIN & MARSHA LIZBETH
1042 LAKE SHORE DR
MESQUITE, TX

SEE BETTY
110 WESTMINISTER
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

PEOPLES MIKE L
111 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RATLIFF JENNIFER L
123 HARKER TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ANDRULAT ALEC J AND VERONICA BITZ
124 HARKER TR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCKEE MARK D
131 MEADOWLARK CIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SQUIER NATALIE
153 MEADOWLARK CIRCLE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAMPTON ELDEN L
1530 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GRIFFITH JESSE JOHN AND SARAH KRISTIN
1578 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WHYDE KIMBERLY
1602 ENCANTO TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX

GIAMPAPA SANTO AND SUSAN
1606 ENCANTO TRL
ROCKWALL, TX

SANDKNOP LES T AND CLAUDIA J
1614 ENCANTO TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX

SHANNON STANLEY W
162 HARKER TRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DENNEY DENNIS W & LINDA L
162 MEADOWLARK CIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
1620 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
1624 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
1628 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL PROPERTY CORPORATION
ATTN BILL BRICKER
1630 WILLIAMS
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
1632 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
1636 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HUDDIN RYAN S AND JADA
1666 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L JR AND
SHERYL NEWMAN PEOPLES
1700 STATE HIGHWAY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CITY OF ROCKWALL
1701 AIRPORT RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WAINNER MATTHEW CODY &
MELANIE RENEE HUMBLE
173 MEADOWLARK CIRCLE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SCHRAMM EDWIN W III & PATSY ANN
1895 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L
1936 HWY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BELEW THOMAS E SR
DIANNE S CURREY
2 NORMAN TRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L
2026 HWY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SALINAS HILSE S
2068 STATE HWY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GILLILAND TOMMY JOE
2098 WILLIAMS
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RANDLE SUSAN AND DERRICE
226 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAIN FAMILY PARTNERSHIP LTD
2294 ESTATE HIGHWAY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MIKE
291 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL PROPERTY CORPORATION
ATTN BILL BRICKER
305 PARK PLACE BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAIN FAMILY PARTNERSHIP LTD
305 STONEBRIDGE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MIKE
333 CORNELIUS
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
361 W BYRON NELSON BLVD SUITE 104
ROANOKE, TX 76262

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
361 W BYRON NELSON BLVD SUITE 104
ROANOKE, TX 76262

DAVIS WILLIAM PAUL JR
510 TURTLE COVE BLVD STE 200
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VACLAVIK JOHN AND MARCILE
610 W COLCHESTER DR
EAGLE, ID

RILEY RODNEY H & JANET E
802 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
806 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
810 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
814 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ALDERMAN CLAUDETTE
815 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
818 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
819 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LANCASTER JAMES EVERETT JR &
GEORGIANA MARIE
820 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

RW LADERA LLC
822 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
825 BELLA CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WALLACE MARTHA G
826 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
826 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MAUTNER ERNESTO & BEATRICE
829 BELLA CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EVANS MARY E
830 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

HUCK WALTER R AND MARY A
830 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
831 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
833 BELLA CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOPKINS TEENA
834 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

PAUL DAVID OLIVIER AND LYN DIANE OLIVIER
FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST
LYN DIANE OLIVIER- SURVIVING TRUSTOR
834 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MURPHY DON & SHARON
837 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

RW LADERA LLC
837 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HENDLEY BEVERLY
838 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
841 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
841RIO VISTALN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOWARD EDWARD L AND BRENDA S
902 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

DELK JEANNETTE FREY
903 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

HAMBLIN DONALD ELEX AND DEBORAH LUCILLE
905 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

EASLEY PHYLLIS
909 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX

JONES BRENDA K & SAM A
910 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX

ROONEY CATHERINE
912 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX

JOHN AND LYNNE MCMAHAN REVOCABLE TRUST
JOHN AND LYNNE MCMAHAN- TRUSTEES
912 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

BROCHON DELILIA A AND MARTIAL H
913 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX

G & C DAVIS FAMILY 2013 TRUST
GREGORY A DAVIS AND CAROL L DAVIS - CO TRUSTEES
916 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

DIMISSEW SAMUEL
956 S WEATHERRED DR
RICHARDSON, TX 75080

LUKER LEO
P.O. BOX 1599
LYTLE, TX 78052

POTTER JOHN D ETUX
PO BOX 259
FATE, TX 75132

PEOPLES MICHAEL L
PO BOX 41
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PUBLIC NOTICE

CITY OF ROCKWALL
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
PHONE: (972) 771-7745
EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on *Tuesday, March 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM*, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 6:00 PM*. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Ryan Miller
Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 4:00 PM* to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,

Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning & Zoning

USE THIS QR CODE
TO GO DIRECTLY
TO THE WEBSITE

MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

☐ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

☐ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

Name:

Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

JOHNSON VOLK
CONSULTING
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, Texas 75074 | 972.201.3100

February 18, 2022

City of Rockwall
Planning Department
385 South Goliad
Rockwall, TX 75087

RE: Vallis Greene – Zoning Application

Dear Staff,

The purpose of this letter is to formally request a zoning change for the referenced property located south of FM 1141 and north of SH 66. The subject development will consist of +/-182 single family lots on a 93.97 acre tract. After meeting with staff at a Development Review Committee Meeting, the Concept Plan included with this submittal is representative of our proposed vision for the property.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions regarding this project or application.

Sincerely,

Johnson Volk, Inc.

Tom Dayton, PE



LOT TYPE	A	B	C	D	E
LOT COUNT	**9**	**29**	**46**	**21**	**77**
MINIMUM LOT AREA (SF)	13,000	10,000	9,000	8,400	7,200
MINIMUM LOT WIDTH (FT)*	100	80	70	70	60
MINIMUM LOT DEPTH (FT)	130	120	115	110	110
FRONT BUILDING SETBACK (FT)	25	20	20	20	20
REAR BUILDING SETBACK (FT)	25	20	20	20	20
SIDE BUILDING SETBACK - INTERIOR (FT)	15	6	6	6	6
SIDE BUILDING SETBACK - CORNER (FT)	15	15	15	15	15
MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT	35 FEET / 2.5 STORIES				
MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE (%)	50	65	65	65	65

*Measured at front building setback

OPEN SPACE	ACRES
FLOOD PLAIN	29.72
OTHER	12.93
TOTAL	42.65

OPEN SPACE RATIO*
[(29.72/2) + 12.93] / 93.97 = 29.6%

*ASSUMING HALF OF FLOODPLAIN

JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING
TBPELS: Engineering Firm No. 11962 / Land Surveying Firm No. 10194033
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, TX 75074 | 972.201.3100

CONCEPT PLAN
VALLIS GREENE
93.97 ACRES
ROCKWALL TEXAS

LOT TYPE	MIN. LOT AREA (SF)
A	13,000
B	10,000
C	9,000
D	8,400
E	7,200

100 50 0 100
SCALE 1" = 100'



City of Rockwall

0 50 100 200 Feet

Date: 2/23/2022

The data represented on this map was obtained with the best methods available. Data is supplied from various sources and accuracy may be out of the City of Rockwall's control. The verification of accuracy and / or content lies entirely with the end user. The City of Rockwall does not guarantee the accuracy of contained information. All Information is provided 'As Is' with no warranty being made, either expressed or implied.

VALLIS GREENE ADDITION AIRPORT RPZ NORTH

Density and Development Standards.

(1) *Permitted Uses.* Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District document, the following uses are permitted on the *Subject Property*:

(a) *Residential Land Uses*. Residential land uses shall be allowed only within the area designated for residential lots as depicted on the *Concept Plan* in *Exhibit 'A'* of this document. These areas are limited to those uses permit *by-right* or by Specific Use Permit (SUP) for the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as stipulated by the *Permissible Use Charts* contained in Article 04, *Permissible Uses*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

(2) *Residential Lot Composition and Layout.* The lot layout and composition shall generally conform to the *Concept Plan* depicted in *Exhibit 'A'* and stated in *Table 1*, which is as follows:

Table 1: Lot Composition

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size (FT)*	*Minimum Lot Size (SF)*	*Dwelling Units (#)*	*Dwelling Units (%)*
A	100' x 130'	13,000 SF	9	04.95%
B	82' x 120'	10,000 SF	31	17.03%
C	72' x 110'	9, 000 SF	42	23.08%
D	72' x 110'	8,400 SF	23	12.64%
E	62' x 110'	7,200 SF	77	42.30%
		Maximum Permitted Units:	*182*	*100.00%*

(3) *Variation in Residential Lot Composition*. The allocation of single-family residential lot types may deviate from that in Subsection (2), *Residential Lot Composition and Layout*, provided that the maximum allowed total dwelling units does not exceed *182* units provided [*1*] a minimum of 57.69% of the total lot count be comprised of *Lot Types 'A', 'B', 'C' & 'D'*, [*2*] a maximum of 9 *Lot Type 'A'* lots and 31 *Lot Type 'B'* lots are provided, and [*3*] a maximum of 42.30% *Lot Type 'E'* lots can be provided.

(4) *Density and Dimensional Requirements.* Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District document, the development standards stipulated for the *Subject Property* shall be as follows:

(a) *Residential*. Except as modified by this Planned Development District document, residential land uses on the *Subject Property* shall be required to meet the development standards for the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as specified by Article 05, *District Development Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC). The maximum permissible density for the *Subject Property* shall not exceed *1.98* dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed *182* units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in *Table 2*, which are as follows:

Table 2: Lot Dimensional Requirements

Lot Type (see Concept Plan) ►	***A***	***B***	***C***	***D***	***E***
Minimum Lot Width [1]	100'	82'	72'	72'	65'
Minimum Lot Depth	130'	120'	110'	110'	110'
Minimum Lot Area (SF)	13,000	10,000	9,000	8,400	7,200
Minimum Front Yard Setback [2], [5] & [6]	20'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Side Yard Setback	10'	6'	6'	6'	6'
Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) [2] & [5]	10'	10'	10'	10'	10'
Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement	20'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Maximum Height [3]	35'	35'	35'	35'	35'
Minimum Rear Yard Setback [4]	10'	10'	10'	10'	10'
Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space]	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,000	2,000
Maximum Lot Coverage	65'	65'	65'	65'	65'

General Notes:

[1]: Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by 20% as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the *Front Yard Building Setback*. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in *Table 1*.

[2]: The location of the *Front Yard Building Setback* as measured from the front property line.

[3]: The *Maximum Height* shall be measured to the eave or top plate (*whichever is greater*) of the single-family home.

[4]: The location of the *Rear Yard Building Setback* as measured from the rear property line.

[5]: Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the *Front Yard Building Setback* by up to ten (10) feet for any property; however, the encroachment shall not be on *Side Yard Setbacks*. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15-feet in width that has glass on at least 50% of each of the encroaching faces.

[6]: *J-Swing* or *Traditional Swing Garages* are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a maximum of five (5) feet.

(5) *Building Standards for Residential.* All residential development shall adhere to the following building standards:

(a) *Masonry Requirement.* The minimum masonry requirement for the exterior façade of all buildings shall be 90% (*excluding dormers and walls over roof areas*); however, no individual façade shall be less than 85% masonry. For the purposes of this document, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementitious fiberboard (*e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank*) in a horizontal lap-siding, *board-and-batten* siding, or a decorative pattern (*see examples below*) may be used for up to 50% of the masonry requirement; however, a Specific Use Permit (SUP) may be requested for housing plans that utilize cementitous fiberboard in excess of 50% of the masonry requirement.

Examples of Cementitious Fiberboard



Examples of Board and Batten

Examples of Horizontal Lap

(b) *Roof Pitch*. A minimum of an *8:12* roof pitch is required on all structures with the exception of dormers, sunrooms and porches, which shall have a minimum of a *4:12* roof pitch.

(c) *Garage Orientation and Garage Doors*. A total of 50% of all garages may be oriented toward the street in a *Front Entry* garage configuration; however, the front façade of

the garage must be situated a minimum of five (5) feet behind the front façade of the primary structure. The remaining 50% of all garages shall be oriented in a *J-Swing* (*or Traditional Swing*) configuration or be situated a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the home. In addition, the following architectural elements must be incorporated into all garage configurations: [*1*] coach lighting, [*2*] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, and [*3*] driveways must be constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, broom finished, or salt finish. An example of a garage door meeting these standards is depicted in *Figure 1*.

Figure 1. Examples of Enhanced Wood Garage Door

(6) *Anti-Monotony Restrictions*. The development shall adhere to the Anti-Monotony Matrix depicted in *Table 3* below (*for spacing requirements see Figures 3 & 4 below*).

Table 3: Anti-Monotony Matrix

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size*	*Elevation Features*
A	100' x 130'	(1), (2), (3), (4)
B	82' x 120'	(1), (2), (3), (4)
C	72' x 110'	(1), (2), (3), (4)
D	72' x 110'	(1), (2), (3), (4)
E	62' x 110'	(1), (2), (3), (4)

(a) Identical brick blends or paint colors may not occur on adjacent (*side-by-side*) properties along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing materials on the same side of the street beginning with the adjacent property and six (6) intervening homes of differing materials on the opposite side of the street.

(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same side of the street and six (6) intervening homes of differing appearance on the opposite side of the street. The rear elevation of homes backing to open spaces, FM-549 or Wylie Road shall not repeat without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:

(1) Number of Stories

(2) Permitted Encroachment Type and Layout
(3) Roof Type and Layout
(4) Articulation of the Front Façade

(c) Permitted encroachment (*i.e. porches and sunroom*) elevations shall not repeat or be the same along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of sufficient dissimilarity on the same side of the street beginning with the home adjacent to the subject property and six (6) intervening homes beginning with the home on the opposite side of the street.

(d) Each phase of the subdivision will allow for a maximum of four (4) compatible roof colors, and all roof shingles shall be an architectural or dimensional shingle (*3-Tab Roofing Shingles are prohibited*).

Figure 3: Properties line up on the opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.



Figure 4: Properties do not line up on opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.



(7) <u>*Fencing Standards*</u>. All individual residential fencing and walls shall be architecturally compatible with the design, materials and colors of the primary structure on the same lot, and meet the following standards:

(a) <u>*Front Yard Fences*</u>. Front yard fences shall be prohibited.

(b) <u>*Wood Fences*</u>. All solid fencing shall be constructed utilizing standard cedar fencing materials (*spruce fencing is prohibited*) that are a minimum of ½-inch or greater in thickness. Fences shall be *board-on-board* panel fence that is constructed a minimum of six (6) feet in height and a maximum of eight (8) feet in height. Posts, fasteners, and bolts shall be formed from hot dipped galvanized or stainless steel.

All cedar pickets shall be placed on the public side (*i.e. facing streets, alleys, open space, parks, and/or neighboring properties*). All posts and/or framing shall be placed on the private side (*i.e. facing towards the home*) of the fence. All wood fences shall be smooth finished, free of burs and splinters, and be stained and sealed on both sides of the fence. Painting a fence with oil or latex based paint shall be prohibited.

(c) *Wrought Iron/Tubular Steel*. Lots located along the perimeter of roadways (*i.e. FM-1141*), abutting open spaces, greenbelts and parks shall be required to install a wrought iron or tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences can be a maximum of six (6) feet in height. Lots one hundred (100) feet and larger shall be a utilize wrought iron/tubular steel fencing exclusively. In the event a 100' lot adjoins an existing home, privacy / wood fencing shall be allowed on the shared property lines with an existing home.

(d) *Corner Lots*. Corner lots fences (*i.e. adjacent to the street*) shall provide masonry columns at 45-feet off center spacing that begins at the rear of the property line. A maximum of six (6) foot solid *board-on-board panel* fence constructed utilizing cedar fencing shall be allowed between the masonry columns along the side and/or rear lot adjacent to a street. In addition, the fencing shall be setback from the side property line adjacent to a street a minimum of five (5) feet. The property owner shall be required to maintain both sides of the fence.

(e) *Solid Fences (including Wood Fences)*. All solid fences shall incorporate a decorative top rail or cap detailing into the design of the fence.

(f) *Fence in Easements*. No fencing shall be constructed in or across North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD) and/or City of Rockwall's easements.

(8) *Landscape and Hardscape Standards*.

(a) *Landscape*. Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*. All *Canopy/Shade Trees* planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all *Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees* shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height. Any residential lot that sides or backs to a major roadway where wrought iron/tubular steel fencing is required, shall also be required to plant a row of shrubs adjacent to the wrought iron/tubular fence within the required 30-foot landscape buffer (*i.e. on the Homeowner's Associations' [HOAs'] property*). These shrubs shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(b) *Landscape Buffers*. All landscape buffers and plantings located within the buffers shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(1) *Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (FM-1141)*. A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along FM-1141 (*outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication*), and shall incorporate ground cover, a *built-up* berm and shrubbery along the frontage adjacent to residential lots. Berms and shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 48-inches each. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage.

A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30-foot landscape buffer.

(c) *Street Trees.* The Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and will be required to maintain a minimum of 14-feet vertical clearance height for any trees overhanging a public right-of-way. Street trees shall be planted a minimum of five (5) feet from public water, sanitary sewer and storm lines. All street trees shall be reviewed with the *PD Site Plan*.

(d) *Residential Lot Landscaping*. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (CO), all residential lots depicted on *Exhibit 'A'* shall be landscaped with a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the front yard. In addition, corner lots shall be required to add a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the side yard facing the street.

(e) *Irrigation Requirements*. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping located within detention areas, common areas, landscape buffers, and/or open space. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(f) *Hardscape.* Hardscape plans indicating the location of all sidewalks and trails shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*.

(9) *Street*. All streets (*excluding drives, fire lanes and private parking areas*) shall be built according to City street standards.

(10) *Lighting*. Light poles shall not exceed 20-feet in total height (*i.e. base and lighting standard*). All fixtures shall be directed downward and be positioned to contain all light within the development area.

(11) *Sidewalks*. All sidewalks adjacent to a street shall be a maximum of two (2) feet inside the right-of-way line and be a minimum of five (5) feet in overall width.

(12) *Buried Utilities*. New distribution power-lines required to serve the *Subject Property* shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the perimeter of the *Subject Property*, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. Temporary power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the *Subject Property* to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.

(13) *Open Space/Public Park*. The development shall consist of a minimum of 20% open space (*or a minimum of 18.794-acres -- as calculated by the formula stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan*), and generally conform to the *Concept Plan* contained in *Exhibit 'A'* of this document.

(14) *Trails*. A minimum of a six (6) foot concrete trail system shall be constructed generally in the same location as the trail system depicted in *Exhibit 'A'* of this document.

(15) *Neighborhood Signage and Enhancements*. Permanent subdivision identification signage shall be required at all major entry points for the proposed subdivision. Final design and location of any entry features shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*. The developer shall provide enhanced landscaping areas at all entry points to the *Subject Property*. The final design of these areas shall be provided on the *PD Site Plan*.

(16) *Homeowner's Association (HOA)*. A Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of *Section 38-15* of the *Subdivision Regulations* contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. The HOA shall also maintain all private neighborhood parks, trails, open space and common areas (*including drainage facilities*), detention and drainage easements, floodplain areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and neighborhood signage associated with this development. These areas are required to be delineated on the *PD Site Plan*.

(17) *Variances*. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) shall apply to any application for variances to this document.

Exhibit 'A'



JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING

AREA	ACRES
SITE	94.0
FLOOD PLAIN	29.7
AVIGATION EASEMENT (A.E.)	7.1
A.E. OUTSIDE FLOODPLAIN	0.9
TOTAL A.E. + FLOODPLAIN	30.8
NET DEVELOPABLE AREA	63.2

CONCEPT SKETCH
PEOPLES TRACT
93.97 ACRES
ROCKWALL TEXAS

LOT SIZE	QTY.
13,000	9
10,000	31
9,000	42
8,400	23
7,200	77
TOTAL	182

SCALE 1" = 100'

CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 22-XX

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [*ORDINANCE NO. 20-02*] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO CHANGE THE ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT TO PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT XX (PD-XX) FOR SINGLE-FAMILY 10 (SF-10) DISTRICT LAND USES ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, BEING A 93.97-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS TRACT 4-01 OF THE M. B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY *EXHIBIT 'A'* AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY *EXHIBIT 'B'*; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ($2,000.00) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City has received a request by Ryan Joyce of the Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a zoning change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and more fully described in *Exhibit 'A'* and depicted in *Exhibit 'B'* of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the *Subject Property* and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code [*Ordinance No. 20-02*] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the *Subject Property* shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District Ordinance and the Unified Development Code [*Ordinance No. 20-02*] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 2. That development of the *Subject Property* shall generally be in accordance with the *Concept Plan*, depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as *Exhibit 'C'*, which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the *Subject Property*;

SECTION 3. That development of the *Subject Property* shall generally be in accordance with the *Density and Development Standards*, outlined in *Exhibit 'D'* of this ordinance, attached

DRAFT ORDINANCE 02.28.2022

hereto and incorporated herein by reference as *Exhibit 'D'*, which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the *Subject Property*;

SECTION 4. That a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* for the *Subject Property*, prepared in accordance with this ordinance and consistent with the *Planned Development Concept Plan* described in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

SECTION 5. That development of the *Subject Property* shall be in conformance with the schedule listed below (*except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals*).

(a) The procedures set forth in the City's subdivision regulations on the date this ordinance is approved by the City, as amended by this ordinance [*including Subsections 5(b) through 5(g) below*], shall be the exclusive procedures applicable to the subdivision and platting of the *Subject Property*.

(b) The following plans and plats shall be required in the order listed below (*except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals*). The City Council shall act on an application for a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* in accordance with the time period specified in *Section 212.009* of the *Texas Local Government Code*.

(1) Master Parks and Open Space Plan
(2) Master Plat
(3) Preliminary Plat
(4) PD Site Plan
(5) Final Plat

(c) *Master Parks and Open Space Plan*. A *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* for the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, prepared in accordance with this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

(d) *Master Plat*. A *Master Plat* for the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify the proposed timing of each phase of the proposed development. A *Master Plat* application may be processed by the City concurrently with a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* application for the development.

(e) *Preliminary Plat*. A *Preliminary Plat* for each phase of the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be submitted in accordance with the phasing plan established by the *Master Plat* and shall include a *Treescape Plan* for the phase being *Preliminary Platted*. A *Preliminary Plat* application may be processed by the City concurrently with a *Master Plat* and a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* application for the development.

(f) *PD Site Plan*. A *PD Site Plan* for each phase of the development of the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify all site/landscape/hardscape plan(s) for all open space, neighborhood parks, trail systems, street buffers and entry features. A *PD Site Plan* application may be processed by the City concurrently with a *Final Plat* application for the development.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 02.28.2022

(g) *Final Plat*. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, a *Final Plat*, conforming to the *Preliminary Plat*, shall be submitted for approval.

SECTION 6. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of *Two Thousand Dollars* ($2,000.00) for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 7. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 8. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code or any provision of the City Code, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code (including references to the *Unified Development Code*), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the Exhibits hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 9. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE 4TH DAY OF JULY, 2021.

_____

Kevin Fowler, *Mayor*

ATTEST:

_____

Kristy Teague, *City Secretary*

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____

Frank J. Garza, *City Attorney*

1st Reading: *March 21, 2022*

2nd Reading: *April 4, 2022*

DRAFT ORDINANCE 02.28.2022

Exhibit 'A':
Legal Description

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

DRAFT ORDINANCE 02.28.2022

Exhibit 'B':
Survey

SURVEY

DRAFT ORDINANCE 02.28.2022

Exhibit 'C':
Concept Plan



DRAFT
ORDINANCE

Exhibit 'D':
Density and Development Standards

DENSITY AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.

(1) *Permitted Uses*. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, only those uses permitted within the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as stipulated by the *Permissible Use Charts* contained in Article 04, *Permissible Uses*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), are allowed on the *Subject Property*.

(2) *Lot Composition and Layout*. The lot layout and composition shall generally conform to the *Concept Plan* depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* and stated in *Table 1*, which is as follows:

TABLE 1: LOT COMPOSITION

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size (FT)*	*Minimum Lot Size (SF)*	*Dwelling Units (#)*	*Dwelling Units (%)*
A	100' x 130'	13,000 SF	9	04.95%
B	82' x 120'	10,000 SF	31	17.03%
C	72' x 110'	9,000 SF	42	23.08%
D	72' x 110'	8,400 SF	23	12.64%
E	62' x 110'	7,200 SF	77	42.31%
		Maximum Permitted Units:	*182*	*100.00%*

(3) *Variation in Residential Lot Composition*. The allocation of single-family residential lot types may deviate from the that in Subsection (2), *Residential Lot Composition and Layout*, of this ordinance provided that the maximum allowed total dwelling units does not exceed *182* units provided [*1*] a minimum of 57.69% of the total lot count be comprised of *Lot Types 'A'*, *'B'*, *'C'* & *'D'*, [*2*] a minimum of nine (9) *Lot Type 'A'* and 31 *Lot Type 'B'* lots are provided, and [*3*] a maximum of 42.30% *Lot Type 'E'* lots can be provided.

(4) *Density and Dimensional Requirements*. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, the development standards stipulated by the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as specified by Article 05, *District Development Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) are applicable to all development on the *Subject Property.* The maximum permissible density for the *Subject Property* shall not exceed *1.93* dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed *182* units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in *Table 2*, which are as follows:

TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Lot Type (see Concept Plan) ►	***A***	***B***	***C***	***D***	***E***
Minimum Lot Width [1]	100'	82'	72'	72'	65'
Minimum Lot Depth	130'	120'	110'	110'	110'
Minimum Lot Area	13,000 SF	10,000 SF	9,000 SF	8,400 SF	7,200 SF
Minimum Front Yard Setback [2], [5] & [6]	20'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Side Yard Setback	10'	6'	6'	6'	6'
Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) [2], [5] & [7]	10'	10'	10'	10'	10'
Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement	20'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Maximum Height [3]	35'	35'	35'	35'	35'
Minimum Rear Yard Setback [4]	10'	10'	10'	10'	10'
Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space]	2,400 SF	2,200 SF	2,200 SF	2,200 SF	2,000 SF
Maximum Lot Coverage	45'	45'	45'	65'	65'

General Notes:

[1]: Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by 20% as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the *Front Yard Building Setback*. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in *Table 1*.

[2]: The location of the *Front Yard Building Setback* as measured from the front property line.

[3]: The *Maximum Height* shall be measured to the eave or top plate (*whichever is greater*) of the single-family home.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 02.28.22

[4]: The location of the *Rear Yard Building Setback* as measured from the rear property line.
[5]: Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the *Front Yard Building Setback* and *Side Yard Building Setback* by up to five (5) feet for any property. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15-feet in width that has glass on at least 50% of each of the encroaching faces.
[6]: *J-Swing* or *Traditional Swing Garages* are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a minimum of five (5) feet.
[7]: All *Corner Lots* that back to a lot that fronts onto the same street that the *Corner Lot* sides to (*i.e. a Keystone Lot*), shall have a setback that is equal to the front setback of the fronting lot. In addition, no solid fence shall be situated within this setback.

(5) *Building Standards*. All development shall adhere to the following building standards:

(a) *Masonry Requirement*. The minimum masonry requirement for the exterior façade of all buildings shall be 90% (*excluding dormers and walls over roof areas*). For the purposes of this ordinance, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementitious fiberboard (*e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank*) in a horizontal lap-siding, *board-and-batten* siding, or a decorative pattern (*see examples below*) may be used for up to 80% of the masonry requirement; however, administrative from the Director of Planning and Zoning approval may be requested for housing plans that utilize cementitous fiberboard in excess of 80% of the masonry requirement on a *case-by-case* basis.

FIGURE 1: EXAMPLES OF CEMENTITIOUS FIBERBOARD

FIGURE 2: EXAMPLES OF BOARD AND BATTEN



DRAFT
02-28-2022

FIGURE 3: EXAMPLES OF HORIZONTAL LAP

(b) *Roof Pitch*. A minimum of an *8:12* roof pitch is required on all structures with the exception of dormers, sunrooms and porches, which shall have a minimum of a *4:12* roof pitch.

(c) *Garage Orientation and Garage Doors*. A total of 50% of all garages may be oriented toward the street in a *Front Entry* garage configuration; however, the front façade of the garage must be situated a minimum of five (5) feet behind the front façade of the primary structure. The remaining 50% of all garages shall be oriented in a *J-Swing* (*or Traditional Swing*) configuration or be situated a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the home. In addition, all garage configurations shall have upgraded finishes that consist of the following architectural elements: [*1*] coach lighting, [*2*] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, and [*3*] driveways that are constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained finished, or salt finished [*see Figures 4-6 for examples of upgraded or enhanced finishes*].

FIGURE 4: EXAMPLES OF ENHANCED WOOD GARAGE DOOR

DRAFT
02.28.2022

FIGURE 5: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED FINISHES

DIVIDED BAYS

CARRIAGE HARDWARE

CEDAR CLADDING

ORNAMENTAL PAVING

FIGURE 6: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED FINISHES



(6) *Anti-Monotony Restrictions*. The development shall adhere to the Anti-Monotony Matrix depicted in *Table 3* below (*for spacing requirements see Figures 7 & 8 below*).

TABLE 3: ANTI-MONOTONY MATRIX

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size*	*Elevation Features*
A	13,000 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
B	10,000 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
C	9,000 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
D	8,400 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
E	7,200 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)

DRAFT 06.28.22

Exhibit 'D':
Density and Development Standards

(a) Identical brick blends or paint colors may not occur on adjacent (*side-by-side*) properties along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing materials on the same side of the street beginning with the adjacent property and six (6) intervening homes of differing materials on the opposite side of the street.

(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same side of the street and six (6) intervening homes of differing appearance on the opposite side of the street. The rear elevation of homes backing to open space, Cornelius Road, FM-1141, or SH-66 shall not repeat without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:

(1) Number of Stories
(2) Permitted Encroachment Type and Layout
(3) Roof Type and Layout
(4) Articulation of the Front Façade

(c) Permitted encroachment (*i.e. porches and sunroom*) elevations shall not repeat or be the same along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of sufficient dissimilarity on the same side of the street beginning with the home adjacent to the subject property and six (6) intervening homes beginning with the home on the opposite side of the street.

(d) Each phase of the subdivision will allow for a maximum of four (4) compatible roof colors, and all roof shingles shall be an architectural or dimensional shingle (*3-Tab Roofing Shingles are prohibited*).

FIGURE 7: Properties line up on the opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.



FIGURE 8: Properties do not line up on opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.



DRAFT
ORDINANCE
09-06-2022

(7) *Fencing Standards*. All individual residential fencing and walls shall be architecturally compatible with the design, materials and colors of the primary structure on the same lot, and meet the following standards:

(a) *Front Yard Fences*. Front yard fences shall be prohibited.

(b) *Wood Fences*. All solid fencing shall be constructed utilizing standard cedar fencing materials (*spruce fencing is prohibited*) that are a minimum of ½-inch or greater in thickness. Fences shall be *board-on-board* panel fence that is constructed a minimum of six (6) feet in height and a maximum of eight (8) feet in height. Posts, fasteners, and bolts shall be formed from hot dipped galvanized or stainless steel. All cedar pickets shall be placed on the public side (*i.e. facing streets, alleys, open space, parks, and/or neighboring properties*). All posts and/or framing shall be placed on the private side (*i.e. facing towards the home*) of the fence. All wood fences shall be smooth finished, free of burs and splinters, and be stained and sealed on both sides of the fence. Painting a fence with oil or latex based paint shall be prohibited.

(c) *Wrought Iron/Tubular Steel*. Lots located along the perimeter of roadways (*i.e. FM-1141, Cornelius Road, and SH-66*), abutting open spaces, greenbelts and parks shall be required to install a wrought iron or tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences can be a maximum of six (6) feet in height. The *Lot Type 'A'* lots shall be required to utilize wrought iron/tubular steel fencing. In the event that a *Lot Type 'A'* lot adjoins an existing home that has a wood fence, the wood fence shall be permitted to remain on the shared property line and serve both homes.

(d) *Corner Lots*. Corner lots fences (*i.e. adjacent to the street*) shall provide masonry columns at 45-feet off center spacing that begins at the rear of the property line. A maximum of six (6) foot solid *board-on-board panel* fence constructed utilizing cedar fencing shall be allowed between the masonry columns along the side and/or rear lot adjacent to a street. In addition, the fencing shall be setback from the side property line adjacent to a street a minimum of five (5) feet. The property owner shall be required to maintain both sides of the fence.

(e) *Solid Fences (including Wood Fences)*. All solid fences shall incorporate a decorative top rail or cap detailing into the design of the fence.

(f) *Fence in Easements*. No fencing shall be constructed in or across a North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD) easement and/or an easement of the City of Rockwall.

(8) *Landscape and Hardscape Standards.*

(a) *Landscape.* Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*. All *Canopy/Shade Trees* planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all *Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees* shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height. All shrubs shall be a minimum of five (5) gallons in size. Any residential lot that sides or backs to a major roadway where wrought iron/tubular steel fencing is required, shall be required to plant an additional row of shrubs adjacent to the wrought iron/tubular fence within the required 30-foot landscape buffer (*i.e. on the Homeowner's Associations' [HOAs'] property*). These shrubs shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

DRAFT ORDINANCE 06.28.2022

(b) *Landscape Buffers.* All landscape buffers and plantings located within the buffers shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(1) *Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (FM-1141)*. A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along FM-1141 (*outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication*), and shall incorporate ground cover, a *built-up* berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30-inches and a maximum height of 48-inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30-foot landscape buffer.

(2) *Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (SH-66)*. A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along SH-66 (*outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication*), and shall incorporate ground cover, a *built-up* berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30-inches and a maximum height of 48-inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30-foot landscape buffer.

(3) *Landscape Buffers (Adjacent to Open Space).* A minimum of a ten (10) foot landscape buffer shall be provided along streets that have frontage on open space. This landscape buffer shall incorporate one (1) canopy tree and one (1) accent tree per 50-feet of linear frontage.

(4) *Landscape Buffer (Adjacent to the Properties Along the Southern Boundary*). A heavy landscape area with a minimum of a 20-foot landscape buffer (*i.e. indicated in Exhibit 'C'*) shall be provided adjacent to the properties backing to the non-residential land uses along the southern boundary of the subject property. This landscape area shall consist of a solid living screen utilizing evergreen trees -- *either Eastern Red Cedar or Leland Cypress unless approved otherwise approved by the Director of Planning and Zoning* --, a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size, that will be planted on ten (10) foot centers along the entire adjacency.

(c) *Street Trees*. The Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and will be required to maintain a minimum of 14-feet vertical clearance height for any trees overhanging a public right-of-way. Street trees shall be planted a minimum of five (5) feet from public water, sanitary sewer and storm lines. All street trees shall be reviewed with the *PD Site Plan*.

(d) *Residential Lot Landscaping*. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (CO), all residential lots depicted on *Exhibit 'C'* shall be landscaped with a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the front yard. In addition, corner lots shall be required to add a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the side yard facing the street.

DRAFT 02.28.2022

(e) *Irrigation Requirements*. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping located within common areas, landscape buffers and/or open space. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(f) *Hardscape*. Hardscape plans indicating the location of all sidewalks and trails shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*.

(9) *Street*. All streets (*excluding drives, fire lanes and private parking areas*) shall be built according to City street standards.

(10) *Lighting*. Light poles shall not exceed 20-feet in total height (*i.e. base and lighting standard*). All fixtures shall be directed downward and be positioned to contain all light within the development area.

(11) *Sidewalks*. All sidewalks adjacent to a street shall be a maximum of two (2) feet inside the right-of-way line and be five (5) feet in overall width.

(12) *Buried Utilities*. New distribution power-lines required to serve the *Subject Property* shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the perimeter of the *Subject Property*, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. Temporary power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the *Subject Property* to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.

(13) *Open Space/Public Park*. The development shall consist of a minimum of 20% open space (*or a minimum of 18.794-acres -- as calculated by the formula stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan*), and generally conform to the Concept Plan contained in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance.

(14) *Trails*. A minimum of a six (6) foot concrete trail system shall be constructed generally in the same location as the trail system depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance.

(15) *Neighborhood Signage and Enhancements*. Permanent subdivision identification signage shall be required at all major entry points for the proposed subdivision. Final design and location of any entry features shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*; however, the signage should be equal to or better than the representative signage depicted in *Exhibit 'C'*. The developer shall provide enhanced landscaping areas at all entry points to the *Subject Property*. The final design of these areas shall be provided on the *PD Site Plan*.

(16) *Homeowner's Association (HOA)*. A Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of *Section 38-15* of the *Subdivision Regulations* contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. The HOA shall also maintain all private neighborhood parks, trails, open space and common areas (*including drainage facilities*), floodplain areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and neighborhood signage associated with this development. These areas are required to be delineated on the *PD Site Plan*.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 02.08.2022

(17) *Variances*. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) shall apply to any application for variances to this ordinance.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 02.28.2022

CITY OF ROCKWALL

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CASE MEMO

PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745 • EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

TO:	Planning and Zoning Commission
DATE:	March 15, 2022
APPLICANT:	Ryan Joyce; *Michael Joyce Properties*
CASE NUMBER:	Z2022-010; *Zoning Change (AG to PD) for Vallis Greene*

SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a *Zoning Change* from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4 & 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122 and a portion of Tract 25-1 & 26 and all of Tract 13 & 25 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

BACKGROUND

The subject property was annexed on March 16, 1998 by *Ordinance No. 98-10* [*Case No. A1998-001*]. At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. According to the Rockwall Central Appraisal District (RCAD), currently situated on the subject property is: [*1*] a 2,500 SF single-family home constructed in 1958, [*2*] an ~1,856 SF covered porch constructed in 1958, [*3*] a 400 SF storage building constructed in 1900, and [*4*] a 96 SF storage building with a 240 SF covered canopy constructed in 2007. Beyond these structures, the subject property has remained largely undeveloped and the zoning designation has not changed since annexation.

PURPOSE

On February 18, 2021, the applicant -- *Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties* -- submitted an application requesting to change the zoning of the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses. Specifically, the applicant is proposing to entitle the subject property for a 182-lot single-family, residential subdivision that will consists of five (5) lot sizes (*i.e. [A] 9, 100' x 130' lots; [B] 29, 82' x 120' lots; [C] 45, 72' x 115' lots; [D] 22, 72' x 110' lots; and [E] 77, 62' x 110' lots*).

ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is generally located between SH-66 and FM-1141 at the southwest corner of the intersection of FM-1141 and Cornelius Road. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

North: Directly north of the subject property is FM-1141 and Cornelius Road. FM-1141 is identified as a M4D (*i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway*) and Cornelius Road is identified as a M4U (*i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway*) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. On the northside of the right-of-way for FM-1141 and the southside of the right-of-way for Cornelius Road are the corporate limits of the City of Rockwall. Beyond this are multiple residential properties situated within the City of Rockwall's Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ) in Rockwall County.

South: Directly south of the subject property is a 9.789-acre tract of land (*i.e. a portion of Lot 1, Block A, Ladera Rockwall Addition*), which will be Phase 2 of the Ladera Subdivision. This phase will consist of 33 residential units that are zoned Planned Development District 85 (PD-85) for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses. Beyond this is SH-66, which is identified as a TXDOT4D (*i.e. Texas Department of Transportation [TXDOT] roadway, four [4] lane,*

divided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. South of this thoroughfare is: [*1*] a 47.89-acre parcel of land (*i.e. Lot 1 of the Rockwall Municipal Airport Addition*) which is occupied by the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport, [*2*] a vacant two (2) acre tract of land (*i.e. Tract 2 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183*), and [*3*] a 43.66-acre tract of land (*i.e. Tract 7 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102*) occupied with a single-family home. All of these properties are zoned Agricultural (AG) District.

East: Directly east of the subject property are the reminder tracts (*i.e. Tracts 25-1 & 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72*) being subdivided from the subject property. Also, east of the subject property is a 55.08-acre tract of land (*i.e. Tract 11 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72*), which has a two (2) barns (*i.e. a 1,107 SF barn and a 7,448 SF barn both built in 1966*). All of these properties are zoned Agricultural (AG) District.

West: Directly west of the subject property is: [*1*] a 15.935-acre vacant tract of land (*i.e. Tract 5-1 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122*) zoned Agricultural (AG) District, and [*2*] a 37.80-acre parcel of land (*i.e. Lot 1, Block A, Ladera Rockwall Addition*) zoned Planned Development District 85 (PD-85) for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses. The 37.80-acre tract of land is the Ladera Subdivision, and will consist of 117 single-family units at buildout. Beyond this is John King Boulevard, which is identified as a P6D (*i.e. principal arterial, six [6] lane, divided roadway*) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

MAP 1: LOCATION MAP
YELLOW: SUBJECT PROPERTY



CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST

The applicant has submitted a concept plan and development standards for the proposed residential subdivision. The concept plan shows that the 93.97-acre subject property will consist of 182 residential lots. These lots will consist of five (5) lot types:

[*1*] 9 *Type 'A'* lots that are a minimum of 100' x 130' (*or 13,000 SF*), [*2*] 29 *Type 'B'* lots that are a minimum of 82' x 120' (*or 10.000 SF*), [*3*] 45 *Type 'C'* lots that are a minimum of 72' x 115' (*or 9,000 SF*), [*4*] 22 *Type 'D'* lots that are a minimum of 72' x 110' (*or 8,400 SF*), and [*5*] 77 *Type 'E'* lots that are a minimum of 62' x 110' (*or 7,200 SF*). This translates to a gross density of *1.94* dwelling units per gross acre (*i.e. 182 lots/93.97-acres = 1.93679 dwelling units per gross acre*) for the total development. The minimum dwelling unit size (*i.e. air-condition space*) will range from 2,000 SF to 2,400 SF. With regard to the proposed housing product, staff has incorporated anti-monotony and masonry standards into the proposed Planned Development District ordinance. Specifically, the ordinance will require a minimum of 90% masonry (*with a minimum of 85% masonry on each façade*), and be subject to the City's upgraded anti-monotony standards; however, the Planned Development District ordinance will also incorporate provisions that allow up to 80% cementitous fiberboard utilized in a horizontal lap-siding, *board-and-batten* siding, or decorative pattern to allow a more *Traditional Neighborhood Design* product (*also referred to as Gingerbread*). The following are some of the examples contained in the Planned Development District ordinance:

IMAGES 1 & 2: EXAMPLES OF BOARD AND BATTEN FROM THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT ORDINANCE

Looking at the proposed garage orientation proposed for the development, the applicant is requesting to allow a total of 50% of all the garages be orientated toward the street; however, the applicant is proposing to require a five (5) foot setback for the garage from the front façade. The remaining garage doors will be situated within a *J-Swing* (*or a Traditional Swing*) configuration or *Recessed Entry* configuration (*i.e. where the garage door is situated a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade*). In addition, the applicant is proposing to incorporate upgraded finishes on all garage doors that include: [*1*] coach lighting, [*2*] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, and [*3*] driveways that are constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained finish, or salt finished. The following are some of the examples of these upgrades included in the Planned Development District ordinance:

IMAGES 3, 4 & 5: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED GARAGES

The proposed subdivision will be subject to the land uses and density and dimensional requirements stipulated for properties within a Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District unless otherwise specified in the Planned Development District ordinance. The following is a summary of the lot composition and density and dimensional standards contained in the proposed Planned Development District ordinance:

TABLE 1: LOT COMPOSITION

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size (FT)*	*Minimum Lot Size (SF)*	*Dwelling Units (#)*	*Dwelling Units (%)*
A	100' x 130'	13,000 SF	9	4.95%
B	82' x 120'	10,000 SF	29	15.93%
C	72' x 115'	9,000 SF	45	24.73%
D	72' x 110'	8,400 SF	22	12.09%
E	62' x 110'	7,200 SF	77	42.31%
		Maximum Permitted Units:	*182*	*100.00%*

TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Lot Type (see Concept Plan) ►	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
Minimum Lot Width (1)	100'	82'	72'	72'	62'
Minimum Lot Depth	130'	120'	115'	110'	110'
Minimum Lot Area (SF)	13,000	10,000	9,000	8,400	7,200
Minimum Front Yard Setback (2), (6) & (8)	25'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Side Yard Setback	15'	6'	6'	6'	6'
Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) (2), (6) & (7)	15'	15'	15'	15'	15'
Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement	20'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Maximum Height (3)	35'	35'	35'	35'	35'
Minimum Rear Yard Setback (4)	25'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space]	2,400	2,500	2,400	2,200	2,200
Maximum Lot Coverage	45'	45'	45'	65'	65'

General Notes:

1: Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by 20% as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the *Front Yard Building Setback*. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in *Table 1*.

2: The location of the *Front Yard Building Setback* as measured from the front property line.

3: The *Maximum Height* shall be measured to the eave or top plate (*whichever is greater*) of the single-family home.

4: The location of the *Rear Yard Building Setback* as measured from the rear property line.

5: Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the *Front Yard Building Setback* and *Side Yard Building Setback* by up to five (5) feet for any property. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15-feet in width that has glass on at least 50% of each of the encroaching faces.

6: *J-Swing* or *Traditional Swing Garages* are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a minimum of five (5) feet.

7: All *Corner Lots* that back to a lot that fronts onto the same street that the *Corner Lot* sides to (*i.e. a Keystone Lot*), shall have a setback that is equal to the front setback of the fronting lot. In addition, no solid fence shall be situated within this setback.

The proposed concept plan shows that the development will consist of a total of 29.72-acres of floodplain and 13.06-acres of open space maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA). This translates to an open space percentage of 29.71% (*i.e. [29.72-acres of floodplain/2] + 13.06-acres of open space = 27.92-acres/93.97-acres gross = 29.7116%*). In addition, the applicant has agreed to utilize the pro-rata equipment fees and cash-in-lieu of land fees to provide amenity to the four (4) acre tract of City owned land (*i.e. Tract 13-01 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72*) to create a public park. All amenities being proposed for this public park will need to be approved by the Parks and Recreation Board and be constructed to the City's standard as determined by the Director of Parks and Recreation. The applicant will also be providing a trail system consisting of a six (6) foot concrete trail that will connect this public park to the proposed subdivision.

Staff should point out that a portion of the subject property is situated within the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport. In addition, staff is currently bringing forward an Airport Overlay (AP OV) District [*Case No. Z2022-012*] that (*if approved*) will establish requirements for land uses in and around the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport. Based on this, staff sent a copy of the proposed plan to TXDOT Aviation for review and comment, and a copy of the proposed plan to Garver USA -- *the City's Airport Consultant* -- for review. TXDOT Aviation requested that the applicant [*1*] not have any development within the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) and [*2*] establish an avigation easement over the property to ensure that future homeowners are aware they are purchasing a home in close proximity to the flight path of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport. Garver USA requested that no development or amenity be constructed in the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ). In addition, staff requested that the applicant adhere to the future Airport Overlay (AP OV) District, and not locate any portion of any lot in the *Airport*

Influence Zone (*which if established is the zone that will prevent residential and assembly uses from being located within 500-feet of the airport*). The applicant has taken these comments and adjusted the concept plan to ensure compliance with these recommendations. In addition, staff has added a condition of approval that will require the avigation easement be established at the time of final plat.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Based on the applicant's concept plan and the proposed density, the following infrastructure is required to be constructed to provide adequate public services for the proposed development:

(1) *Roadways*. According to the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, FM-1141 is required to be a M4D (*i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway*), which requires an 85-foot right-of-way with a 64-foot *back-to-back* concrete street. In addition, SH-66 is identified as a which is identified as a TXDOT4D (*i.e. Texas Department of Transportation [TXDOT] roadway, four [4] lane, divided roadway*) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. This road will require a minimum of 120-feet of right-of-way or 60-feet from the centerline of the roadway. The applicant will also be required to perform a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for the proposed drive approaches off of FM-1141 and SH-66. Staff is also requiring that as part of the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) and/or roadway design, the applicant's consultant study the need for a left turn lane at the proposed drive approach on FM-1141.

(2) *Water*. The applicant will be required to construct a 12-inch water line on the subject property along SH-66, extending to the existing stub out at FM-1141. In addition, the development will require an eight (8) inch water line be looped through the subject property.

(3) *Wastewater*. The applicant will be required to construct an eight (8) inch wastewater line that will tie into the 12-inch line adjacent to the southwestern property line.

(4) *Drainage*. The applicant shall be required to perform a flood study to delineate the fully developed 100-year localized floodplain for all ponds, creeks or streams, and draws on the subject property. Detention will be required and sized per the required detention study. The applicant will also be required to perform a Wetlands and Waters of the United States (WOTUS) study for the existing ponds on the subject property.

CONFORMANCE TO THE CITY'S CODES

The proposed Planned Development District conforms to the majority of the City's code requirements; however, it should be noted that the development standards contained within the Planned Development District ordinance deviate from the requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the Municipal Code of Ordinances, and the Engineering Department's *Standards of Design and Construction Manual* in the following ways:

(1) *Alleyways*. The Engineering Department's *Standards of Design and Construction Manual* stipulates that "(a)lleys shall be provided in all residential areas and shall be paved with steel reinforced concrete…" The code does grant the City Council the ability to "… waive the residential alley requirement, if it is in the best interest of the City." [*Page 14; Section 2.11 of the Standards of Design and Construction Manual*]

(2) *Garage Configuration*. Section 04, *Residential Parking*, of Article 06, *Parking and Loading*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) requires that, "(i)n single-family or duplex districts, parking garages must be located at least 20-feet behind the front building façade for front entry garages unless it is a *J-Swing* [*or traditional swing*] garage where the garage door is perpendicular to the street."

Applicant's Response to (1) & (2): In lieu of providing the required alleyways, the applicant is proposing to provide 50% *J-Swing* (*or Traditional Swing*) or *Recessed Front Entry* (*i.e. where the garage is setback a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the home*) garages and 50% *Flat Front Entry* garages with a five (5) recess for the garage (*i.e. where the garage is setback five [5] feet from the front façade*). As a compensatory measure the applicant is proposing to provide additional architectural elements into all garage configurations: [*A*] coach lighting, [*B*] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, [*C*] driveways constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained

finished, or salt finished. Staff should also point out that by providing a five (5) foot recess in the *Flat Front Entry* garages a minimum of a 25-foot driveway is being provided. The following are examples of some of the upgraded finishes depicted in the Planned Development District ordinance:

IMAGES 6, 7, 8, & 9: *EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED FINISHES*

DIVIDED BAYS

CARRIAGE HARDWARE

CEDAR CLADDING

ORNAMENTAL PAVING

CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

According to the *Land Use Plan* contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, the subject property is located within the *Northeast Residential District* and is designated for *Low Density Residential* land uses. The plan defines *Low Density Residential* land uses as "… residential subdivisions that are two (2) units per gross acre or less; however, a density of up to two and one-half (2½) units per gross acre may be permitted for developments that incorporate increased amenity and a mix of land uses …" In addition, the Comprehensive Plan defines increased amenity as, "… developments that provide some of the following: (1) open space beyond the required 20%, (2) a golf course and/or other comparable recreation facilities, (3) amenity/recreation facilities, (4) school site integration, (5) dedication or development of park land beyond the required park land dedication, (6) additional development of trails, (7) other amenities deemed appropriate by the City Council." In this case the applicant is proposing a total density of *1.94* dwelling units per acre. In addition, the applicant is proposing to incorporate walking trails and 29.71% open space (*i.e. 9.71% in excess of the required 20.00%*). Based on this the applicant's request appears to be in conformance with the *Low Density Residential* designation indicated for the subject property.

According to the *Northeast Residential District*, the district "… is characterized by its established low-density residential subdivisions and rural/estate style lots … [*and*] is anticipated to be a future growth center for the City, having several large vacant tracts of land suitable for low-density, residential development." Looking at the *District Strategies* for the *Northeast Residential District* for *Suburban Residential*, the plan states that "…new *Suburban Residential* developments should include a mix of larger to mid-sized lots. Lots in these developments should not be smaller than existing *Suburban Residential* in this district." In this case, the applicant is proposing lot products that range from 62' x 110' (*or a minimum of 7,200 SF*) to 100' x 130' (*or a minimum of 13,000 SF*). After reviewing the district, staff has identified the following *Suburban Residential* developments that have similar lot sizes:

(1) *Ladera of Rockwall*. This development is platted as one (1) large lot, but calls out *Artificial Lots* (*i.e. setup in a condominium regime*) that consist of the following lot products: 20, 44.5' x 80'; 31, 42' x 77'; 41, 54' x 60'; and 6, 64' x 50'.
(2) *Saddle Star*. 143, 70' x 125' (*or a minimum of 8,750 SF*) and 33, 80' x 125' (*or a minimum of 10,000 SF*).
(3) *Dalton Ranch*. 75' x 120' and 80' x 125'
(4) *Gideon Grove (Phase 1)*. 45, 80' x 125' (*or a minimum of 10,000 SF*) and 27, 100' x 150' (*or a minimum of 15,000 SF*)
(5) *Gideon Grove (Phase 2)*. 53, 60' x 120' (*or a minimum of 7,000 SF*) and 31, 70' x 120' (*or a minimum of 8,400 SF*)
(6) *Nelson Lakes*. 134, 60' x 120' (*or a minimum of 7,000 SF*); 68, 70' x 120' (*or a minimum of 8,400 SF*); and 58, 72' x 120' (*or a minimum of 8,600 SF*)
(7) *Winding Creek*. 132, 90' x 100' (*or a minimum of 16,000 SF*)

Staff should point out that the majority of the applicant's request is for the smaller *Type 'E'* lots (*42.31%*), which are the 62' x 110' lots (*or a minimum of 7,200 SF*). The only developments with smaller lot sizes than the *Type 'E'* lots are the Ladera of Rockwall and Nelson Lakes Subdivisions. It should also be pointed out that the subject property is directly adjacent to the Ladera of Rockwall Subdivision, which has the smallest lot sizes in this district.

With regard to the policies and goals for residential development contained in the Comprehensive Plan, the applicant's request incorporates the majority of these policies and goals (*e.g. minimum of six [6] side yard setbacks on all lot types, fronting of*

homes on to a park/open space, designed utilizing the Housing Tree Model, etc.); however, staff has identified the following non-conformities on the concept plan and provided the following recommendations to the applicant:

RED: NOT INCORPORATED INTO THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT ORDINANCE.
BLUE: INCORPORATED INTO THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT ORDINANCE.

(1) *CH. 08 | Sec. 02.03 | Goal 03; Policy 3*: In cases where *Flat Front Entry* garages (*i.e. even with the front façade of the primary structure*) are requested as part of a development no greater than 20% should be incorporated into the development. In addition, *Flat Front Entry* garages should have a minimum of a 25-foot front yard building setback to allow vehicles to be parked in the driveway without overhanging public right-of-way. This type of garage may not be appropriate for all developments and should be generally discouraged.

Staff Response: If *a Flat Front Entry* garage configuration with a recess of five (5) feet is being requested it should be limited to 20%. *The applicant has chosen to request 50% Flat Front Entry garages and 50% J-Swing (or Traditional Swing) or Recessed Front Entry (i.e. where the garage is setback a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the home) garages, but has consented to upgraded garage doors and driveway requirements. In addition, the added five (5) foot recess provides a 25-foot driveway on the Flat Front Entry garage product, which has a similar effect as the 25-foot setback requested by the Comprehensive Plan.*

Taking into account the applicant's concept plan, proposed density, and development standards, the request does appear to conform with the majority of the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan; however, as with all zoning cases, this request remains a discretionary decision for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission.

NOTIFICATIONS

On February 25, 2022, staff mailed 78 notices to property owners and occupants within 500-feet of the subject property. Staff also notified the Caruth Lakes (*Caruth Ridge Estates*) Homeowner's Association (HOA), which is the only HOA within 1,500-feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was drafted, staff had received two (2) property owner notifications from property owners within the 500-foot notification area in opposition to the applicant's request.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the applicant's request to rezone the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:

(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the concept plan and development standards contained in the Planned Development District ordinance.

(2) The applicant will be required to put an avigation easement over the property to ensure that homeowners are provided with information showing that they are within close proximity to the flight path of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport. This will need to be established with the final plat for the subdivision.

(3) No development or amenities can take place within the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport.

(4) As part of the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) and/or roadway design, the need for a left turn lane on FM-1141 -- *at the proposed drive approach* -- shall be determined.

(5) Any construction resulting from the approval of this *Zoning Change* shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY

PLANNING & ZONING CASE NO. Z2022-010

NOTE: *THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.*

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:

CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ MASTER PLAT ($100.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ PRELIMINARY PLAT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ FINAL PLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ REPLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ($150.00)
- ☐ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ($100.00)

SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ SITE PLAN ($250.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN ($100.00)

ZONING APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ ZONING CHANGE ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1 & 2]
- ☑ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]

OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ TREE REMOVAL ($75.00)
- ☐ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS ($100.00) [2]

NOTES:
[1]: IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.
[2]: A ***$1,000.00*** FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

ADDRESS	1649 FM 1141, Rockwall, TX 75087		
SUBDIVISION	ABS A0122, MB Jones, Tract 4-01	LOT	BLOCK
GENERAL LOCATION	Approximatly 1250 feet east of the intersection John King and FM 1141 South of 1141		

ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

CURRENT ZONING	Agricultural	CURRENT USE	Agricultural
PROPOSED ZONING	Planned Development District	PROPOSED USE	Single Family
ACREAGE	93.97	LOTS [CURRENT] 1	LOTS [PROPOSED] 182

☐ ***SITE PLANS AND PLATS:*** *BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.*

OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]

☐ OWNER	Mike Peoples	☐ APPLICANT	Michael Joyce Properties
CONTACT PERSON	Mike Peoples	CONTACT PERSON	Ryan Joyce
ADDRESS	1850 FM 1141	ADDRESS	767 Justin Rd
CITY, STATE & ZIP	Rockwall, TX 75087	CITY, STATE & ZIP	Rockwall, TX 75087
PHONE		PHONE	512-965-6280
E-MAIL		E-MAIL	ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED Mike Peoples [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:

"I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF $_____, TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE 14 DAY OF Feb, 20 22 BY SIGNING THIS APPLICATION, I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL (I.E. "CITY") IS AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION."

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE ON THIS THE 14 DAY OF Feb, 20 22

OWNER'S SIGNATURE

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS TEXAS

ROBERT LEE BASS
NOTARY PUBLIC
ID# 12344082
State of Texas
Comm. Exp. 06-05-2024

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 06-05-2024



City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.



Case Number: Z2022-010
Case Name: PD Development Plan for Vallis Greene
Case Type: Zoning
Zoning: Agricultural (AG) District
Case Address: 1649 FM 1141



Date Saved: 2/18/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745

Miller, Ryan

From: Gamez, Angelica
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 2:27 PM
Cc: Miller, Ryan; Lee, Henry; Ross, Bethany
Subject: Neighborhood Notification Program [Z2022-010]
Attachments: Public Notice (02.23.2022).pdf; HOA Map (02.22.2022).pdf

HOA/Neighborhood Association Representative:

Per your participation in the *Neighborhood Notification Program*, you are receiving this notice to inform your organization that a zoning case has been filed with the City of Rockwall that is located within 1,500-feet of the boundaries of your neighborhood. As the contact listed for your organization, you are encouraged to share this information with the residents of your subdivision. Please find the attached map detailing the property requesting to be rezoned in relation to your subdivision boundaries. Additionally, below is the summary of the zoning case that will be published in the Rockwall Herald Banner on *February 25, 2022*. The Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on *Tuesday, March 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM*, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 6:00 PM*. Both hearings will take place at 6:00 PM at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad, Rockwall, TX 75087.

All interested parties are encouraged to submit public comments via email to Planning@rockwall.com at least 30 minutes in advance of the meeting. Please include your name, address, and the case number your comments are referring to. These comments will be read into the record during each of the public hearings. Additional information on all current development cases can be found on the City's website: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases.

Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD
Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a *Zoning Change* from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

Thank you,

Angelica Gamez
Planning & Zoning Coordinator
City of Rockwall
972.771.7745 Office
972.772.6438 Direct
http://www.rockwall.com/planning/

This email was scanned by Bitdefender

City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.



Case Number: Z2022-010
Case Name: PD Development Plan for Vallis Greene
Case Type: Zoning
Zoning: Agricultural (AG) District
Case Address: 1649 FM 1141



Date Saved: 2/18/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745

MAUTNER ERNESTO & BEATRICE
103 N GREENPRINT CIRCLE
TOMBALL, TX 76262

BROWN LARRY MELVIN & MARSHA LIZBETH
1042 LAKE SHORE DR
MESQUITE, TX

SEE BETTY
110 WESTMINISTER
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

PEOPLES MIKE L
111 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RATLIFF JENNIFER L
123 HARKER TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ANDRULAT ALEC J AND VERONICA BITZ
124 HARKER TR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCKEE MARK D
131 MEADOWLARK CIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SQUIER NATALIE
153 MEADOWLARK CIRCLE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAMPTON ELDEN L
1530 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GRIFFITH JESSE JOHN AND SARAH KRISTIN
1578 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WHYDE KIMBERLY
1602 ENCANTO TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX

GIAMPAPA SANTO AND SUSAN
1606 ENCANTO TRL
ROCKWALL, TX

SANDKNOP LES T AND CLAUDIA J
1614 ENCANTO TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX

SHANNON STANLEY W
162 HARKER TRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DENNEY DENNIS W & LINDA L
162 MEADOWLARK CIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
1620 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
1624 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
1628 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL PROPERTY CORPORATION
ATTN BILL BRICKER
1630 WILLIAMS
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
1632 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
1636 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HUDDIN RYAN S AND JADA
1666 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L JR AND
SHERYL NEWMAN PEOPLES
1700 STATE HIGHWAY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CITY OF ROCKWALL
1701 AIRPORT RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WAINNER MATTHEW CODY &
MELANIE RENEE HUMBLE
173 MEADOWLARK CIRCLE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SCHRAMM EDWIN W III & PATSY ANN
1895 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L
1936 HWY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BELEW THOMAS E SR
DIANNE S CURREY
2 NORMAN TRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L
2026 HWY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SALINAS HILSE S
2068 STATE HWY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GILLILAND TOMMY JOE
2098 WILLIAMS
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RANDLE SUSAN AND DERRICE
226 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAIN FAMILY PARTNERSHIP LTD
2294 ESTATE HIGHWAY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MIKE
291 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL PROPERTY CORPORATION
ATTN BILL BRICKER
305 PARK PLACE BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAIN FAMILY PARTNERSHIP LTD
305 STONEBRIDGE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MIKE
333 CORNELIUS
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
361 W BYRON NELSON BLVD SUITE 104
ROANOKE, TX 76262

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
361 W BYRON NELSON BLVD SUITE 104
ROANOKE, TX 76262

DAVIS WILLIAM PAUL JR
510 TURTLE COVE BLVD STE 200
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VACLAVIK JOHN AND MARCILE
610 W COLCHESTER DR
EAGLE, ID

RILEY RODNEY H & JANET E
802 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
806 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
810 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
814 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ALDERMAN CLAUDETTE
815 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
818 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
819 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LANCASTER JAMES EVERETT JR &
GEORGIANA MARIE
820 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

RW LADERA LLC
822 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
825 BELLA CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WALLACE MARTHA G
826 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
826 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MAUTNER ERNESTO & BEATRICE
829 BELLA CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EVANS MARY E
830 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

HUCK WALTER R AND MARY A
830 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
831 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
833 BELLA CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOPKINS TEENA
834 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

PAUL DAVID OLIVIER AND LYN DIANE OLIVIER
FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST
LYN DIANE OLIVIER- SURVIVING TRUSTOR
834 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MURPHY DON & SHARON
837 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

RW LADERA LLC
837 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HENDLEY BEVERLY
838 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
841 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
841RIO VISTALN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOWARD EDWARD L AND BRENDA S
902 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

DELK JEANNETTE FREY
903 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

HAMBLIN DONALD ELEX AND DEBORAH LUCILLE
905 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

EASLEY PHYLLIS
909 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX

JONES BRENDA K & SAM A
910 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX

ROONEY CATHERINE
912 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX

JOHN AND LYNNE MCMAHAN REVOCABLE TRUST
JOHN AND LYNNE MCMAHAN- TRUSTEES
912 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

BROCHON DELILIA A AND MARTIAL H
913 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX

G & C DAVIS FAMILY 2013 TRUST
GREGORY A DAVIS AND CAROL L DAVIS - CO TRUSTEES
916 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

DIMISSEW SAMUEL
956 S WEATHERRED DR
RICHARDSON, TX 75080

LUKER LEO
P.O. BOX 1599
LYTLE, TX 78052

POTTER JOHN D ETUX
PO BOX 259
FATE, TX 75132

PEOPLES MICHAEL L
PO BOX 41
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PUBLIC NOTICE

CITY OF ROCKWALL
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
PHONE: (972) 771-7745
EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on *Tuesday, March 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM*, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 6:00 PM*. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Ryan Miller
Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 4:00 PM* to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,

Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning & Zoning

USE THIS QR CODE
TO GO DIRECTLY
TO THE WEBSITE

MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

☐ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

☐ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

Name:

Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

PUBLIC NOTICE

CITY OF ROCKWALL
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
PHONE: (972) 771-7745
EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on *Tuesday, March 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM*, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 6:00 PM*. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Ryan Miller
Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 4:00 PM* to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,

Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning & Zoning

USE THIS QR CODE TO GO DIRECTLY TO THE WEBSITE

MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

☐ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

☑ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

Airport landing strip is right across the street and property is in path to landing planes on runway. There was a recent plane crash on Hwy 66. ~~[illegible]~~ Also FM 1141 & hwy 66 are ~~[illegible]~~ narrow and not set up well for increased traffic.

Name: Jada Hudin

Address: 1666 FM 1141 Rockwall TX 75087

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

☐ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

☒ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

I just moved to this area in June 2021 to get away from busyness in Mesquite area. I selected a lot with tree/creek views to enjoy semi country living. There is too much development in this area of Rockwall.

Name: Kimberly Whyde

Address: 1602 Encanto Trail Rockwall, TX 75087

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

JOHNSON VOLK
CONSULTING
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, Texas 75074 | 972.201.3100

February 18, 2022

City of Rockwall
Planning Department
385 South Goliad
Rockwall, TX 75087

RE: Vallis Greene – Zoning Application

Dear Staff,

The purpose of this letter is to formally request a zoning change for the referenced property located south of FM 1141 and north of SH 66. The subject development will consist of +/-182 single family lots on a 93.97 acre tract. After meeting with staff at a Development Review Committee Meeting, the Concept Plan included with this submittal is representative of our proposed vision for the property.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions regarding this project or application.

Sincerely,

Johnson Volk, Inc.

Tom Dayton, PE



LOCATION MAP
NOT TO SCALE

GRAPHIC SCALE

200 100 0 200 600

(IN FEET)
1 inch = 200 ft.



LEGEND

⊙	1" RED BOUNDARY MARKER STAMPED "G&A MCADAMS CO" FOUND (UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)
●	1/2" IRON ROD SET WITH YELLOW PLASTIC CAP STAMPED "JVC"
<CM>	CONTROL MONUMENT
FCP	FENCE CORNER POST FOUND
D.R.R.C.T.	DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS
P.R.R.C.T.	PLAT RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS

NOTES:

1. BASIS OF BEARINGS DERIVED FROM THE TEXAS STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, NAD83, NORTH CENTRAL ZONE. DIRECTION FOR CONTROL ESTABLISHED THROUGH <CM1> AND <CM2> HAVING A BEARING AND DISTANCE OF S29°53'54"E, 2,758.60'.

2. THE SUBJECT TRACT LIES WITHIN ZONE X (UNSHADED), DEFINED AS "AREAS DETERMINED TO BE OUTSIDE THE 0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOODPLAIN" AND ZONE A, DEFINED AS "SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAs) SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD" AS IDENTIFIED BY THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA), FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP (FIRM) AS SHOWN ON COMMUNITY PANEL NOS. 48397C0030L AND 48397C0035L, DATED SEPTEMBER 26, 2008 FOR ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND INCORPORATED AREAS.

3. SURVEYOR ADDRESSED ONLY THOSE ITEMS LISTED IN TITLE RESOURCES GUARANTY COMPANY'S COMMITMENT FOR TITLE INSURANCE, G.F. NO. 22135356-10GH, EFFECTIVE DATE FEBRUARY 16, 2022 AND ISSUED FEBRUARY 22, 2022. NO FURTHER RESEARCH WAS PERFORMED BY SURVEYOR.

4. VISIBLE IMPROVEMENTS/UTILITIES WERE NOT LOCATED WITH THIS SURVEY, NO SUBSURFACE PROBING, EXCAVATION OR EXPLORATION WAS PERFORMED FOR THIS SURVEY.

5. FENCES SHOWN HEREON ARE GRAPHIC ONLY AND MAY MEANDER BETWEEN MEASURED LOCATIONS.

6. THE ACREAGE TOTALS AS SHOWN HEREON ARE BASED ON THE MATHEMATICAL CLOSURE OF THE COURSES AND DISTANCES REFLECTED ON THIS SURVEY. THEY DO NOT INCLUDE THE TOLERANCES THAT MAY BE PRESENT DUE TO THE POSITIONAL ACCURACY OF THE BOUNDARY MONUMENTATION.

7. THIS SURVEY WAS PERFORMED EXCLUSIVELY FOR THE PARTIES CERTIFIED TO HEREON AND REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF THE SURVEYOR. THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OR REUSE OF THIS SURVEY WITHOUT THE EXPRESSED WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE SURVEYOR IS NOT PERMITTED. THE USE OF THIS SURVEY BY ANYONE OTHER THAN THE CERTIFIED PARTIES OR FOR ANY UNINTENDED USE WILL REMOVE SURVEYOR FROM BURDEN OF ANY DAMAGES INCURRED.

8. THE TERM "CERTIFY" OR "CERTIFICATE" AS SHOWN AND USED HEREON INDICATES AN EXPRESSION OF PROFESSIONAL OPINION REGARDING THE FACTS OF THE SURVEY AND DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A WARRANTY OR GUARANTEE, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED; AND IS EXCLUSIVELY TO THE PARTIES INVOLVED IN AND LIMITED TO THE TRANSACTION CLOSING AT THE TITLE COMPANY INDICATED HEREON.

SCHEDULE B NOTES:

10e. EASEMENT TO TEXAS POWER & LIGHT RECORDED IN VOLUME 33, PAGE 256, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10f. EASEMENT TO TEXAS POWER & LIGHT RECORDED IN VOLUME 33, PAGE 267, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10g. EASEMENT TO TEXAS POWER & LIGHT RECORDED IN VOLUME 33, PAGE 268, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10h. EASEMENT TO TEXAS POWER & LIGHT RECORDED IN VOLUME 40, PAGE 193, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10i. EASEMENT TO STATE OF TEXAS RECORDED IN VOLUME 45, PAGE 266, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL, COUNTY, TEXAS. (AS SHOWN ON SURVEY)

10j. EASEMENT TO STATE OF TEXAS RECORDED IN VOLUME 46, PAGE 249, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (AS SHOWN ON SURVEY)

10k. EASEMENT TO NORTH TEXAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT RECORDED IN VOLUME 54, PAGE 199, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10l. EASEMENT TO NORTH TEXAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT RECORDED IN VOLUME 54, PAGE 394, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10m. EASEMENT TO CITY OF ROCKWALL RECORDED IN DOCUMENT NO. 2019-4112, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (AS SHOWN ON SURVEY)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72 and the M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 850, Page 15, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described as 0.64 Acre Tract in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 138, Page 106, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 190, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Michael L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a 1/2 inch iron rod found in the south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141, an 80 foot right-of-way, for the northwest corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE Easterly, with said south line, the following four (4) courses and distances:

North 83 degrees 33 minutes 49 seconds East, a distance of 62.35 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the right having a central angle of 07 degrees 20 minutes, a radius of 2,824.93 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 86 degrees 53 minutes 49 seconds East, 361.32 feet;

Easterly, with said curve to the right, an arc distance of 361.57 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

South 86 degrees 46 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 289.88 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 57 degrees 36 minutes 49 seconds, a radius of 517.68 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 64 degrees 24 minutes 40 seconds East, 498.90 feet;

Northeasterly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 520.55 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the intersection of said south line with the south line of Cornelius Road, a 60 foot right-of-way;

THENCE North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, leaving said south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141 and with said south line of Cornelius Road, a distance of 37.01 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

THENCE South 00 degrees 29 minutes 15 seconds East, leaving said south line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 300.96 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 61 degrees 44 minutes 36 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 134.73 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE North 00 degrees 05 minutes 20 seconds West, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 152.26 feet to a fence corner post found for an exterior ell corner of that tract of land described in Deed to City of Rockwall, Texas, as recorded in Volume 58, Page 191, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE North 89 degrees 12 minutes 20 seconds East, with the south line of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 657.00 feet to a fence corner post found for the southeast corner of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract;

THENCE South 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds East, a distance of 835.49 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Cain Family Partnership Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 2008-00396788, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and an interior ell corner of said Mike Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196;

THENCE South 01 degrees 35 minutes 19 seconds East, a distance of 1,090.27 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common east line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and west line of said Cain Family Partnership Ltd. tract;

THENCE South 83 degrees 16 minutes 15 seconds West, leaving said common line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 258.34 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 05 degrees 53 minutes 32 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 78.43 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

THENCE South 83 degrees 38 minutes 06 seconds West, a distance of 415.44 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common west line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and east line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE South 01 degrees 18 minutes 41 seconds East, with said common line, a distance of 334.84 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set in the north line of State Highway No. 66, a variable width right-of-way, for the common southeast corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 and southwest corner of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151;

THENCE South 89 degrees 38 minutes 42 seconds West, with said north line, a distance of 241.04 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the southeast corner of Lot 1, Block A of LADERA ROCKWALL, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Document No. 20190000016594, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Northwesterly, with the north line of said Lot 1, the following five (5) courses and distances:

North 63 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, leaving said north line of State Highway No. 66, a distance of 347.40 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

North 29 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 384.58 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 65 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 278.64 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

South 88 degrees 32 minutes 20 seconds West, a distance of 252.79 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 37 degrees 46 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 128.78 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found in the west line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 for an interior ell corner of said Lot 1;

THENCE North 01 degrees 27 minutes 52 seconds West, with said west line, a distance of 1,699.38 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 94.133 acres of land, more or less.

SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE:

To MRJOYCE, LLC; MIKE L. PEOPLES; ALLEGIANCE TITLE COMPANY; and TITLE RESOURCES GUARANTY COMPANY:

I, Ryan S. Reynolds, a Registered Professional Land Surveyor in the State Of Texas, do hereby certify that this plat is based on an actual on the ground survey made under my direct supervision and all corners are monumented as shown hereon. Except as shown, there are no visible encroachments, conflicts or protrusions apparent on the ground.

PRELIMINARY, THIS DOCUMENT SHALL NOT BE RECORDED FOR ANY PURPOSE AND SHALL NOT BE USED OR VIEWED OR RELIED UPON AS A FINAL SURVEY DOCUMENT.

RYAN S. REYNOLDS
REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR
NO. 6385

SURVEYED ON THE GROUND: FEBRUARY 28, 2022

JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING
TBPELS Engineering Firm No. 11962 / Land Surveying Firm No. 10194033
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, TX 75074 | 972.201.3100

WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRAT NO. 72
M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122

LAND TITLE SURVEY
94.133 ACRES
CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS

STATE OF TEXAS
RYAN S. REYNOLDS
#6385
PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR

03/04/2022

SCALE:
1"=200'
One Inch

JVC No 2215

SHEET 1 OF 1

J:\Civil 3d projects\2215 - vallis green\survey\dwg\2215-rdk-94.133ac.dwg

LEGAL DESCRIPTION
94.133 ACRES

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72 and the M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 850, Page 15, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described as 0.64 Acre Tract in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 138, Page 106, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 190, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Michael L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a 1/2 inch iron rod found in the south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141, an 80 foot right-of-way, for the northwest corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE Easterly, with said south line, the following four (4) courses and distances:

> North 83 degrees 33 minutes 49 seconds East, a distance of 62.35 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped “JVC” set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the right having a central angle of 07 degrees 20 minutes, a radius of 2,824.93 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 86 degrees 53 minutes 49 seconds East, 361.32 feet;
>
> Easterly, with said curve to the right, an arc distance of 361.57 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped “JVC” set for corner;
>
> South 86 degrees 46 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 289.88 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped “JVC” set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 57 degrees 36 minutes 49 seconds, a radius of 517.68 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 64 degrees 24 minutes 40 seconds East, 498.90 feet;
>
> Northeasterly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 520.55 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped “JVC” set for corner at the intersection of said south line with the south line of Cornelius Road, a 60 foot right-of-way;

THENCE North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, leaving said south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141 and with said south line of Cornelius Road, a distance of 37.01 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped “JVC” set for corner;

THENCE South 00 degrees 29 minutes 15 seconds East, leaving said south line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 300.96 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 61 degrees 44 minutes 36 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 134.73 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE North 00 degrees 05 minutes 20 seconds West, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 152.26 feet to a fence corner post found for an exterior ell corner of that tract of land described in Deed to City of Rockwall, Texas, as recorded in Volume 58, Page 191, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE North 89 degrees 12 minutes 20 seconds East, with the south line of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 657.00 feet to a fence corner post found for the southeast corner of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract;

THENCE South 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds East, a distance of 835.49 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Cain Family Partnership Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 2008-00396788, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and an interior ell corner of said Mike Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196;

THENCE South 01 degrees 35 minutes 19 seconds East, a distance of 1,090.27 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common east line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and west line of said Cain Family Partnership Ltd. tract;

THENCE South 83 degrees 16 minutes 15 seconds West, leaving said common line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 258.34 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 05 degrees 53 minutes 32 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 78.43 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

THENCE South 83 degrees 38 minutes 06 seconds West, a distance of 415.44 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common west line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and east line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE South 01 degrees 18 minutes 41 seconds East, with said common line, a distance of 334.84 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set in the north line of State Highway No. 66, a variable width right-of-way, for the common southeast corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 and southwest corner of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151;

THENCE South 89 degrees 38 minutes 42 seconds West, with said north line, a distance of 241.04 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for the southeast corner of Lot 1, Block A of LADERA ROCKWALL, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Document No. 20190000016594, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Northwesterly, with the north line of said Lot 1, the following five (5) courses and distances:

> North 63 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, leaving said north line of State Highway No. 66, a distance of 347.40 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;
>
> North 29 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 384.58 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;
>
> North 65 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 278.64 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;
>
> South 88 degrees 32 minutes 20 seconds West, a distance of 252.79 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;
>
> North 37 degrees 46 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 128.78 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found in the west line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 for an interior ell corner of said Lot 1;

THENCE North 01 degrees 27 minutes 52 seconds West, with said west line, a distance of 1,699.38 feet to the **POINT OF BEGINNING** and containing 94.133 acres of land, more or less.



ALL LOTS LESS THAN 12,000 SF ARE LOCATED WITHIN 800 FT OF A PUBLIC OR PRIVATE OPEN SPACE.

OPEN SPACE	ACRES
FLOOD PLAIN	29.72
OTHER	13.06
TOTAL	42.78
OPEN SPACE RATIO*	
[(29.72/2) + 13.06] / 94.13 =	29.7%

*ASSUMING HALF OF FLOODPLAIN

JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING
TBPELS: Engineering Firm No. 11962 / Land Surveying Firm No. 10194033
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, TX 75074 | 972.201.3100

CONCEPT PLAN
VALLIS GREENE
94.13 ACRES
ROCKWALL TEXAS

LOT TYPE	MIN. LOT AREA (SF)
A (100'x130')	13,000
B (82'x120')	10,000
C (72'x120')	9,000
D (72'x120')	8,400
E (62'x120')	7,200

100 50 0 100
SCALE 1" = 100'

ZONING CASE # Z2022-010

05 FUTURE LAND USE PLAN
01 LAND USE PLAN DESIGNATIONS

01.01 RESIDENTIAL

LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (LDR)

The *Low Density Residential* land use category consists of residential subdivisions that are two (2) units per gross acre or less; however, a density of up to two and one-half (2½) units per gross acre may be permitted for developments that incorporate increased amenity and a mix of land uses (*see Chapter 8, Residential Developments, of this Comprehensive Plan*).

DESIGNATION CHARACTERISTICS

1. *Primary Land Uses:* Suburban, Estate and Rural Residential (*i.e. Single-Family Detached Homes*)
2. *Secondary Land Uses:* Amenities, Parks, Open Space, and Institutional/Civic Land Uses
3. *Zoning Districts:* All Single-Family Estate (SFE) Districts (i.e. SFE 1.5, 2.0 & 4.0), certain Planned Development (PD) Districts and the Single-Family One (SF-1) District.

EXISTING LAND USE EXAMPLES

1. Breezy Hill Subdivision
2. Stone Creek Subdivision
3. Oaks of Buffalo Way Subdivision

RESIDENTIAL DENSITY CHART



MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (MDR)

The *Medium Density Residential* land use category consists of residential subdivisions that are greater than two and one-half (2½) units per gross acre, but not higher than three (3) units per gross acre; however, a density of up to three and one-half (3½) units per gross acre may be permitted for developments that incorporate increased amenity and a mix of land uses (*see Chapter 8, Residential Developments, of this Comprehensive Plan*).

DESIGNATION CHARACTERISTICS

1. *Primary Land Uses:* Suburban Residential (*i.e. Single-Family Detached Homes*)
2. *Secondary Land Uses:* Amenities, Parks, Open Space, and Institutional/Civic Land Uses
3. *Zoning Districts:* Certain Planned Development (PD) Districts and the Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District

EXISTING LAND USE EXAMPLES

1. Caruth Lakes Subdivision
2. Lago VistaSubdivision
3. Park Place Subdivision

RESIDENTIAL DENSITY CHART



HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (HDR)

The *High Density Residential* land use category may consist of single-family residential homes, duplexes, townhomes, apartments, lofts, condominiums or other forms of housing that exceed three and one-half (3½) units per gross acre. These developments should contain increased amenities and open space, and incorporate pedestrian connectivity to adjacent land uses.

DESIGNATION CHARACTERISTICS

1. *Primary Land Uses:* Suburban and Urban Residential (*i.e. Single-Family Detached, Single-Family Attached, Zero Lot Line Homes, Townhomes, Duplexes, Condominiums and Multi-Family Apartments*)
2. *Secondary Land Uses:* Amenities, Parks, Open Space, and Institutional/Civic Land Uses
3. *Zoning Districts:* Certain Planned Development (PD) Districts, Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District, Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, Zero Lot Line (ZL-5) District, Two Family (2F) District, and the Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District.

EXISTING LAND USE EXAMPLES

1. Turtle Cove Subdivision
2. Sixteen50 @ Lake Ray Hubbard Apartments
3. Mission Rockwall Apartment Complex

RESIDENTIAL DENSITY CHART



NOTE: HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL REQUIRES INCREASED AMENITY

11 NORTHEAST RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT

DISTRICT DESCRIPTION

The *Northeast Residential District* is characterized by its established low-density residential subdivisions and rural/estate style lots. This district is anticipated to be a future growth center for the City, having several large vacant tracts of land suitable for low-density, residential development. In addition, the City currently owns a large tract of land that will be a northern community park and serve this district in the future.

DISTRICT STRATEGIES

The *Northeast Residential District* being mostly an established residential district, is not anticipated to change or transition. The strategies for this district are:

1. *Estate and Rural Residential*. The maintenance of the *Estate* and *Rural Residential* housing types are important to balancing the diversity of suburban lots to large lot housing within the City. These areas also provide rural reserves for the City and create a natural transition zone to the east, towards FM-3549.
2. *Suburban Residential*. Any new *Suburban Residential* developments should include a mix of larger to mid-sized lots. Lots in these developments should not be smaller than existing *Suburban Residential* in this district.
3. *Infill Development*. Residential infill development within this district should be compatible with the surrounding structures and should generally follow the guidelines for low density, suburban housing or rural/estate housing.
4. *Neighborhood/Convenience Centers*. The commercial in this district is intended to support the existing residential subdivisions and should be compatible in scale with the adjacent residential structures.
5. *John King Boulevard Trail Plan*. A ten (10) foot hike/bike trail should be incorporated along John King Boulevard with rest stops and signage as indicated in *Appendix 'B'* of this Comprehensive Plan.



POINTS OF REFERENCE

A. Stoney Hollow Subdivision
B. Celia Hays Elementary School
C. North Country Lane Park
D. Saddlebrook Estates Subdivision
E. Resthaven Funeral Home

2 Current Suburban Residential

2 Future Suburban Residential

1 Current Rural Residential

LAND USE PALETTES

- Current Land Use
- Future Land Use

John King Boulevard Trail Plan
Rest Stop/Trailblazer Pylon

BUILD OUT
1,964
5,794

% OF ROCKWALL
3.13%
0.99%
3.10%

CURRENT
625
18
1,844



MINOR COLLECTOR	
M4U	
M4D	

COMMERCIAL	0.09%
RESIDENTIAL	99.91%
MIXED USE	0.00%

CEMETERY (CEM)	32.34-ACRES
COMMERCIAL/RETAIL (CR)	1.52-ACRES
LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (LDR)	1,658.33-ACRES
PARKS AND OPEN SPACE (OS)	178.54-ACRES
PUBLIC (P)	92.45-ACRES
QUASI-PUBLIC (QP)	3.25-ACRES

CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 22-XX

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [*ORDINANCE NO. 20-02*] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO CHANGE THE ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT TO PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT XX (PD-XX) FOR SINGLE-FAMILY 10 (SF-10) DISTRICT LAND USES ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, BEING A 93.97-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS TRACT 4-01 OF THE M. B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY *EXHIBIT 'A'* AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY *EXHIBIT 'B'*; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ($2,000.00) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City has received a request by Ryan Joyce of the Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a zoning change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and more fully described in *Exhibit 'A'* and depicted in *Exhibit 'B'* of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the *Subject Property* and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code [*Ordinance No. 20-02*] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the *Subject Property* shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District Ordinance and the Unified Development Code [*Ordinance No. 20-02*] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 2. That development of the *Subject Property* shall generally be in accordance with the *Concept Plan*, depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as *Exhibit 'C'*, which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the *Subject Property*;

SECTION 3. That development of the *Subject Property* shall generally be in accordance with the *Density and Development Standards*, outlined in *Exhibit 'D'* of this ordinance, attached

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.15.2022

hereto and incorporated herein by reference as *Exhibit 'D'*, which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the *Subject Property*;

SECTION 4. That a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* for the *Subject Property*, prepared in accordance with this ordinance and consistent with the *Planned Development Concept Plan* described in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

SECTION 5. That development of the *Subject Property* shall be in conformance with the schedule listed below (*except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals*).

(a) The procedures set forth in the City's subdivision regulations on the date this ordinance is approved by the City, as amended by this ordinance [*including Subsections 5(b) through 5(g) below*], shall be the exclusive procedures applicable to the subdivision and platting of the *Subject Property*.

(b) The following plans and plats shall be required in the order listed below (*except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals*). The City Council shall act on an application for a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* in accordance with the time period specified in *Section 212.009* of the *Texas Local Government Code*.

(1) Master Parks and Open Space Plan
(2) Master Plat
(3) Preliminary Plat
(4) PD Site Plan
(5) Final Plat

(c) *Master Parks and Open Space Plan*. A *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* for the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, prepared in accordance with this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

(d) *Master Plat*. A *Master Plat* for the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify the proposed timing of each phase of the proposed development. A *Master Plat* application may be processed by the City concurrently with a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* application for the development.

(e) *Preliminary Plat*. A *Preliminary Plat* for each phase of the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be submitted in accordance with the phasing plan established by the *Master Plat* and shall include a *Treescape Plan* for the phase being *Preliminary Platted*. A *Preliminary Plat* application may be processed by the City concurrently with a *Master Plat* and a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* application for the development.

(f) *PD Site Plan*. A *PD Site Plan* for each phase of the development of the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify all site/landscape/hardscape plan(s) for all open space, neighborhood parks, trail systems, street buffers and entry features. A *PD Site Plan* application may be processed by the City concurrently with a *Final Plat* application for the development.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.15.2022

(g) *Final Plat*. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, a *Final Plat*, conforming to the *Preliminary Plat*, shall be submitted for approval.

SECTION 6. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of *Two Thousand Dollars* ($2,000.00) for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 7. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 8. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code or any provision of the City Code, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code (including references to the *Unified Development Code*), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the Exhibits hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 9. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE 4TH DAY OF JULY, 2021.

_____

Kevin Fowler, *Mayor*

ATTEST:

_____

Kristy Teague, *City Secretary*

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____

Frank J. Garza, *City Attorney*

1st Reading: *March 21, 2022*

2nd Reading: *April 4, 2022*

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.15.2022

Exhibit 'A':
Legal Description

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72 and the M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 850, Page 15, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described as 0.64 Acre Tract in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 138, Page 106, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 190, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Michael L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a ½-inch iron rod found in the south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141, an 80-foot right-of-way, for the northwest corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE Easterly, with said south line, the following four (4) courses and distances:

North 83 degrees 33 minutes 49 seconds East, a distance of 62.35 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the right having a central angle of 07 degrees 20 minutes, a radius of 2,824.93 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 86 degrees 53 minutes 49 seconds East, 361.32 feet;

Easterly, with said curve to the right, an arc distance of 361.57 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

South 86 degrees 46 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 289.88 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 57 degrees 36 minutes 49 seconds, a radius of 517.68 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 64 degrees 24 minutes 40 seconds East, 498.90 feet;

Northeasterly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 520.55 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the intersection of said south line with the south line of Cornelius Road, a 60-foot right-of-way;

THENCE North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, leaving said south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141 and with said south line of Cornelius Road, a distance of 37.01 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

THENCE South 00 degrees 29 minutes 15 seconds East, leaving said south line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 300.96 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 61 degrees 44 minutes 36 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 134.73 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE North 00 degrees 05 minutes 20 seconds West, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 152.26 feet to a fence corner post found for an exterior ell corner of that tract of land described in Deed to City of Rockwall, Texas, as recorded in Volume 58, Page 191, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE North 89 degrees 12 minutes 20 seconds East, with the south line of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 657.00 feet to a fence corner post found for the southeast corner of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract;

THENCE South 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds East, a distance of 835.49 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Cain Family Partnership Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 2008-00396788, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and an interior ell corner of said Mike Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196;

THENCE South 01 degrees 35 minutes 19 seconds East, a distance of 1,090.27 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common east line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and west line of said Cain Family Partnership Ltd. tract;

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.15.2022

Exhibit 'A':
Legal Description

THENCE South 83 degrees 16 minutes 15 seconds West, leaving said common line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 258.34 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 05 degrees 53 minutes 32 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 78.43 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

THENCE South 83 degrees 38 minutes 06 seconds West, a distance of 415.44 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common west line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and east line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE South 01 degrees 18 minutes 41 seconds East, with said common line, a distance of 334.84 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set in the north line of State Highway No. 66, a variable width right-of-way, for the common southeast corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 and southwest corner of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151;

THENCE South 89 degrees 38 minutes 42 seconds West, with said north line, a distance of 241.04 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for the southeast corner of Lot 1, Block A of LADERA ROCKWALL, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Document No. 20190000016594, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Northwesterly, with the north line of said Lot 1, the following five (5) courses and distances:

North 63 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, leaving said north line of State Highway No. 66, a distance of 347.40 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

North 29 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 384.58 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 65 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 278.64 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

South 88 degrees 32 minutes 20 seconds West, a distance of 252.79 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 37 degrees 46 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 128.78 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found in the west line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 for an interior ell corner of said Lot 1;

THENCE North 01 degrees 27 minutes 52 seconds West, with said west line, a distance of 1,699.38 feet to the *POINT OF BEGINNING* and containing 94.133 acres of land, more or less.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.15.2022

Exhibit 'B':
Survey



DRAFT
01.05.2022

Exhibit 'C':
Concept Plan



Exhibit 'D':
Density and Development Standards

DENSITY AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.

(1) *Permitted Uses*. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, only those uses permitted within the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as stipulated by the *Permissible Use Charts* contained in Article 04, *Permissible Uses*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), are allowed on the *Subject Property*.

(2) *Lot Composition and Layout*. The lot layout and composition shall generally conform to the *Concept Plan* depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* and stated in *Table 1*, which is as follows:

TABLE 1: LOT COMPOSITION

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size (FT)*	*Minimum Lot Size (SF)*	*Dwelling Units (#)*	*Dwelling Units (%)*
A	100' x 130'	13,000 SF	9	04.95%
B	82' x 120'	10,000 SF	29	15.93%
C	72' x 110'	9,000 SF	45	24.73%
D	72' x 110'	8,400 SF	22	12.09%
E	62' x 110'	7,200 SF	77	42.31%
		Maximum Permitted Units:	*182*	*100.00%*

(3) *Variation in Residential Lot Composition*. The allocation of single-family residential lot types may deviate from the that in Subsection (2), *Residential Lot Composition and Layout*, of this ordinance provided that the maximum allowed total dwelling units does not exceed *182* units provided [*1*] a minimum of 57.69% of the total lot count be comprised of *Lot Types 'A'*, *'B'*, *'C'* & *'D'*, [*2*] a minimum of nine (9) *Lot Type 'A'* and 30 *Lot Type 'B'* lots are provided, and [*3*] a maximum of 42.30% *Lot Type 'E'* lots can be provided.

(4) *Density and Dimensional Requirements*. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, the development standards stipulated by the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as specified by Article 05, *District Development Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) are applicable to all development on the *Subject Property.* The maximum permissible density for the *Subject Property* shall not exceed *1.93* dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed *182* units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in *Table 2*, which are as follows:

TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Lot Type (see Concept Plan) ►	***A***	***B***	***C***	***D***	***E***
Minimum Lot Width [1]	100'	82'	72'	72'	62'
Minimum Lot Depth	130'	120'	115'	110'	110'
Minimum Lot Area	13,000 SF	10,000 SF	9,000 SF	8,400 SF	7,200 SF
Minimum Front Yard Setback [2], [5] & [6]	25'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Side Yard Setback	15'	6'	6'	6'	6'
Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) [2], [5] & [7]	15'	15'	15'	15'	15'
Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement	20'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Maximum Height [3]	35'	35'	35'	35'	35'
Minimum Rear Yard Setback [4]	25'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space]	2,400 SF	2,200 SF	2,200 SF	2,200 SF	2,000 SF
Maximum Lot Coverage	45'	45'	45'	65'	65'

General Notes:

[1]: Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by 20% as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the *Front Yard Building Setback*. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in *Table 1*.

[2]: The location of the *Front Yard Building Setback* as measured from the front property line.

[3]: The *Maximum Height* shall be measured to the eave or top plate (*whichever is greater*) of the single-family home.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 08.15.22

[4]: The location of the *Rear Yard Building Setback* as measured from the rear property line.
[5]: Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the *Front Yard Building Setback* and *Side Yard Building Setback* by up to five (5) feet for any property. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15-feet in width that has glass on at least 50% of each of the encroaching faces.
[6]: *J-Swing* or *Traditional Swing Garages* are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a minimum of five (5) feet.
[7]: All *Corner Lots* that back to a lot that fronts onto the same street that the *Corner Lot* sides to (*i.e. a Keystone Lot*), shall have a setback that is equal to the front setback of the fronting lot. In addition, no solid fence shall be situated within this setback.

(5) *Building Standards*. All development shall adhere to the following building standards:

(a) *Masonry Requirement*. The minimum masonry requirement for the exterior façade of all buildings shall be 90% (*excluding dormers and walls over roof areas*). For the purposes of this ordinance, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementitious fiberboard (*e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank*) in a horizontal lap-siding, *board-and-batten* siding, or a decorative pattern (*see examples below*) may be used for up to 80% of the masonry requirement; however, administrative from the Director of Planning and Zoning approval may be requested for housing plans that utilize cementitous fiberboard in excess of 80% of the masonry requirement on a *case-by-case* basis.

FIGURE 1: EXAMPLES OF CEMENTITIOUS FIBERBOARD

FIGURE 2: EXAMPLES OF BOARD AND BATTEN



DRAFT
03.15.2022

FIGURE 3: EXAMPLES OF HORIZONTAL LAP

(b) *Roof Pitch*. A minimum of an *8:12* roof pitch is required on all structures with the exception of dormers, sunrooms and porches, which shall have a minimum of a *4:12* roof pitch.

(c) *Garage Orientation and Garage Doors*. A total of 50% of all garages may be oriented toward the street in a *Front Entry* garage configuration; however, the front façade of the garage must be situated a minimum of five (5) feet behind the front façade of the primary structure. The remaining 50% of all garages shall be oriented in a *J-Swing* (*or Traditional Swing*) configuration or be situated a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the home. In addition, all garage configurations shall have upgraded finishes that consist of the following architectural elements: [*1*] coach lighting, [*2*] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, and [*3*] driveways that are constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained finished, or salt finished [*see Figures 4-6 for examples of upgraded or enhanced finishes*].

FIGURE 4: EXAMPLES OF ENHANCED WOOD GARAGE DOOR

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.21.22

FIGURE 5: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED FINISHES

DIVIDED BAYS

CARRIAGE HARDWARE

CEDAR CLADDING

ORNAMENTAL PAVING

FIGURE 6: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED GARAGES



(6) *Anti-Monotony Restrictions*. The development shall adhere to the Anti-Monotony Matrix depicted in *Table 3* below (*for spacing requirements see Figures 7 & 8 below*).

TABLE 3: ANTI-MONOTONY MATRIX

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size*	*Elevation Features*
A	13,000 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
B	10,000 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
C	9,000 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
D	8,400 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
E	7,200 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)

Exhibit 'D':
Density and Development Standards

(a) Identical brick blends or paint colors may not occur on adjacent (*side-by-side*) properties along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing materials on the same side of the street beginning with the adjacent property and six (6) intervening homes of differing materials on the opposite side of the street.

(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same side of the street and six (6) intervening homes of differing appearance on the opposite side of the street. The rear elevation of homes backing to open space, Cornelius Road, FM-1141, or SH-66 shall not repeat without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:

(1) Number of Stories
(2) Permitted Encroachment Type and Layout
(3) Roof Type and Layout
(4) Articulation of the Front Façade

(c) Permitted encroachment (*i.e. porches and sunroom*) elevations shall not repeat or be the same along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of sufficient dissimilarity on the same side of the street beginning with the home adjacent to the subject property and six (6) intervening homes beginning with the home on the opposite side of the street.

(d) Each phase of the subdivision will allow for a maximum of four (4) compatible roof colors, and all roof shingles shall be an architectural or dimensional shingle (*3-Tab Roofing Shingles are prohibited*).

FIGURE 7: Properties line up on the opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.



FIGURE 8: Properties do not line up on opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.



DRAFT ORDINANCE 03-15-2022

(7) *Fencing Standards*. All individual residential fencing and walls shall be architecturally compatible with the design, materials and colors of the primary structure on the same lot, and meet the following standards:

(a) *Front Yard Fences*. Front yard fences shall be prohibited.

(b) *Wood Fences*. All solid fencing shall be constructed utilizing standard cedar fencing materials (*spruce fencing is prohibited*) that are a minimum of ½-inch or greater in thickness. Fences shall be *board-on-board* panel fence that is constructed a minimum of six (6) feet in height and a maximum of eight (8) feet in height. Posts, fasteners, and bolts shall be formed from hot dipped galvanized or stainless steel. All cedar pickets shall be placed on the public side (*i.e. facing streets, alleys, open space, parks, and/or neighboring properties*). All posts and/or framing shall be placed on the private side (*i.e. facing towards the home*) of the fence. All wood fences shall be smooth finished, free of burs and splinters, and be stained and sealed on both sides of the fence. Painting a fence with oil or latex based paint shall be prohibited.

(c) *Wrought Iron/Tubular Steel*. Lots located along the perimeter of roadways (*i.e. FM-1141, Cornelius Road, and SH-66*), abutting open spaces, greenbelts and parks shall be required to install a wrought iron or tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences can be a maximum of six (6) feet in height. The *Lot Type 'A'* lots shall be required to utilize wrought iron/tubular steel fencing.

(d) *Corner Lots*. Corner lots fences (*i.e. adjacent to the street*) shall provide masonry columns at 45-feet off center spacing that begins at the rear of the property line. A maximum of six (6) foot solid *board-on-board panel* fence constructed utilizing cedar fencing shall be allowed between the masonry columns along the side and/or rear lot adjacent to a street. In addition, the fencing shall be setback from the side property line adjacent to a street a minimum of five (5) feet. The property owner shall be required to maintain both sides of the fence.

(e) *Solid Fences (including Wood Fences)*. All solid fences shall incorporate a decorative top rail or cap detailing into the design of the fence.

(f) *Fence in Easements*. No fencing shall be constructed in or across a North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD) easement and/or an easement of the City of Rockwall.

(g) *Additional Fence Requirements*. In addition to the abovementioned fence requirements the following additional requirements will need to be met for *Locations* ❶ *&* ❷ as depicted on *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance.

(1) *Location* ❶. In Location ❶, where the *Lot Types 'A' & 'B'* lots abut an existing single-family home that has an existing six (6) foot or greater wood fence, the wood fence shall be permitted to remain on the shared property line and serve both lots.

(2) *Location* ❷. In Location ❷, where the lots abut a non-residential land use along the southern property line, a minimum of an eight (8) foot wood fence meeting the requirements of Subsection (b), *Wood Fences*, and Subsection (e), *Solid Fences (including Woods Fences*), above shall be constructed. In addition, one (1) row of

DRAFT ORDINANCE 08.15.2022

a minimum of four (4), four (4) inch caliper Eastern Red Cedar or Leland Cypress trees shall be planted along the rear property line of each property.

(8) *Landscape and Hardscape Standards.*

(a) *Landscape.* Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*. All *Canopy/Shade Trees* planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all *Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees* shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height. All shrubs shall be a minimum of five (5) gallons in size. Any residential lot that sides or backs to a major roadway where wrought iron/tubular steel fencing is required, shall be required to plant an additional row of shrubs adjacent to the wrought iron/tubular fence within the required 30-foot landscape buffer (*i.e. on the Homeowner's Associations' [HOAs'] property*). These shrubs shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(b) *Landscape Buffers.* All landscape buffers and plantings located within the buffers shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(1) *Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (FM-1141)*. A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along FM-1141 (*outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication*), and shall incorporate ground cover, a *built-up* berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30-inches and a maximum height of 48-inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30-foot landscape buffer.

(2) *Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (SH-66)*. A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along SH-66 (*outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication*), and shall incorporate ground cover, a *built-up* berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30-inches and a maximum height of 48-inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30-foot landscape buffer.

(3) *Landscape Buffers (Adjacent to Open Space).* A minimum of a ten (10) foot landscape buffer shall be provided along streets that have frontage on open space. This landscape buffer shall incorporate one (1) canopy tree and one (1) accent tree per 50-feet of linear frontage.

(c) *Street Trees*. The Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and will be required to maintain a minimum of 14-feet vertical clearance height for any trees overhanging a public right-of-way. Street trees shall be planted a minimum of five (5) feet from public water, sanitary sewer and storm lines. All street trees shall be reviewed with the *PD Site Plan*.

(d) *Residential Lot Landscaping*. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (CO), all residential lots depicted on *Exhibit 'C'* shall be landscaped with a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the front yard. In

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03-15-2022

addition, corner lots shall be required to add a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the side yard facing the street.

(e) *Irrigation Requirements*. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping located within common areas, landscape buffers and/or open space. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(f) *Hardscape*. Hardscape plans indicating the location of all sidewalks and trails shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*.

(9) *Street*. All streets (*excluding drives, fire lanes and private parking areas*) shall be built according to City street standards.

(10) *Lighting*. Light poles shall not exceed 20-feet in total height (*i.e. base and lighting standard*). All fixtures shall be directed downward and be positioned to contain all light within the development area.

(11) *Sidewalks*. All sidewalks adjacent to a street shall be a maximum of two (2) feet inside the right-of-way line and be five (5) feet in overall width.

(12) *Buried Utilities*. New distribution power-lines required to serve the *Subject Property* shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the perimeter of the *Subject Property*, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. Temporary power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the *Subject Property* to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.

(13) *Open Space/Public Park*. The development shall consist of a minimum of 20% open space (*or a minimum of 18.794-acres -- as calculated using the formula stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan*), and generally conform to the *Concept Plan* contained in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance. In addition, the developer shall be required to use the pro-rata equipment and cash-in-lieu of land fees -- *in the amounts stipulated by the Parkland Dedication Ordinance* -- to establish a public park with amenities on the four (4) acre tract of land (*i.e. Tract 13-01 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract 72*) owned by the City of Rockwall adjacent to Cornelius Road. Amenities for this public park shall include irrigation, parking, a playground, walking trails, and benches. All equipment and improvements within the public park shall be built to the specifications stipulated by the Director of Parks and Recreation, and shall be provided by the developer in conjunction with the development of the proposed subdivision. Funds provided by the developer shall not be required to exceed the fees required through the *Parkland Dedication Ordinance*. Plans for the proposed public park shall be reviewed and recommended by the Parks and Recreation Board and approved by the City Council with the Preliminary Plat/Master Parks and Open Space Plan. In the event that the design of the public park cannot be agreed up by the *Developer* and the City of Rockwall, the *Developer* shall have the ability to satisfy the fees through the normal payment method dictated by the *Parkland Dedication Ordinance*.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.04.22

(14) *Trails*. A minimum of a six (6) foot concrete trail system shall be constructed generally in the same location as the trail system depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance.

(15) *Neighborhood Signage and Enhancements*. Permanent subdivision identification signage shall be required at all major entry points for the proposed subdivision. Final design and location of any entry features shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*; however, the signage should be equal to or better than the representative signage depicted in *Exhibit 'C'*. The developer shall provide enhanced landscaping areas at all entry points to the *Subject Property*. The final design of these areas shall be provided on the *PD Site Plan*.

(16) *Homeowner's Association (HOA)*. A Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of *Section 38-15* of the *Subdivision Regulations* contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. The HOA shall also maintain all private neighborhood parks, trails, open space and common areas (*including drainage facilities*), floodplain areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and neighborhood signage associated with this development. These areas are required to be delineated on the *PD Site Plan*.

(17) *Variances*. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) shall apply to any application for variances to this ordinance.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.15.2022

CITY OF ROCKWALL

CITY COUNCIL CASE MEMO

PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745 • EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

TO:	Mayor and City Council
DATE:	March 21, 2022
APPLICANT:	Ryan Joyce; *Michael Joyce Properties*
CASE NUMBER:	Z2022-010; *Zoning Change (AG to PD) for Vallis Greene*

SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a *Zoning Change* from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4 & 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122 and a portion of Tract 25-1 & 26 and all of Tract 13 & 25 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

BACKGROUND

The subject property was annexed on March 16, 1998 by *Ordinance No. 98-10* [*Case No. A1998-001*]. At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. According to the Rockwall Central Appraisal District (RCAD), currently situated on the subject property is: [*1*] a 2,500 SF single-family home constructed in 1958, [*2*] an ~1,856 SF covered porch constructed in 1958, [*3*] a 400 SF storage building constructed in 1900, and [*4*] a 96 SF storage building with a 240 SF covered canopy constructed in 2007. Beyond these structures, the subject property has remained largely undeveloped and the zoning designation has not changed since annexation.

PURPOSE

On February 18, 2021, the applicant -- *Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties* -- submitted an application requesting to change the zoning of the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses. Specifically, the applicant is proposing to entitle the subject property for a 182-lot single-family, residential subdivision that will consists of five (5) lot sizes (*i.e. [A] 9, 100' x 130' lots; [B] 29, 82' x 120' lots; [C] 45, 72' x 115' lots; [D] 22, 72' x 110' lots; and [E] 77, 62' x 110' lots*).

ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is generally located between SH-66 and FM-1141 at the southwest corner of the intersection of FM-1141 and Cornelius Road. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

North: Directly north of the subject property is FM-1141 and Cornelius Road. FM-1141 is identified as a M4D (*i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway*) and Cornelius Road is identified as a M4U (*i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway*) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. On the northside of the right-of-way for FM-1141 and the southside of the right-of-way for Cornelius Road are the corporate limits of the City of Rockwall. Beyond this are multiple residential properties situated within the City of Rockwall's Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ) in Rockwall County.

South: Directly south of the subject property is a 9.789-acre tract of land (*i.e. a portion of Lot 1, Block A, Ladera Rockwall Addition*), which will be Phase 2 of the Ladera Subdivision. This phase will consist of 33 residential units that are zoned Planned Development District 85 (PD-85) for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses. Beyond this is SH-66, which is identified as a TXDOT4D (*i.e. Texas Department of Transportation [TXDOT] roadway, four [4] lane,*

divided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. South of this thoroughfare is: [*1*] a 47.89-acre parcel of land (*i.e. Lot 1 of the Rockwall Municipal Airport Addition*) which is occupied by the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport, [*2*] a vacant two (2) acre tract of land (*i.e. Tract 2 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183*), and [*3*] a 43.66-acre tract of land (*i.e. Tract 7 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102*) occupied with a single-family home. All of these properties are zoned Agricultural (AG) District.

East: Directly east of the subject property are the reminder tracts (*i.e. Tracts 25-1 & 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72*) being subdivided from the subject property. Also, east of the subject property is a 55.08-acre tract of land (*i.e. Tract 11 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72*), which has a two (2) barns (*i.e. a 1,107 SF barn and a 7,448 SF barn both built in 1966*). All of these properties are zoned Agricultural (AG) District.

West: Directly west of the subject property is: [*1*] a 15.935-acre vacant tract of land (*i.e. Tract 5-1 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122*) zoned Agricultural (AG) District, and [*2*] a 37.80-acre parcel of land (*i.e. Lot 1, Block A, Ladera Rockwall Addition*) zoned Planned Development District 85 (PD-85) for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses. The 37.80-acre tract of land is the Ladera Subdivision, and will consist of 117 single-family units at buildout. Beyond this is John King Boulevard, which is identified as a P6D (*i.e. principal arterial, six [6] lane, divided roadway*) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

MAP 1: LOCATION MAP
YELLOW: SUBJECT PROPERTY



CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST

The applicant has submitted a concept plan and development standards for the proposed residential subdivision. The concept plan shows that the 93.97-acre subject property will consist of 182 residential lots. These lots will consist of five (5)

lot types: [*1*] 9 *Type 'A'* lots that are a minimum of 100' x 130' (*or 13,000 SF*), [*2*] 29 *Type 'B'* lots that are a minimum of 82' x 120' (*or 10.000 SF*), [*3*] 45 *Type 'C'* lots that are a minimum of 72' x 115' (*or 9,000 SF*), [*4*] 22 *Type 'D'* lots that are a minimum of 72' x 110' (*or 8,400 SF*), and [*5*] 77 *Type 'E'* lots that are a minimum of 62' x 110' (*or 7,200 SF*). This translates to a gross density of *1.94* dwelling units per gross acre (*i.e. 182 lots/93.97-acres = 1.93679 dwelling units per gross acre*) for the total development. The minimum dwelling unit size (*i.e. air-condition space*) will range from 2,000 SF to 2,400 SF. With regard to the proposed housing product, staff has incorporated anti-monotony and masonry standards into the proposed Planned Development District ordinance. Specifically, the ordinance will require a minimum of 90% masonry (*with a minimum of 85% masonry on each façade*), and be subject to the City's upgraded anti-monotony standards; however, the Planned Development District ordinance will also incorporate provisions that allow up to 80% cementitous fiberboard utilized in a horizontal lap-siding, *board-and-batten* siding, or decorative pattern to allow a more *Traditional Neighborhood Design* product (*also referred to as Gingerbread*). The following are some of the examples contained in the Planned Development District ordinance:

IMAGES 1 & 2: EXAMPLES OF BOARD AND BATTEN FROM THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT ORDINANCE

Looking at the proposed garage orientation proposed for the development, the applicant is requesting to allow a total of 50% of all the garages be orientated toward the street; however, the applicant is proposing to require a five (5) foot setback for the garage from the front façade. The remaining garage doors will be situated within a *J-Swing* (*or a Traditional Swing*) configuration or *Recessed Entry* configuration (*i.e. where the garage door is situated a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade*). In addition, the applicant is proposing to incorporate upgraded finishes on all garage doors that include: [*1*] coach lighting, [*2*] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, and [*3*] driveways that are constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained finish, or salt finished. The following are some of the examples of these upgrades included in the Planned Development District ordinance:

IMAGES 3, 4 & 5: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED GARAGES

The proposed subdivision will be subject to the land uses and density and dimensional requirements stipulated for properties within a Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District unless otherwise specified in the Planned Development District ordinance. The following is a summary of the lot composition and density and dimensional standards contained in the proposed Planned Development District ordinance:

TABLE 1: LOT COMPOSITION

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size (FT)*	*Minimum Lot Size (SF)*	*Dwelling Units (#)*	*Dwelling Units (%)*
A	100' x 130'	13,000 SF	9	4.95%
B	82' x 120'	10,000 SF	29	15.93%
C	72' x 115'	9,000 SF	45	24.73%
D	72' x 110'	8,400 SF	22	12.09%
E	62' x 110'	7,200 SF	77	42.31%
		Maximum Permitted Units:	*182*	*100.00%*

TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Lot Type (see Concept Plan) ►	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
Minimum Lot Width (1)	100'	82'	72'	72'	62'
Minimum Lot Depth	130'	120'	115'	110'	110'
Minimum Lot Area (SF)	13,000	10,000	9,000	8,400	7,200
Minimum Front Yard Setback (2), (6) & (8)	25'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Side Yard Setback	15'	6'	6'	6'	6'
Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) (2), (6) & (7)	15'	15'	15'	15'	15'
Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement	20'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Maximum Height (3)	35'	35'	35'	35'	35'
Minimum Rear Yard Setback (4)	25'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space]	2,400	2,500	2,400	2,200	2,200
Maximum Lot Coverage	45'	45'	45'	65'	65'

General Notes:

1: Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by 20% as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the *Front Yard Building Setback*. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in *Table 1*.

2: The location of the *Front Yard Building Setback* as measured from the front property line.

3: The *Maximum Height* shall be measured to the eave or top plate (*whichever is greater*) of the single-family home.

4: The location of the *Rear Yard Building Setback* as measured from the rear property line.

5: Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the *Front Yard Building Setback* and *Side Yard Building Setback* by up to five (5) feet for any property. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15-feet in width that has glass on at least 50% of each of the encroaching faces.

6: *J-Swing* or *Traditional Swing Garages* are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a minimum of five (5) feet.

7: All *Corner Lots* that back to a lot that fronts onto the same street that the *Corner Lot* sides to (*i.e. a Keystone Lot*), shall have a setback that is equal to the front setback of the fronting lot. In addition, no solid fence shall be situated within this setback.

The proposed concept plan shows that the development will consist of a total of 29.72-acres of floodplain and 13.06-acres of open space maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA). This translates to an open space percentage of 29.71% (*i.e. [29.72-acres of floodplain/2] + 13.06-acres of open space = 27.92-acres/93.97-acres gross = 29.7116%*). In addition, the applicant has agreed to utilize the pro-rata equipment fees and cash-in-lieu of land fees to provide amenity to the four (4) acre tract of City owned land (*i.e. Tract 13-01 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72*) to create a public park. All amenities being proposed for this public park will need to be approved by the Parks and Recreation Board and be constructed to the City's standard as determined by the Director of Parks and Recreation. The applicant will also be providing a trail system consisting of a six (6) foot concrete trail that will connect this public park to the proposed subdivision.

Staff should point out that a portion of the subject property is situated within the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport. In addition, staff is currently bringing forward an Airport Overlay (AP OV) District [*Case No. Z2022-012*] that (*if approved*) will establish requirements for land uses in and around the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport. Based on this, staff sent a copy of the proposed plan to TXDOT Aviation for review and comment, and a copy of the proposed plan to Garver USA -- *the City's Airport Consultant* -- for review. TXDOT Aviation requested that the applicant [*1*] not have any development within the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) and [*2*] establish an avigation easement over the property to ensure that future homeowners are aware they are purchasing a home in close proximity to the flight path of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport. Garver USA requested that no development or amenity be constructed in the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ). In addition,

staff requested that the applicant adhere to the future Airport Overlay (AP OV) District, and not locate any portion of any lot in the *Airport Influence Zone* (*which if established is the zone that will prevent residential and assembly uses from being located within 500-feet of the airport*). The applicant has taken these comments and adjusted the concept plan to ensure compliance with these recommendations. In addition, staff has added a condition of approval that will require the avigation easement be established at the time of final plat.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Based on the applicant's concept plan and the proposed density, the following infrastructure is required to be constructed to provide adequate public services for the proposed development:

(1) *Roadways*. According to the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, FM-1141 is required to be a M4D (*i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway*), which requires an 85-foot right-of-way with a 64-foot *back-to-back* concrete street. In addition, SH-66 is identified as a which is identified as a TXDOT4D (*i.e. Texas Department of Transportation [TXDOT] roadway, four [4] lane, divided roadway*) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. This road will require a minimum of 120-feet of right-of-way or 60-feet from the centerline of the roadway. The applicant will also be required to perform a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for the proposed drive approaches off of FM-1141 and SH-66. Staff is also requiring that as part of the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) and/or roadway design, the applicant's consultant study the need for a left turn lane at the proposed drive approach on FM-1141.

(2) *Water*. The applicant will be required to construct a 12-inch water line on the subject property along SH-66, extending to the existing stub out at FM-1141. In addition, the development will require an eight (8) inch water line be looped through the subject property.

(3) *Wastewater*. The applicant will be required to construct an eight (8) inch wastewater line that will tie into the 12-inch line adjacent to the southwestern property line.

(4) *Drainage*. The applicant shall be required to perform a flood study to delineate the fully developed 100-year localized floodplain for all ponds, creeks or streams, and draws on the subject property. Detention will be required and sized per the required detention study. The applicant will also be required to perform a Wetlands and Waters of the United States (WOTUS) study for the existing ponds on the subject property.

CONFORMANCE TO THE CITY'S CODES

The proposed Planned Development District conforms to the majority of the City's code requirements; however, it should be noted that the development standards contained within the Planned Development District ordinance deviate from the requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the Municipal Code of Ordinances, and the Engineering Department's *Standards of Design and Construction Manual* in the following ways:

(1) *Alleyways*. The Engineering Department's *Standards of Design and Construction Manual* stipulates that "(a)lleys shall be provided in all residential areas and shall be paved with steel reinforced concrete…" The code does grant the City Council the ability to "… waive the residential alley requirement, if it is in the best interest of the City." [*Page 14; Section 2.11 of the Standards of Design and Construction Manual*]

(2) *Garage Configuration*. Section 04, *Residential Parking*, of Article 06, *Parking and Loading*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) requires that, "(i)n single-family or duplex districts, parking garages must be located at least 20-feet behind the front building façade for front entry garages unless it is a *J-Swing* [*or traditional swing*] garage where the garage door is perpendicular to the street."

Applicant's Response to (1) & (2): In lieu of providing the required alleyways, the applicant is proposing to provide 50% *J-Swing* (*or Traditional Swing*) or *Recessed Front Entry* (*i.e. where the garage is setback a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the home*) garages and 50% *Flat Front Entry* garages with a five (5) recess for the garage (*i.e. where the garage is setback five [5] feet from the front façade*). As a compensatory measure the applicant is proposing to provide additional architectural elements into all garage configurations: [*A*] coach lighting, [*B*] decorative wood doors or wood

overlays on insulated metal doors, [*C*] driveways constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained finished, or salt finished. Staff should also point out that by providing a five (5) foot recess in the *Flat Front Entry* garages a minimum of a 25-foot driveway is being provided. The following are examples of some of the upgraded finishes depicted in the Planned Development District ordinance:

IMAGES 6, 7, 8, & 9: *EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED FINISHES*

DIVIDED BAYS

CARRIAGE HARDWARE

CEDAR CLADDING

ORNAMENTAL PAVING

CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

According to the *Land Use Plan* contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, the subject property is located within the *Northeast Residential District* and is designated for *Low Density Residential* land uses. The plan defines *Low Density Residential* land uses as "… residential subdivisions that are two (2) units per gross acre or less; however, a density of up to two and one-half (2½) units per gross acre may be permitted for developments that incorporate increased amenity and a mix of land uses …" In addition, the Comprehensive Plan defines increased amenity as, "… developments that provide some of the following: (1) open space beyond the required 20%, (2) a golf course and/or other comparable recreation facilities, (3) amenity/recreation facilities, (4) school site integration, (5) dedication or development of park land beyond the required park land dedication, (6) additional development of trails, (7) other amenities deemed appropriate by the City Council." In this case the applicant is proposing a total density of *1.94* dwelling units per acre. In addition, the applicant is proposing to incorporate walking trails and 29.71% open space (*i.e. 9.71% in excess of the required 20.00%*). Based on this the applicant's request appears to be in conformance with the *Low Density Residential* designation indicated for the subject property.

According to the *Northeast Residential District*, the district "… is characterized by its established low-density residential subdivisions and rural/estate style lots … [*and*] is anticipated to be a future growth center for the City, having several large vacant tracts of land suitable for low-density, residential development." Looking at the *District Strategies* for the *Northeast Residential District* for *Suburban Residential*, the plan states that "…new *Suburban Residential* developments should include a mix of larger to mid-sized lots. Lots in these developments should not be smaller than existing *Suburban Residential* in this district." In this case, the applicant is proposing lot products that range from 62' x 110' (*or a minimum of 7,200 SF*) to 100' x 130' (*or a minimum of 13,000 SF*). After reviewing the district, staff has identified the following *Suburban Residential* developments that have similar lot sizes:

(1) *Ladera of Rockwall*. This development is platted as one (1) large lot, but calls out *Artificial Lots* (*i.e. setup in a condominium regime*) that consist of the following lot products: 20, 44.5' x 80'; 31, 42' x 77'; 41, 54' x 60'; and 6, 64' x 50'.
(2) *Saddle Star*. 143, 70' x 125' (*or a minimum of 8,750 SF*) and 33, 80' x 125' (*or a minimum of 10,000 SF*).
(3) *Dalton Ranch*. 75' x 120' and 80' x 125'
(4) *Gideon Grove (Phase 1)*. 45, 80' x 125' (*or a minimum of 10,000 SF*) and 27, 100' x 150' (*or a minimum of 15,000 SF*)
(5) *Gideon Grove (Phase 2)*. 53, 60' x 120' (*or a minimum of 7,000 SF*) and 31, 70' x 120' (*or a minimum of 8,400 SF*)
(6) *Nelson Lakes*. 134, 60' x 120' (*or a minimum of 7,000 SF*); 68, 70' x 120' (*or a minimum of 8,400 SF*); and 58, 72' x 120' (*or a minimum of 8,600 SF*)
(7) *Winding Creek*. 132, 90' x 100' (*or a minimum of 16,000 SF*)

Staff should point out that the majority of the applicant's request is for the smaller *Type 'E'* lots (*42.31%*), which are the 62' x 110' lots (*or a minimum of 7,200 SF*). The only developments with smaller lot sizes than the *Type 'E'* lots are the Ladera of Rockwall and Nelson Lakes Subdivisions. It should also be pointed out that the subject property is directly adjacent to the Ladera of Rockwall Subdivision, which has the smallest lot sizes in this district.

With regard to the policies and goals for residential development contained in the Comprehensive Plan, the applicant's request incorporates the majority of these policies and goals (*e.g. minimum of six [6] side yard setbacks on all lot types, fronting of homes on to a park/open space, designed utilizing the Housing Tree Model, etc.*); however, staff has identified the following non-conformities on the concept plan and provided the following recommendations to the applicant:

RED: NOT INCORPORATED INTO THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT ORDINANCE.
BLUE: INCORPORATED INTO THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT ORDINANCE.

(1) *CH. 08 | Sec. 02.03 | Goal 03; Policy 3*: In cases where *Flat Front Entry* garages (*i.e. even with the front façade of the primary structure*) are requested as part of a development no greater than 20% should be incorporated into the development. In addition, *Flat Front Entry* garages should have a minimum of a 25-foot front yard building setback to allow vehicles to be parked in the driveway without overhanging public right-of-way. This type of garage may not be appropriate for all developments and should be generally discouraged.

Staff Response: If *a Flat Front Entry* garage configuration with a recess of five (5) feet is being requested it should be limited to 20%. *The applicant has chosen to request 50% Flat Front Entry garages and 50% J-Swing (or Traditional Swing) or Recessed Front Entry (i.e. where the garage is setback a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the home) garages, but has consented to upgraded garage doors and driveway requirements. In addition, the added five (5) foot recess provides a 25-foot driveway on the Flat Front Entry garage product, which has a similar effect as the 25-foot setback requested by the Comprehensive Plan.*

Taking into account the applicant's concept plan, proposed density, and development standards, the request does appear to conform with the majority of the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan; however, as with all zoning cases, this request remains a discretionary decision for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission.

NOTIFICATIONS

On February 25, 2022, staff mailed 78 notices to property owners and occupants within 500-feet of the subject property. Staff also notified the Caruth Lakes (*Caruth Ridge Estates*) Homeowner's Association (HOA), which is the only HOA within 1,500-feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was drafted, staff had received the following:

(1) Two (2) notices from two (2) property owners situated within the 500-foot notification buffer in opposition to the applicant's request.
(2) One (1) email from one (1) property owner situated within the 500-foot notification buffer in opposition to the applicant's request.
(3) One (1) notice from one (1) property owner situated within the Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ) of the City of Rockwall in opposition to the applicant's request.
(4) One (1) response from the City's *Zoning & Specific Use Permit Input Form* from a property owner in the City of Fate in favor of the applicant's request.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the City Council chooses to approve the applicant's request to rezone the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:

(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the concept plan and development standards contained in the Planned Development District ordinance.

(2) The applicant will be required to put an avigation easement over the property to ensure that homeowners are provided with information showing that they are within close proximity to the flight path of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport. This will need to be established with the final plat for the subdivision.

(3) No development or amenities can take place within the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport.

(4) As part of the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) and/or roadway design, the need for a left turn lane on FM-1141 -- *at the proposed drive approach* -- shall be determined.

(5) Any construction resulting from the approval of this *Zoning Change* shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION

On March 15, 2022, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a motion to recommend approval of the applicant's request for a *Zoning Change* by a vote of 4-0, with Commissioners Chodun, Thomas, and Conway absent.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY

PLANNING & ZONING CASE NO. Z2022-010

NOTE: *THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.*

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:

CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ MASTER PLAT ($100.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ PRELIMINARY PLAT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ FINAL PLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ REPLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ($150.00)
- ☐ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ($100.00)

SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ SITE PLAN ($250.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN ($100.00)

ZONING APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ ZONING CHANGE ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1 & 2]
- ☑ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]

OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ TREE REMOVAL ($75.00)
- ☐ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS ($100.00) [2]

NOTES:
[1]: IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.
[2]: A ***$1,000.00*** FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

ADDRESS	1649 FM 1141, Rockwall, TX 75087		
SUBDIVISION	ABS A0122, MB Jones, Tract 4-01	LOT	BLOCK
GENERAL LOCATION	Approximatly 1250 feet east of the intersection John King and FM 1141 South of 1141		

ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

CURRENT ZONING	Agricultural	CURRENT USE	Agricultural
PROPOSED ZONING	Planned Development District	PROPOSED USE	Single Family
ACREAGE	93.97	LOTS [CURRENT] 1	LOTS [PROPOSED] 182

☐ ***SITE PLANS AND PLATS:*** *BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.*

OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]

☐ OWNER	Mike Peoples	☐ APPLICANT	Michael Joyce Properties
CONTACT PERSON	Mike Peoples	CONTACT PERSON	Ryan Joyce
ADDRESS	1850 FM 1141	ADDRESS	767 Justin Rd
CITY, STATE & ZIP	Rockwall, TX 75087	CITY, STATE & ZIP	Rockwall, TX 75087
PHONE		PHONE	512-965-6280
E-MAIL		E-MAIL	ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED Mike Peoples [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:

"I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF $_____, TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE 14 DAY OF Feb, 20 22 BY SIGNING THIS APPLICATION, I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL (I.E. "CITY") IS AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION."

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE ON THIS THE 14 DAY OF Feb, 20 22

OWNER'S SIGNATURE

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS TEXAS

ROBERT LEE BASS
NOTARY PUBLIC
ID# 12344082
State of Texas
Comm. Exp. 06-05-2024

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 06-05-2024



City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.



Case Number: Z2022-010
Case Name: PD Development Plan for Vallis Greene
Case Type: Zoning
Zoning: Agricultural (AG) District
Case Address: 1649 FM 1141



Date Saved: 2/18/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745

Miller, Ryan

From: Gamez, Angelica
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 2:27 PM
Cc: Miller, Ryan; Lee, Henry; Ross, Bethany
Subject: Neighborhood Notification Program [Z2022-010]
Attachments: Public Notice (02.23.2022).pdf; HOA Map (02.22.2022).pdf

HOA/Neighborhood Association Representative:

Per your participation in the *Neighborhood Notification Program*, you are receiving this notice to inform your organization that a zoning case has been filed with the City of Rockwall that is located within 1,500-feet of the boundaries of your neighborhood. As the contact listed for your organization, you are encouraged to share this information with the residents of your subdivision. Please find the attached map detailing the property requesting to be rezoned in relation to your subdivision boundaries. Additionally, below is the summary of the zoning case that will be published in the Rockwall Herald Banner on *February 25, 2022*. The Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on *Tuesday, March 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM*, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 6:00 PM*. Both hearings will take place at 6:00 PM at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad, Rockwall, TX 75087.

All interested parties are encouraged to submit public comments via email to Planning@rockwall.com at least 30 minutes in advance of the meeting. Please include your name, address, and the case number your comments are referring to. These comments will be read into the record during each of the public hearings. Additional information on all current development cases can be found on the City's website: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases.

Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD
Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a *Zoning Change* from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

Thank you,

Angelica Gamez
Planning & Zoning Coordinator
City of Rockwall
972.771.7745 Office
972.772.6438 Direct
http://www.rockwall.com/planning/

This email was scanned by Bitdefender

City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.



Case Number: Z2022-010
Case Name: PD Development Plan for Vallis Greene
Case Type: Zoning
Zoning: Agricultural (AG) District
Case Address: 1649 FM 1141



Date Saved: 2/18/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745

MAUTNER ERNESTO & BEATRICE
103 N GREENPRINT CIRCLE
TOMBALL, TX 76262

BROWN LARRY MELVIN & MARSHA LIZBETH
1042 LAKE SHORE DR
MESQUITE, TX

SEE BETTY
110 WESTMINISTER
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

PEOPLES MIKE L
111 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RATLIFF JENNIFER L
123 HARKER TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ANDRULAT ALEC J AND VERONICA BITZ
124 HARKER TR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCKEE MARK D
131 MEADOWLARK CIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SQUIER NATALIE
153 MEADOWLARK CIRCLE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAMPTON ELDEN L
1530 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GRIFFITH JESSE JOHN AND SARAH KRISTIN
1578 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WHYDE KIMBERLY
1602 ENCANTO TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX

GIAMPAPA SANTO AND SUSAN
1606 ENCANTO TRL
ROCKWALL, TX

SANDKNOP LES T AND CLAUDIA J
1614 ENCANTO TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX

SHANNON STANLEY W
162 HARKER TRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DENNEY DENNIS W & LINDA L
162 MEADOWLARK CIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
1620 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
1624 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
1628 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL PROPERTY CORPORATION
ATTN BILL BRICKER
1630 WILLIAMS
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
1632 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
1636 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HUDDIN RYAN S AND JADA
1666 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L JR AND
SHERYL NEWMAN PEOPLES
1700 STATE HIGHWAY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CITY OF ROCKWALL
1701 AIRPORT RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WAINNER MATTHEW CODY &
MELANIE RENEE HUMBLE
173 MEADOWLARK CIRCLE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SCHRAMM EDWIN W III & PATSY ANN
1895 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L
1936 HWY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BELEW THOMAS E SR
DIANNE S CURREY
2 NORMAN TRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L
2026 HWY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SALINAS HILSE S
2068 STATE HWY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GILLILAND TOMMY JOE
2098 WILLIAMS
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RANDLE SUSAN AND DERRICE
226 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAIN FAMILY PARTNERSHIP LTD
2294 ESTATE HIGHWAY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MIKE
291 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL PROPERTY CORPORATION
ATTN BILL BRICKER
305 PARK PLACE BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAIN FAMILY PARTNERSHIP LTD
305 STONEBRIDGE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MIKE
333 CORNELIUS
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
361 W BYRON NELSON BLVD SUITE 104
ROANOKE, TX 76262

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
361 W BYRON NELSON BLVD SUITE 104
ROANOKE, TX 76262

DAVIS WILLIAM PAUL JR
510 TURTLE COVE BLVD STE 200
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VACLAVIK JOHN AND MARCILE
610 W COLCHESTER DR
EAGLE, ID

RILEY RODNEY H & JANET E
802 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
806 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
810 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
814 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ALDERMAN CLAUDETTE
815 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
818 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
819 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LANCASTER JAMES EVERETT JR &
GEORGIANA MARIE
820 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

RW LADERA LLC
822 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
825 BELLA CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WALLACE MARTHA G
826 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
826 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MAUTNER ERNESTO & BEATRICE
829 BELLA CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EVANS MARY E
830 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

HUCK WALTER R AND MARY A
830 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
831 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
833 BELLA CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOPKINS TEENA
834 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

PAUL DAVID OLIVIER AND LYN DIANE OLIVIER
FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST
LYN DIANE OLIVIER- SURVIVING TRUSTOR
834 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MURPHY DON & SHARON
837 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

RW LADERA LLC
837 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HENDLEY BEVERLY
838 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
841 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
841RIO VISTALN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOWARD EDWARD L AND BRENDA S
902 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

DELK JEANNETTE FREY
903 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

HAMBLIN DONALD ELEX AND DEBORAH LUCILLE
905 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

EASLEY PHYLLIS
909 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX

JONES BRENDA K & SAM A
910 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX

ROONEY CATHERINE
912 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX

JOHN AND LYNNE MCMAHAN REVOCABLE TRUST
JOHN AND LYNNE MCMAHAN- TRUSTEES
912 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

BROCHON DELILIA A AND MARTIAL H
913 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX

G & C DAVIS FAMILY 2013 TRUST
GREGORY A DAVIS AND CAROL L DAVIS - CO TRUSTEES
916 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

DIMISSEW SAMUEL
956 S WEATHERRED DR
RICHARDSON, TX 75080

LUKER LEO
P.O. BOX 1599
LYTLE, TX 78052

POTTER JOHN D ETUX
PO BOX 259
FATE, TX 75132

PEOPLES MICHAEL L
PO BOX 41
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PUBLIC NOTICE

CITY OF ROCKWALL
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
PHONE: (972) 771-7745
EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on *Tuesday, March 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM*, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 6:00 PM*. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Ryan Miller
Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 4:00 PM* to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,

Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning & Zoning

USE THIS QR CODE
TO GO DIRECTLY
TO THE WEBSITE

MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

☐ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

☐ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

Name:

Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

OUTSIDE OF THE CITY LIMITS IN THE ETJ

PUBLIC NOTICE

CITY OF ROCKWALL
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
PHONE: (972) 771-7745
EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on *Tuesday, March 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM*, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 6:00 PM*. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Ryan Miller
Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 4:00 PM* to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,

Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning & Zoning

USE THIS QR CODE TO GO DIRECTLY TO THE WEBSITE

MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

☐ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

☑ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

Airport landing strip is right across the street and property is in path to landing planes on runway. There was a recent plane crash on Hwy 66. ~~[illegible]~~ Also FM 1141 & hwy 66 are ~~[illegible]~~ narrow and not set up well for increased traffic.

Name: Jada Hudin

Address: 1666 FM 1141 Rockwall TX 75087

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

☐ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

☒ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

I just moved to this area in June 2021 to get away from busyness in Mesquite area. I selected a lot with tree/creek views to enjoy semi country living. There is too much development in this area of Rockwall.

Name: Kimberly Whyde

Address: 1602 Encanto Trail Rockwall, TX 75087

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

Gamez, Angelica

From: Edward Howard <edwardho@pacbell.net>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 1:21 PM
To: Planning
Cc: lillyhorn55@hoymail.com
Subject: ATTN: Ryan Miller - Case No. Z2022-010

I am writing in opposition to the proposed Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene for the following reasons.

1. My wife and I live in Ladera Rockwall (Lot 14) 55+ community just west of the proposed property. We paid a substantial premium for our lot where our patio faces east towards the proposed property. In other words, our view from the patio looks toward the trees along the existing creek. We are concerned the proposed change will possibly end up removing some trees and/or polluting the creek area with trash and debris. In the summer, when trees have leaves our view of the property is blocked. In the winter, the trees without leaves allows us to view the existing pasture property. Both seasons provide a pleasing view. We will not be happy to view a bunch of houses each winter.

2. The proposed property lies just north of the Rockwall airport directly in the flight path of takeoff and landings of numerous private planes and an occasional private jet. There have been two incidents just since we moved here in the past year or so. Building homes on this property is just asking for a bad incident with a plane crash where lives may be a risk. In the past,we lived adjacent to a private airport (Skypark) in Fresno CA where there were numerous incidents involving private planes in the flight path. Fortunately, there were no homes involved.

3. Third and possibly more important, is the question "What are you guys doing to our unique community?" Does every piece of farmland need new homes built on it. Just drive down John King and see what has happened there. Houses, houses, houses everywhere. Be kind to our small county.....

Thank you for considering my objections to your propose rezoning.

Sincerely, Edward and Brenda Howard
Phone 469-910-1770
902 Rio Vista Lane, Rockwall, TX 75087

This email was scanned by Bitdefender

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

☐ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

☑ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

There are many housing complexes already under construction. Opposed to more at this time due to 1) can that many new houses be sold, 2) changes characteristic of the town, 3) infrastructure does not support increase in population

Name: Ernesto and Beatrice Martinez

Address: 829 Bella Drive, Rockwall

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

Zoning & Specific Use Permit Input Form

Case Number *

Please provide the Case Reference Number of the Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request that you are providing input on (Example: Z2019-001).

Z2022-010

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below: *

- [x] I am in favor of the request.
- [ ] I am in opposition to the request.

Please provide any additional information concerning your support or opposition to the request.

We need more housing inventory in Rockwall County.

Respondent Information

Please provide your information.

First Name *

Matthew

Last Name *

LaMunion

Address *

342 meredith dr

City *

Fate

State *

TX

Zip Code *

75087

Email Address *

mattlamunion@gmail.com

Phone Number

214-601-0983

Please check all that apply: *

- [ ] I live nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
- [ ] I work nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
- [ ] I own property nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
- [ ] I own a business nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
- [x] Other: I live off 66 in Fate in rockwall county.

How did you hear about this Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request? *

- ( ) I received a property owner notification in the mail.
- ( ) I read about the request on the City's website.
- ( ) I saw a zoning sign on the property.
- ( ) I read about the request in the Rockwall Herald Banner.
- ( ) My neighbors told me about the request.
- (•) Other: Facebook

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

JOHNSON VOLK
CONSULTING
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, Texas 75074 | 972.201.3100

February 18, 2022

City of Rockwall
Planning Department
385 South Goliad
Rockwall, TX 75087

RE: Vallis Greene – Zoning Application

Dear Staff,

The purpose of this letter is to formally request a zoning change for the referenced property located south of FM 1141 and north of SH 66. The subject development will consist of +/-182 single family lots on a 93.97 acre tract. After meeting with staff at a Development Review Committee Meeting, the Concept Plan included with this submittal is representative of our proposed vision for the property.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions regarding this project or application.

Sincerely,

Johnson Volk, Inc.

Tom Dayton, PE



LOCATION MAP
NOT TO SCALE

GRAPHIC SCALE

200 100 0 200 600

(IN FEET)
1 inch = 200 ft.



LEGEND

⊙	1" RED BOUNDARY MARKER STAMPED "G&A MCADAMS CO" FOUND (UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)
●	1/2" IRON ROD SET WITH YELLOW PLASTIC CAP STAMPED "JVC"
<CM>	CONTROL MONUMENT
FCP	FENCE CORNER POST FOUND
D.R.R.C.T.	DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS
P.R.R.C.T.	PLAT RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS

NOTES:

1. BASIS OF BEARINGS DERIVED FROM THE TEXAS STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, NAD83, NORTH CENTRAL ZONE. DIRECTION FOR CONTROL ESTABLISHED THROUGH <CM1> AND <CM2> HAVING A BEARING AND DISTANCE OF S29°53'54"E, 2,758.60'.

2. THE SUBJECT TRACT LIES WITHIN ZONE X (UNSHADED), DEFINED AS "AREAS DETERMINED TO BE OUTSIDE THE 0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOODPLAIN" AND ZONE A, DEFINED AS "SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAs) SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD" AS IDENTIFIED BY THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA), FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP (FIRM) AS SHOWN ON COMMUNITY PANEL NOS. 48397C0030L AND 48397C0035L, DATED SEPTEMBER 26, 2008 FOR ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND INCORPORATED AREAS.

3. SURVEYOR ADDRESSED ONLY THOSE ITEMS LISTED IN TITLE RESOURCES GUARANTY COMPANY'S COMMITMENT FOR TITLE INSURANCE, G.F. NO. 22135356-10GH, EFFECTIVE DATE FEBRUARY 16, 2022 AND ISSUED FEBRUARY 22, 2022. NO FURTHER RESEARCH WAS PERFORMED BY SURVEYOR.

4. VISIBLE IMPROVEMENTS/UTILITIES WERE NOT LOCATED WITH THIS SURVEY, NO SUBSURFACE PROBING, EXCAVATION OR EXPLORATION WAS PERFORMED FOR THIS SURVEY.

5. FENCES SHOWN HEREON ARE GRAPHIC ONLY AND MAY MEANDER BETWEEN MEASURED LOCATIONS.

6. THE ACREAGE TOTALS AS SHOWN HEREON ARE BASED ON THE MATHEMATICAL CLOSURE OF THE COURSES AND DISTANCES REFLECTED ON THIS SURVEY. THEY DO NOT INCLUDE THE TOLERANCES THAT MAY BE PRESENT DUE TO THE POSITIONAL ACCURACY OF THE BOUNDARY MONUMENTATION.

7. THIS SURVEY WAS PERFORMED EXCLUSIVELY FOR THE PARTIES CERTIFIED TO HEREON AND REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF THE SURVEYOR. THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OR REUSE OF THIS SURVEY WITHOUT THE EXPRESSED WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE SURVEYOR IS NOT PERMITTED. THE USE OF THIS SURVEY BY ANYONE OTHER THAN THE CERTIFIED PARTIES OR FOR ANY UNINTENDED USE WILL REMOVE SURVEYOR FROM BURDEN OF ANY DAMAGES INCURRED.

8. THE TERM "CERTIFY" OR "CERTIFICATE" AS SHOWN AND USED HEREON INDICATES AN EXPRESSION OF PROFESSIONAL OPINION REGARDING THE FACTS OF THE SURVEY AND DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A WARRANTY OR GUARANTEE, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED; AND IS EXCLUSIVELY TO THE PARTIES INVOLVED IN AND LIMITED TO THE TRANSACTION CLOSING AT THE TITLE COMPANY INDICATED HEREON.

SCHEDULE B NOTES:

10e. EASEMENT TO TEXAS POWER & LIGHT RECORDED IN VOLUME 33, PAGE 256, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10f. EASEMENT TO TEXAS POWER & LIGHT RECORDED IN VOLUME 33, PAGE 267, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10g. EASEMENT TO TEXAS POWER & LIGHT RECORDED IN VOLUME 33, PAGE 268, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10h. EASEMENT TO TEXAS POWER & LIGHT RECORDED IN VOLUME 40, PAGE 193, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10i. EASEMENT TO STATE OF TEXAS RECORDED IN VOLUME 45, PAGE 266, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL, COUNTY, TEXAS. (AS SHOWN ON SURVEY)

10j. EASEMENT TO STATE OF TEXAS RECORDED IN VOLUME 46, PAGE 249, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (AS SHOWN ON SURVEY)

10k. EASEMENT TO NORTH TEXAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT RECORDED IN VOLUME 54, PAGE 199, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10l. EASEMENT TO NORTH TEXAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT RECORDED IN VOLUME 54, PAGE 394, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10m. EASEMENT TO CITY OF ROCKWALL RECORDED IN DOCUMENT NO. 2019-4112, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (AS SHOWN ON SURVEY)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72 and the M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 850, Page 15, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described as 0.64 Acre Tract in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 138, Page 106, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 190, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Michael L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a 1/2 inch iron rod found in the south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141, an 80 foot right-of-way, for the northwest corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE Easterly, with said south line, the following four (4) courses and distances:

North 83 degrees 33 minutes 49 seconds East, a distance of 62.35 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the right having a central angle of 07 degrees 20 minutes, a radius of 2,824.93 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 86 degrees 53 minutes 49 seconds East, 361.32 feet;

Easterly, with said curve to the right, an arc distance of 361.57 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

South 86 degrees 46 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 289.88 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 57 degrees 36 minutes 49 seconds, a radius of 517.68 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 64 degrees 24 minutes 40 seconds East, 498.90 feet;

Northeasterly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 520.55 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the intersection of said south line with the south line of Cornelius Road, a 60 foot right-of-way;

THENCE North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, leaving said south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141 and with said south line of Cornelius Road, a distance of 37.01 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

THENCE South 00 degrees 29 minutes 15 seconds East, leaving said south line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 300.96 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 61 degrees 44 minutes 36 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 134.73 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE North 00 degrees 05 minutes 20 seconds West, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 152.26 feet to a fence corner post found for an exterior ell corner of that tract of land described in Deed to City of Rockwall, Texas, as recorded in Volume 58, Page 191, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE North 89 degrees 12 minutes 20 seconds East, with the south line of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 657.00 feet to a fence corner post found for the southeast corner of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract;

THENCE South 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds East, a distance of 835.49 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Cain Family Partnership Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 2008-00396788, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and an interior ell corner of said Mike Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196;

THENCE South 01 degrees 35 minutes 19 seconds East, a distance of 1,090.27 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common east line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and west line of said Cain Family Partnership Ltd. tract;

THENCE South 83 degrees 16 minutes 15 seconds West, leaving said common line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 258.34 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 05 degrees 53 minutes 32 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 78.43 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

THENCE South 83 degrees 38 minutes 06 seconds West, a distance of 415.44 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common west line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and east line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE South 01 degrees 18 minutes 41 seconds East, with said common line, a distance of 334.84 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set in the north line of State Highway No. 66, a variable width right-of-way, for the common southeast corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 and southwest corner of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151;

THENCE South 89 degrees 38 minutes 42 seconds West, with said north line, a distance of 241.04 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the southeast corner of Lot 1, Block A of LADERA ROCKWALL, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Document No. 20190000016594, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Northwesterly, with the north line of said Lot 1, the following five (5) courses and distances:

North 63 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, leaving said north line of State Highway No. 66, a distance of 347.40 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

North 29 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 384.58 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 65 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 278.64 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

South 88 degrees 32 minutes 20 seconds West, a distance of 252.79 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 37 degrees 46 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 128.78 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found in the west line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 for an interior ell corner of said Lot 1;

THENCE North 01 degrees 27 minutes 52 seconds West, with said west line, a distance of 1,699.38 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 94.133 acres of land, more or less.

SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE:

To MRJOYCE, LLC; MIKE L. PEOPLES; ALLEGIANCE TITLE COMPANY; and TITLE RESOURCES GUARANTY COMPANY:

I, Ryan S. Reynolds, a Registered Professional Land Surveyor in the State Of Texas, do hereby certify that this plat is based on an actual on the ground survey made under my direct supervision and all corners are monumented as shown hereon. Except as shown, there are no visible encroachments, conflicts or protrusions apparent on the ground.

PRELIMINARY, THIS DOCUMENT SHALL NOT BE RECORDED FOR ANY PURPOSE AND SHALL NOT BE USED OR VIEWED OR RELIED UPON AS A FINAL SURVEY DOCUMENT.

RYAN S. REYNOLDS
REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR
NO. 6385

SURVEYED ON THE GROUND: FEBRUARY 28, 2022

JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING
TBPELS Engineering Firm No. 11962 / Land Surveying Firm No. 10194033
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, TX 75074 | 972.201.3100

WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRAT NO. 72
M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122

LAND TITLE SURVEY
94.133 ACRES
CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS

STATE OF TEXAS
RYAN S. REYNOLDS
#6385
PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR

03/04/2022

SCALE:
1"=200'
One Inch

JVC No 2215

SHEET 1 OF 1

J:\Civil 3d projects\2215 - vallis green\survey\dwg\2215-rdk-94.133ac.dwg

LEGAL DESCRIPTION
94.133 ACRES

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72 and the M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 850, Page 15, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described as 0.64 Acre Tract in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 138, Page 106, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 190, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Michael L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a 1/2 inch iron rod found in the south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141, an 80 foot right-of-way, for the northwest corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE Easterly, with said south line, the following four (4) courses and distances:

> North 83 degrees 33 minutes 49 seconds East, a distance of 62.35 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped “JVC” set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the right having a central angle of 07 degrees 20 minutes, a radius of 2,824.93 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 86 degrees 53 minutes 49 seconds East, 361.32 feet;
>
> Easterly, with said curve to the right, an arc distance of 361.57 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped “JVC” set for corner;
>
> South 86 degrees 46 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 289.88 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped “JVC” set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 57 degrees 36 minutes 49 seconds, a radius of 517.68 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 64 degrees 24 minutes 40 seconds East, 498.90 feet;
>
> Northeasterly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 520.55 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped “JVC” set for corner at the intersection of said south line with the south line of Cornelius Road, a 60 foot right-of-way;

THENCE North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, leaving said south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141 and with said south line of Cornelius Road, a distance of 37.01 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped “JVC” set for corner;

THENCE South 00 degrees 29 minutes 15 seconds East, leaving said south line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 300.96 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 61 degrees 44 minutes 36 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 134.73 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE North 00 degrees 05 minutes 20 seconds West, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 152.26 feet to a fence corner post found for an exterior ell corner of that tract of land described in Deed to City of Rockwall, Texas, as recorded in Volume 58, Page 191, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE North 89 degrees 12 minutes 20 seconds East, with the south line of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 657.00 feet to a fence corner post found for the southeast corner of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract;

THENCE South 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds East, a distance of 835.49 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Cain Family Partnership Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 2008-00396788, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and an interior ell corner of said Mike Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196;

THENCE South 01 degrees 35 minutes 19 seconds East, a distance of 1,090.27 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common east line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and west line of said Cain Family Partnership Ltd. tract;

THENCE South 83 degrees 16 minutes 15 seconds West, leaving said common line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 258.34 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 05 degrees 53 minutes 32 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 78.43 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

THENCE South 83 degrees 38 minutes 06 seconds West, a distance of 415.44 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common west line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and east line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE South 01 degrees 18 minutes 41 seconds East, with said common line, a distance of 334.84 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set in the north line of State Highway No. 66, a variable width right-of-way, for the common southeast corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 and southwest corner of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151;

THENCE South 89 degrees 38 minutes 42 seconds West, with said north line, a distance of 241.04 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for the southeast corner of Lot 1, Block A of LADERA ROCKWALL, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Document No. 20190000016594, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Northwesterly, with the north line of said Lot 1, the following five (5) courses and distances:

> North 63 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, leaving said north line of State Highway No. 66, a distance of 347.40 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;
>
> North 29 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 384.58 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;
>
> North 65 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 278.64 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;
>
> South 88 degrees 32 minutes 20 seconds West, a distance of 252.79 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;
>
> North 37 degrees 46 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 128.78 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found in the west line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 for an interior ell corner of said Lot 1;

THENCE North 01 degrees 27 minutes 52 seconds West, with said west line, a distance of 1,699.38 feet to the **POINT OF BEGINNING** and containing 94.133 acres of land, more or less.



ALL LOTS LESS THAN 12,000 SF ARE LOCATED WITHIN 800 FT OF A PUBLIC OR PRIVATE OPEN SPACE.

OPEN SPACE	ACRES
FLOOD PLAIN	29.72
OTHER	13.06
TOTAL	42.78
OPEN SPACE RATIO*	
[(29.72/2) + 13.06] / 94.13 =	29.7%

*ASSUMING HALF OF FLOODPLAIN

JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING
TBPELS: Engineering Firm No. 11962 / Land Surveying Firm No. 10194033
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, TX 75074 | 972.201.3100

CONCEPT PLAN
VALLIS GREENE
94.13 ACRES
ROCKWALL TEXAS

LOT TYPE	MIN. LOT AREA (SF)
A (100'x130')	13,000
B (82'x120')	10,000
C (72'x120')	9,000
D (72'x120')	8,400
E (62'x120')	7,200

100 50 0 100
SCALE 1" = 100'

ZONING CASE # Z2022-010

05 FUTURE LAND USE PLAN
01 LAND USE PLAN DESIGNATIONS

01.01 RESIDENTIAL

LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (LDR)

The *Low Density Residential* land use category consists of residential subdivisions that are two (2) units per gross acre or less; however, a density of up to two and one-half (2½) units per gross acre may be permitted for developments that incorporate increased amenity and a mix of land uses (*see Chapter 8, Residential Developments, of this Comprehensive Plan*).

DESIGNATION CHARACTERISTICS

1. *Primary Land Uses:* Suburban, Estate and Rural Residential (*i.e. Single-Family Detached Homes*)
2. *Secondary Land Uses:* Amenities, Parks, Open Space, and Institutional/Civic Land Uses
3. *Zoning Districts:* All Single-Family Estate (SFE) Districts (i.e. SFE 1.5, 2.0 & 4.0), certain Planned Development (PD) Districts and the Single-Family One (SF-1) District.

EXISTING LAND USE EXAMPLES

1. Breezy Hill Subdivision
2. Stone Creek Subdivision
3. Oaks of Buffalo Way Subdivision

RESIDENTIAL DENSITY CHART



MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (MDR)

The *Medium Density Residential* land use category consists of residential subdivisions that are greater than two and one-half (2½) units per gross acre, but not higher than three (3) units per gross acre; however, a density of up to three and one-half (3½) units per gross acre may be permitted for developments that incorporate increased amenity and a mix of land uses (*see Chapter 8, Residential Developments, of this Comprehensive Plan*).

DESIGNATION CHARACTERISTICS

1. *Primary Land Uses:* Suburban Residential (*i.e. Single-Family Detached Homes*)
2. *Secondary Land Uses:* Amenities, Parks, Open Space, and Institutional/Civic Land Uses
3. *Zoning Districts:* Certain Planned Development (PD) Districts and the Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District

EXISTING LAND USE EXAMPLES

1. Caruth Lakes Subdivision
2. Lago VistaSubdivision
3. Park Place Subdivision

RESIDENTIAL DENSITY CHART



HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (HDR)

The *High Density Residential* land use category may consist of single-family residential homes, duplexes, townhomes, apartments, lofts, condominiums or other forms of housing that exceed three and one-half (3½) units per gross acre. These developments should contain increased amenities and open space, and incorporate pedestrian connectivity to adjacent land uses.

DESIGNATION CHARACTERISTICS

1. *Primary Land Uses:* Suburban and Urban Residential (*i.e. Single-Family Detached, Single-Family Attached, Zero Lot Line Homes, Townhomes, Duplexes, Condominiums and Multi-Family Apartments*)
2. *Secondary Land Uses:* Amenities, Parks, Open Space, and Institutional/Civic Land Uses
3. *Zoning Districts:* Certain Planned Development (PD) Districts, Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District, Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, Zero Lot Line (ZL-5) District, Two Family (2F) District, and the Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District.

EXISTING LAND USE EXAMPLES

1. Turtle Cove Subdivision
2. Sixteen50 @ Lake Ray Hubbard Apartments
3. Mission Rockwall Apartment Complex

RESIDENTIAL DENSITY CHART



NOTE: HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL REQUIRES INCREASED AMENITY

11 NORTHEAST RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT

DISTRICT DESCRIPTION

The *Northeast Residential District* is characterized by its established low-density residential subdivisions and rural/estate style lots. This district is anticipated to be a future growth center for the City, having several large vacant tracts of land suitable for low-density, residential development. In addition, the City currently owns a large tract of land that will be a northern community park and serve this district in the future.

DISTRICT STRATEGIES

The *Northeast Residential District* being mostly an established residential district, is not anticipated to change or transition. The strategies for this district are:

1. *Estate and Rural Residential*. The maintenance of the *Estate* and *Rural Residential* housing types are important to balancing the diversity of suburban lots to large lot housing within the City. These areas also provide rural reserves for the City and create a natural transition zone to the east, towards FM-3549.
2. *Suburban Residential*. Any new *Suburban Residential* developments should include a mix of larger to mid-sized lots. Lots in these developments should not be smaller than existing *Suburban Residential* in this district.
3. *Infill Development*. Residential infill development within this district should be compatible with the surrounding structures and should generally follow the guidelines for low density, suburban housing or rural/estate housing.
4. *Neighborhood/Convenience Centers*. The commercial in this district is intended to support the existing residential subdivisions and should be compatible in scale with the adjacent residential structures.
5. *John King Boulevard Trail Plan*. A ten (10) foot hike/bike trail should be incorporated along John King Boulevard with rest stops and signage as indicated in *Appendix 'B'* of this Comprehensive Plan.



POINTS OF REFERENCE

A. Stoney Hollow Subdivision
B. Celia Hays Elementary School
C. North Country Lane Park
D. Saddlebrook Estates Subdivision
E. Resthaven Funeral Home

2 Current Suburban Residential

2 Future Suburban Residential

1 Current Rural Residential

LAND USE PALETTES

- Current Land Use
- Future Land Use

John King Boulevard Trail Plan
Rest Stop/Trailblazer Pylon

BUILD OUT
1,964
5,794

% OF ROCKWALL
3.13%
0.99%
3.10%

CURRENT
625
18
1,844



MINOR COLLECTOR	
M4U	
M4D	

COMMERCIAL	0.09%
RESIDENTIAL	99.91%
MIXED USE	0.00%

CEMETERY (CEM)	32.34-ACRES
COMMERCIAL/RETAIL (CR)	1.52-ACRES
LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (LDR)	1,658.33-ACRES
PARKS AND OPEN SPACE (OS)	178.54-ACRES
PUBLIC (P)	92.45-ACRES
QUASI-PUBLIC (QP)	3.25-ACRES

CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 22-XX

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [*ORDINANCE NO. 20-02*] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO CHANGE THE ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT TO PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT XX (PD-XX) FOR SINGLE-FAMILY 10 (SF-10) DISTRICT LAND USES ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, BEING A 93.97-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS TRACT 4-01 OF THE M. B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY *EXHIBIT 'A'* AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY *EXHIBIT 'B'*; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ($2,000.00) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City has received a request by Ryan Joyce of the Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a zoning change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and more fully described in *Exhibit 'A'* and depicted in *Exhibit 'B'* of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the *Subject Property* and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code [*Ordinance No. 20-02*] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the *Subject Property* shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District Ordinance and the Unified Development Code [*Ordinance No. 20-02*] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 2. That development of the *Subject Property* shall generally be in accordance with the *Concept Plan*, depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as *Exhibit 'C'*, which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the *Subject Property*;

SECTION 3. That development of the *Subject Property* shall generally be in accordance with the *Density and Development Standards*, outlined in *Exhibit 'D'* of this ordinance, attached

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.21.2022

hereto and incorporated herein by reference as *Exhibit 'D'*, which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the *Subject Property*;

SECTION 4. That a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* for the *Subject Property*, prepared in accordance with this ordinance and consistent with the *Planned Development Concept Plan* described in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

SECTION 5. That development of the *Subject Property* shall be in conformance with the schedule listed below (*except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals*).

(a) The procedures set forth in the City's subdivision regulations on the date this ordinance is approved by the City, as amended by this ordinance [*including Subsections 5(b) through 5(g) below*], shall be the exclusive procedures applicable to the subdivision and platting of the *Subject Property*.

(b) The following plans and plats shall be required in the order listed below (*except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals*). The City Council shall act on an application for a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* in accordance with the time period specified in *Section 212.009* of the *Texas Local Government Code*.

(1) Master Parks and Open Space Plan
(2) Master Plat
(3) Preliminary Plat
(4) PD Site Plan
(5) Final Plat

(c) *Master Parks and Open Space Plan*. A *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* for the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, prepared in accordance with this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

(d) *Master Plat*. A *Master Plat* for the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify the proposed timing of each phase of the proposed development. A *Master Plat* application may be processed by the City concurrently with a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* application for the development.

(e) *Preliminary Plat*. A *Preliminary Plat* for each phase of the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be submitted in accordance with the phasing plan established by the *Master Plat* and shall include a *Treescape Plan* for the phase being *Preliminary Platted*. A *Preliminary Plat* application may be processed by the City concurrently with a *Master Plat* and a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* application for the development.

(f) *PD Site Plan*. A *PD Site Plan* for each phase of the development of the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify all site/landscape/hardscape plan(s) for all open space, neighborhood parks, trail systems, street buffers and entry features. A *PD Site Plan* application may be processed by the City concurrently with a *Final Plat* application for the development.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.21.2022

(g) *Final Plat*. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, a *Final Plat*, conforming to the *Preliminary Plat*, shall be submitted for approval.

SECTION 6. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of *Two Thousand Dollars* ($2,000.00) for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 7. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 8. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code or any provision of the City Code, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code (including references to the *Unified Development Code*), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the Exhibits hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 9. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE 4TH DAY OF JULY, 2021.

_____

Kevin Fowler, *Mayor*

ATTEST:

_____

Kristy Teague, *City Secretary*

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____

Frank J. Garza, *City Attorney*

1st Reading: *March 21, 2022*

2nd Reading: *April 4, 2022*

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.21.2022

Exhibit 'A':
Legal Description

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72 and the M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 850, Page 15, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described as 0.64 Acre Tract in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 138, Page 106, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 190, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Michael L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a ½-inch iron rod found in the south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141, an 80-foot right-of-way, for the northwest corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE Easterly, with said south line, the following four (4) courses and distances:

North 83 degrees 33 minutes 49 seconds East, a distance of 62.35 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the right having a central angle of 07 degrees 20 minutes, a radius of 2,824.93 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 86 degrees 53 minutes 49 seconds East, 361.32 feet;

Easterly, with said curve to the right, an arc distance of 361.57 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

South 86 degrees 46 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 289.88 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 57 degrees 36 minutes 49 seconds, a radius of 517.68 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 64 degrees 24 minutes 40 seconds East, 498.90 feet;

Northeasterly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 520.55 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the intersection of said south line with the south line of Cornelius Road, a 60-foot right-of-way;

THENCE North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, leaving said south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141 and with said south line of Cornelius Road, a distance of 37.01 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

THENCE South 00 degrees 29 minutes 15 seconds East, leaving said south line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 300.96 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 61 degrees 44 minutes 36 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 134.73 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE North 00 degrees 05 minutes 20 seconds West, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 152.26 feet to a fence corner post found for an exterior ell corner of that tract of land described in Deed to City of Rockwall, Texas, as recorded in Volume 58, Page 191, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE North 89 degrees 12 minutes 20 seconds East, with the south line of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 657.00 feet to a fence corner post found for the southeast corner of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract;

THENCE South 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds East, a distance of 835.49 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Cain Family Partnership Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 2008-00396788, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and an interior ell corner of said Mike Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196;

THENCE South 01 degrees 35 minutes 19 seconds East, a distance of 1,090.27 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common east line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and west line of said Cain Family Partnership Ltd. tract;

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.21.2022

Exhibit 'A':
Legal Description

THENCE South 83 degrees 16 minutes 15 seconds West, leaving said common line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 258.34 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 05 degrees 53 minutes 32 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 78.43 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

THENCE South 83 degrees 38 minutes 06 seconds West, a distance of 415.44 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common west line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and east line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE South 01 degrees 18 minutes 41 seconds East, with said common line, a distance of 334.84 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set in the north line of State Highway No. 66, a variable width right-of-way, for the common southeast corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 and southwest corner of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151;

THENCE South 89 degrees 38 minutes 42 seconds West, with said north line, a distance of 241.04 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for the southeast corner of Lot 1, Block A of LADERA ROCKWALL, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Document No. 20190000016594, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Northwesterly, with the north line of said Lot 1, the following five (5) courses and distances:

North 63 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, leaving said north line of State Highway No. 66, a distance of 347.40 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

North 29 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 384.58 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 65 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 278.64 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

South 88 degrees 32 minutes 20 seconds West, a distance of 252.79 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 37 degrees 46 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 128.78 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found in the west line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 for an interior ell corner of said Lot 1;

THENCE North 01 degrees 27 minutes 52 seconds West, with said west line, a distance of 1,699.38 feet to the *POINT OF BEGINNING* and containing 94.133 acres of land, more or less.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.21.2022

Exhibit 'B':
Survey



DRAFT
01.31.2022

Exhibit 'C':
Concept Plan



DRAFT
ORDINANCE

Exhibit 'D':
Density and Development Standards

DENSITY AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.

(1) *Permitted Uses*. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, only those uses permitted within the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as stipulated by the *Permissible Use Charts* contained in Article 04, *Permissible Uses*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), are allowed on the *Subject Property*.

(2) *Lot Composition and Layout*. The lot layout and composition shall generally conform to the *Concept Plan* depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* and stated in *Table 1*, which is as follows:

TABLE 1: LOT COMPOSITION

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size (FT)*	*Minimum Lot Size (SF)*	*Dwelling Units (#)*	*Dwelling Units (%)*
A	100' x 130'	13,000 SF	9	04.95%
B	82' x 120'	10,000 SF	29	15.93%
C	72' x 110'	9,000 SF	45	24.73%
D	72' x 110'	8,400 SF	22	12.09%
E	62' x 110'	7,200 SF	77	42.31%
		Maximum Permitted Units:	*182*	*100.00%*

(3) *Variation in Residential Lot Composition*. The allocation of single-family residential lot types may deviate from the that in Subsection (2), *Residential Lot Composition and Layout*, of this ordinance provided that the maximum allowed total dwelling units does not exceed *182* units provided [*1*] a minimum of 57.69% of the total lot count be comprised of *Lot Types 'A'*, *'B'*, *'C'* & *'D'*, [*2*] a minimum of nine (9) *Lot Type 'A'* and 30 *Lot Type 'B'* lots are provided, and [*3*] a maximum of 42.30% *Lot Type 'E'* lots can be provided.

(4) *Density and Dimensional Requirements*. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, the development standards stipulated by the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as specified by Article 05, *District Development Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) are applicable to all development on the *Subject Property.* The maximum permissible density for the *Subject Property* shall not exceed *1.93* dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed *182* units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in *Table 2*, which are as follows:

TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Lot Type (see Concept Plan) ►	***A***	***B***	***C***	***D***	***E***
Minimum Lot Width [1]	100'	82'	72'	72'	62'
Minimum Lot Depth	130'	120'	115'	110'	110'
Minimum Lot Area	13,000 SF	10,000 SF	9,000 SF	8,400 SF	7,200 SF
Minimum Front Yard Setback [2], [5] & [6]	25'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Side Yard Setback	15'	6'	6'	6'	6'
Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) [2], [5] & [7]	15'	15'	15'	15'	15'
Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement	20'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Maximum Height [3]	35'	35'	35'	35'	35'
Minimum Rear Yard Setback [4]	25'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space]	2,400 SF	2,200 SF	2,200 SF	2,200 SF	2,000 SF
Maximum Lot Coverage	45'	45'	45'	65'	65'

General Notes:

[1]: Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by 20% as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the *Front Yard Building Setback*. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in *Table 1*.

[2]: The location of the *Front Yard Building Setback* as measured from the front property line.

[3]: The *Maximum Height* shall be measured to the eave or top plate (*whichever is greater*) of the single-family home.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 08.21.22

[4]: The location of the *Rear Yard Building Setback* as measured from the rear property line.
[5]: Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the *Front Yard Building Setback* and *Side Yard Building Setback* by up to five (5) feet for any property. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15-feet in width that has glass on at least 50% of each of the encroaching faces.
[6]: *J-Swing* or *Traditional Swing Garages* are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a minimum of five (5) feet.
[7]: All *Corner Lots* that back to a lot that fronts onto the same street that the *Corner Lot* sides to (*i.e. a Keystone Lot*), shall have a setback that is equal to the front setback of the fronting lot. In addition, no solid fence shall be situated within this setback.

(5) *Building Standards*. All development shall adhere to the following building standards:

(a) *Masonry Requirement*. The minimum masonry requirement for the exterior façade of all buildings shall be 90% (*excluding dormers and walls over roof areas*). For the purposes of this ordinance, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementitious fiberboard (*e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank*) in a horizontal lap-siding, *board-and-batten* siding, or a decorative pattern (*see examples below*) may be used for up to 80% of the masonry requirement; however, administrative from the Director of Planning and Zoning approval may be requested for housing plans that utilize cementitous fiberboard in excess of 80% of the masonry requirement on a *case-by-case* basis.

FIGURE 1: EXAMPLES OF CEMENTITIOUS FIBERBOARD



FIGURE 2: EXAMPLES OF BOARD AND BATTEN

DRAFT
05.24.2022

FIGURE 3: EXAMPLES OF HORIZONTAL LAP

(b) *Roof Pitch*. A minimum of an *8:12* roof pitch is required on all structures with the exception of dormers, sunrooms and porches, which shall have a minimum of a *4:12* roof pitch.

(c) *Garage Orientation and Garage Doors*. A total of 50% of all garages may be oriented toward the street in a *Front Entry* garage configuration; however, the front façade of the garage must be situated a minimum of five (5) feet behind the front façade of the primary structure. The remaining 50% of all garages shall be oriented in a *J-Swing* (*or Traditional Swing*) configuration or be situated a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the home. In addition, all garage configurations shall have upgraded finishes that consist of the following architectural elements: [*1*] coach lighting, [*2*] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, and [*3*] driveways that are constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained finished, or salt finished [*see Figures 4-6 for examples of upgraded or enhanced finishes*].

FIGURE 4: EXAMPLES OF ENHANCED WOOD GARAGE DOOR

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.21.22

FIGURE 5: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED FINISHES

DIVIDED BAYS

CARRIAGE HARDWARE

CEDAR CLADDING

ORNAMENTAL PAVING

FIGURE 6: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED GARAGES



(6) *Anti-Monotony Restrictions*. The development shall adhere to the Anti-Monotony Matrix depicted in *Table 3* below (*for spacing requirements see Figures 7 & 8 below*).

TABLE 3: ANTI-MONOTONY MATRIX

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size*	*Elevation Features*
A	13,000 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
B	10,000 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
C	9,000 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
D	8,400 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
E	7,200 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)

Exhibit 'D':
Density and Development Standards

(a) Identical brick blends or paint colors may not occur on adjacent (*side-by-side*) properties along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing materials on the same side of the street beginning with the adjacent property and six (6) intervening homes of differing materials on the opposite side of the street.

(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same side of the street and six (6) intervening homes of differing appearance on the opposite side of the street. The rear elevation of homes backing to open space, Cornelius Road, FM-1141, or SH-66 shall not repeat without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:

(1) Number of Stories
(2) Permitted Encroachment Type and Layout
(3) Roof Type and Layout
(4) Articulation of the Front Façade

(c) Permitted encroachment (*i.e. porches and sunroom*) elevations shall not repeat or be the same along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of sufficient dissimilarity on the same side of the street beginning with the home adjacent to the subject property and six (6) intervening homes beginning with the home on the opposite side of the street.

(d) Each phase of the subdivision will allow for a maximum of four (4) compatible roof colors, and all roof shingles shall be an architectural or dimensional shingle (*3-Tab Roofing Shingles are prohibited*).

FIGURE 7: Properties line up on the opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.



FIGURE 8: Properties do not line up on opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.



DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.22.2022

(7) *Fencing Standards*. All individual residential fencing and walls shall be architecturally compatible with the design, materials and colors of the primary structure on the same lot, and meet the following standards:

(a) *Front Yard Fences*. Front yard fences shall be prohibited.

(b) *Wood Fences*. All solid fencing shall be constructed utilizing standard cedar fencing materials (*spruce fencing is prohibited*) that are a minimum of ½-inch or greater in thickness. Fences shall be *board-on-board* panel fence that is constructed a minimum of six (6) feet in height and a maximum of eight (8) feet in height. Posts, fasteners, and bolts shall be formed from hot dipped galvanized or stainless steel. All cedar pickets shall be placed on the public side (*i.e. facing streets, alleys, open space, parks, and/or neighboring properties*). All posts and/or framing shall be placed on the private side (*i.e. facing towards the home*) of the fence. All wood fences shall be smooth finished, free of burs and splinters, and be stained and sealed on both sides of the fence. Painting a fence with oil or latex based paint shall be prohibited.

(c) *Wrought Iron/Tubular Steel*. Lots located along the perimeter of roadways (*i.e. FM-1141, Cornelius Road, and SH-66*), abutting open spaces, greenbelts and parks shall be required to install a wrought iron or tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences can be a maximum of six (6) feet in height. The *Lot Type 'A'* lots shall be required to utilize wrought iron/tubular steel fencing.

(d) *Corner Lots*. Corner lots fences (*i.e. adjacent to the street*) shall provide masonry columns at 45-feet off center spacing that begins at the rear of the property line. A maximum of six (6) foot solid *board-on-board panel* fence constructed utilizing cedar fencing shall be allowed between the masonry columns along the side and/or rear lot adjacent to a street. In addition, the fencing shall be setback from the side property line adjacent to a street a minimum of five (5) feet. The property owner shall be required to maintain both sides of the fence.

(e) *Solid Fences (including Wood Fences)*. All solid fences shall incorporate a decorative top rail or cap detailing into the design of the fence.

(f) *Fence in Easements*. No fencing shall be constructed in or across a North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD) easement and/or an easement of the City of Rockwall.

(g) *Additional Fence Requirements*. In addition to the abovementioned fence requirements the following additional requirements will need to be met for *Locations* ❶ *&* ❷ as depicted on *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance.

(1) *Location* ❶. In Location ❶, where the *Lot Types 'A' & 'B'* lots abut an existing single-family home that has an existing six (6) foot or greater wood fence, the wood fence shall be permitted to remain on the shared property line and serve both lots.

(2) *Location* ❷. In Location ❷, where the lots abut a non-residential land use along the southern property line, a minimum of an eight (8) foot wood fence meeting the requirements of Subsection (b), *Wood Fences*, and Subsection (e), *Solid Fences (including Woods Fences*), above shall be constructed. In addition, one (1) row of

DRAFT ORDINANCE 08.21.2022

a minimum of four (4), four (4) inch caliper Eastern Red Cedar or Leland Cypress trees shall be planted along the rear property line of each property.

(8) *Landscape and Hardscape Standards.*

(a) *Landscape.* Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*. All *Canopy/Shade Trees* planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all *Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees* shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height. All shrubs shall be a minimum of five (5) gallons in size. Any residential lot that sides or backs to a major roadway where wrought iron/tubular steel fencing is required, shall be required to plant an additional row of shrubs adjacent to the wrought iron/tubular fence within the required 30-foot landscape buffer (*i.e. on the Homeowner's Associations' [HOAs'] property*). These shrubs shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(b) *Landscape Buffers.* All landscape buffers and plantings located within the buffers shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(1) *Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (FM-1141)*. A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along FM-1141 (*outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication*), and shall incorporate ground cover, a *built-up* berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30-inches and a maximum height of 48-inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30-foot landscape buffer.

(2) *Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (SH-66)*. A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along SH-66 (*outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication*), and shall incorporate ground cover, a *built-up* berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30-inches and a maximum height of 48-inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30-foot landscape buffer.

(3) *Landscape Buffers (Adjacent to Open Space).* A minimum of a ten (10) foot landscape buffer shall be provided along streets that have frontage on open space. This landscape buffer shall incorporate one (1) canopy tree and one (1) accent tree per 50-feet of linear frontage.

(c) *Street Trees*. The Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and will be required to maintain a minimum of 14-feet vertical clearance height for any trees overhanging a public right-of-way. Street trees shall be planted a minimum of five (5) feet from public water, sanitary sewer and storm lines. All street trees shall be reviewed with the *PD Site Plan*.

(d) *Residential Lot Landscaping*. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (CO), all residential lots depicted on *Exhibit 'C'* shall be landscaped with a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the front yard. In

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.21.2022

addition, corner lots shall be required to add a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the side yard facing the street.

(e) *Irrigation Requirements*. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping located within common areas, landscape buffers and/or open space. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(f) *Hardscape*. Hardscape plans indicating the location of all sidewalks and trails shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*.

(9) *Street*. All streets (*excluding drives, fire lanes and private parking areas*) shall be built according to City street standards.

(10) *Lighting*. Light poles shall not exceed 20-feet in total height (*i.e. base and lighting standard*). All fixtures shall be directed downward and be positioned to contain all light within the development area.

(11) *Sidewalks*. All sidewalks adjacent to a street shall be a maximum of two (2) feet inside the right-of-way line and be five (5) feet in overall width.

(12) *Buried Utilities*. New distribution power-lines required to serve the *Subject Property* shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the perimeter of the *Subject Property*, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. Temporary power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the *Subject Property* to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.

(13) *Open Space/Public Park*. The development shall consist of a minimum of 20% open space (*or a minimum of 18.794-acres -- as calculated using the formula stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan*), and generally conform to the *Concept Plan* contained in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance. In addition, the developer shall be required to use the pro-rata equipment and cash-in-lieu of land fees -- *in the amounts stipulated by the Parkland Dedication Ordinance* -- to establish a public park with amenities on the four (4) acre tract of land (*i.e. Tract 13-01 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract 72*) owned by the City of Rockwall adjacent to Cornelius Road. Amenities for this public park shall include irrigation, parking, a playground, walking trails, and benches. All equipment and improvements within the public park shall be built to the specifications stipulated by the Director of Parks and Recreation, and shall be provided by the developer in conjunction with the development of the proposed subdivision. Funds provided by the developer shall not be required to exceed the fees required through the *Parkland Dedication Ordinance*. Plans for the proposed public park shall be reviewed and recommended by the Parks and Recreation Board and approved by the City Council with the Preliminary Plat/Master Parks and Open Space Plan. In the event that the design of the public park cannot be agreed up by the *Developer* and the City of Rockwall, the *Developer* shall have the ability to satisfy the fees through the normal payment method dictated by the *Parkland Dedication Ordinance*.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.22.2022

(14) *Trails*. A minimum of a six (6) foot concrete trail system shall be constructed generally in the same location as the trail system depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance.

(15) *Neighborhood Signage and Enhancements*. Permanent subdivision identification signage shall be required at all major entry points for the proposed subdivision. Final design and location of any entry features shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*; however, the signage should be equal to or better than the representative signage depicted in *Exhibit 'C'*. The developer shall provide enhanced landscaping areas at all entry points to the *Subject Property*. The final design of these areas shall be provided on the *PD Site Plan*.

(16) *Homeowner's Association (HOA)*. A Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of *Section 38-15* of the *Subdivision Regulations* contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. The HOA shall also maintain all private neighborhood parks, trails, open space and common areas (*including drainage facilities*), floodplain areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and neighborhood signage associated with this development. These areas are required to be delineated on the *PD Site Plan*.

(17) *Variances*. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) shall apply to any application for variances to this ordinance.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 03.21.2022

CITY OF ROCKWALL

CITY COUNCIL MEMORANDUM

PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745 • EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

TO:	Mayor and City Council
CC:	Mary Smith, *City Manager* Joey Boyd, *Assistant City Manager*
FROM:	Ryan Miller, *Director of Planning and Zoning*
DATE:	April 4, 2022
SUBJECT:	Z2022-010; *Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene*

On March 21, 2022, the City Council approved a motion to table *Case No. Z2022-010* by a vote of 6-0, with Council Member Campbell absent. The purpose of this motion was to allow the applicant time to amend the percentage of *Flat Front Entry* garages being requested so that the percentage better conformed to the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Based on this direction the applicant has revised the request to conform to the Comprehensive Plan, and is bringing the case back for the City Council's consideration.

Under the original draft ordinance, the applicant was requesting to allow 50% *Flat Front Entry* garages and 50% *J-Swing* (*or Traditional Swing*) garages or *Recessed Front Entry* garages (*i.e. where the garage is setback a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the home*). As part of this request the applicant was proposing to incorporate upgraded garage doors and driveways, and added a five (5) foot recess to the *Flat Front Entry* garages that set the garage door back five (5) feet from the front façade of the home which provides a 25-foot driveway. According to the *Residential* goals and policies contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, "(i)n cases where *Flat Front Entry* garages (*i.e. even with the front façade of the primary structure*) are requested as part of a development no greater than 20% should be incorporated into the development …" [*CH. 08 | Sec. 02.03 | Goal 03; Policy 3*] Under the applicant's revised proposal, the *Flat Front Entry* garages would be reduced to 20.33% or 37 lots and the remaining 79.67% or 145 lots would be *J-Swing* (*or Traditional Swing*) or *Recessed Front Entry* garages. Staff should point out that the applicant is still proposing to provide upgraded garage doors and driveways for all garage configurations, and is still proposing the five (5) foot setback from the front façade of the home for *Flat Front Entry* garage configurations. This change brings the applicant's request nearly into complete conformance with the Comprehensive Plan; however, -- *as with all zoning cases* -- the request remains discretionary to the City Council.

Based on this proposed change staff has revised the draft ordinance and case memo, and provided them in the attached packet. Should the City Council have any questions the applicant and staff will be available at the meeting on *April 4, 2022*.

CITY OF ROCKWALL

CITY COUNCIL CASE MEMO

PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745 • EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

TO:	Mayor and City Council
DATE:	April 4, 2022
APPLICANT:	Ryan Joyce; *Michael Joyce Properties*
CASE NUMBER:	Z2022-010; *Zoning Change (AG to PD) for Vallis Greene*

SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a *Zoning Change* from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4 & 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122 and a portion of Tract 25-1 & 26 and all of Tract 13 & 25 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

BACKGROUND

The subject property was annexed on March 16, 1998 by *Ordinance No. 98-10* [*Case No. A1998-001*]. At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. According to the Rockwall Central Appraisal District (RCAD), currently situated on the subject property is: [*1*] a 2,500 SF single-family home constructed in 1958, [*2*] an ~1,856 SF covered porch constructed in 1958, [*3*] a 400 SF storage building constructed in 1900, and [*4*] a 96 SF storage building with a 240 SF covered canopy constructed in 2007. Beyond these structures, the subject property has remained largely undeveloped and the zoning designation has not changed since annexation.

PURPOSE

On February 18, 2021, the applicant -- *Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties* -- submitted an application requesting to change the zoning of the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses. Specifically, the applicant is proposing to entitle the subject property for a 182-lot single-family, residential subdivision that will consists of five (5) lot sizes (*i.e. [A] 9, 100' x 130' lots; [B] 29, 82' x 120' lots; [C] 45, 72' x 115' lots; [D] 22, 72' x 110' lots; and [E] 77, 62' x 110' lots*).

ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is generally located between SH-66 and FM-1141 at the southwest corner of the intersection of FM-1141 and Cornelius Road. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

North: Directly north of the subject property is FM-1141 and Cornelius Road. FM-1141 is identified as a M4D (*i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway*) and Cornelius Road is identified as a M4U (*i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway*) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. On the northside of the right-of-way for FM-1141 and the southside of the right-of-way for Cornelius Road are the corporate limits of the City of Rockwall. Beyond this are multiple residential properties situated within the City of Rockwall's Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ) in Rockwall County.

South: Directly south of the subject property is a 9.789-acre tract of land (*i.e. a portion of Lot 1, Block A, Ladera Rockwall Addition*), which will be Phase 2 of the Ladera Subdivision. This phase will consist of 33 residential units that are zoned Planned Development District 85 (PD-85) for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses. Beyond this is SH-66, which is identified as a TXDOT4D (*i.e. Texas Department of Transportation [TXDOT] roadway, four [4] lane,*

divided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. South of this thoroughfare is: [*1*] a 47.89-acre parcel of land (*i.e. Lot 1 of the Rockwall Municipal Airport Addition*) which is occupied by the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport, [*2*] a vacant two (2) acre tract of land (*i.e. Tract 2 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183*), and [*3*] a 43.66-acre tract of land (*i.e. Tract 7 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102*) occupied with a single-family home. All of these properties are zoned Agricultural (AG) District.

East: Directly east of the subject property are the reminder tracts (*i.e. Tracts 25-1 & 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72*) being subdivided from the subject property. Also, east of the subject property is a 55.08-acre tract of land (*i.e. Tract 11 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72*), which has a two (2) barns (*i.e. a 1,107 SF barn and a 7,448 SF barn both built in 1966*). All of these properties are zoned Agricultural (AG) District.

West: Directly west of the subject property is: [*1*] a 15.935-acre vacant tract of land (*i.e. Tract 5-1 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122*) zoned Agricultural (AG) District, and [*2*] a 37.80-acre parcel of land (*i.e. Lot 1, Block A, Ladera Rockwall Addition*) zoned Planned Development District 85 (PD-85) for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses. The 37.80-acre tract of land is the Ladera Subdivision, and will consist of 117 single-family units at buildout. Beyond this is John King Boulevard, which is identified as a P6D (*i.e. principal arterial, six [6] lane, divided roadway*) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

MAP 1: LOCATION MAP
YELLOW: SUBJECT PROPERTY



CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST

The applicant has submitted a concept plan and development standards for the proposed residential subdivision. The concept plan shows that the 93.97-acre subject property will consist of 182 residential lots. These lots will consist of five (5)

lot types: [*1*] 9 *Type 'A'* lots that are a minimum of 100' x 130' (*or 13,000 SF*), [*2*] 29 *Type 'B'* lots that are a minimum of 82' x 120' (*or 10.000 SF*), [*3*] 45 *Type 'C'* lots that are a minimum of 72' x 115' (*or 9,000 SF*), [*4*] 22 *Type 'D'* lots that are a minimum of 72' x 110' (*or 8,400 SF*), and [*5*] 77 *Type 'E'* lots that are a minimum of 62' x 110' (*or 7,200 SF*). This translates to a gross density of *1.94* dwelling units per gross acre (*i.e. 182 lots/93.97-acres = 1.93679 dwelling units per gross acre*) for the total development. The minimum dwelling unit size (*i.e. air-condition space*) will range from 2,000 SF to 2,400 SF. With regard to the proposed housing product, staff has incorporated anti-monotony and masonry standards into the proposed Planned Development District ordinance. Specifically, the ordinance will require a minimum of 90% masonry (*with a minimum of 85% masonry on each façade*), and be subject to the City's upgraded anti-monotony standards; however, the Planned Development District ordinance will also incorporate provisions that allow up to 80% cementitous fiberboard utilized in a horizontal lap-siding, *board-and-batten* siding, or decorative pattern to allow a more *Traditional Neighborhood Design* product (*also referred to as Gingerbread*). The following are some of the examples contained in the Planned Development District ordinance:

IMAGES 1 & 2: EXAMPLES OF BOARD AND BATTEN FROM THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT ORDINANCE

Looking at the proposed garage orientation proposed for the development, the applicant is requesting to allow a total of ~~50%~~ 20.33% or 37 of all the garages be orientated toward the street; however, the applicant is proposing to require a five (5) foot setback for the garage from the front façade. The remaining garage doors will be situated within a *J-Swing* (*or a Traditional Swing*) configuration or *Recessed Entry* configuration (*i.e. where the garage door is situated a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade*). In addition, the applicant is proposing to incorporate upgraded finishes on all garage doors that include: [*1*] coach lighting, [*2*] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, and [*3*] driveways that are constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained finish, or salt finished. The following are some of the examples of these upgrades included in the Planned Development District ordinance:

IMAGES 3, 4 & 5: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED GARAGES

The proposed subdivision will be subject to the land uses and density and dimensional requirements stipulated for properties within a Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District unless otherwise specified in the Planned Development District ordinance. The following is a summary of the lot composition and density and dimensional standards contained in the proposed Planned Development District ordinance:

TABLE 1: LOT COMPOSITION

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size (FT)*	*Minimum Lot Size (SF)*	*Dwelling Units (#)*	*Dwelling Units (%)*
A	100' x 130'	13,000 SF	9	4.95%
B	82' x 120'	10,000 SF	29	15.93%
C	72' x 115'	9,000 SF	45	24.73%
D	72' x 110'	8,400 SF	22	12.09%
E	62' x 110'	7,200 SF	77	42.31%
		Maximum Permitted Units:	*182*	*100.00%*

TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Lot Type (see Concept Plan) ►	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
Minimum Lot Width (1)	100'	82'	72'	72'	62'
Minimum Lot Depth	130'	120'	115'	110'	110'
Minimum Lot Area (SF)	13,000	10,000	9,000	8,400	7,200
Minimum Front Yard Setback (2), (6) & (8)	25'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Side Yard Setback	15'	6'	6'	6'	6'
Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) (2), (6) & (7)	15'	15'	15'	15'	15'
Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement	20'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Maximum Height (3)	35'	35'	35'	35'	35'
Minimum Rear Yard Setback (4)	25'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space]	2,400	2,500	2,400	2,200	2,200
Maximum Lot Coverage	45'	45'	45'	65'	65'

General Notes:

1: Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by 20% as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the *Front Yard Building Setback*. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in *Table 1*.

2: The location of the *Front Yard Building Setback* as measured from the front property line.

3: The *Maximum Height* shall be measured to the eave or top plate (*whichever is greater*) of the single-family home.

4: The location of the *Rear Yard Building Setback* as measured from the rear property line.

5: Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the *Front Yard Building Setback* and *Side Yard Building Setback* by up to five (5) feet for any property. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15-feet in width that has glass on at least 50% of each of the encroaching faces.

6: *J-Swing* or *Traditional Swing Garages* are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a minimum of five (5) feet.

7: All *Corner Lots* that back to a lot that fronts onto the same street that the *Corner Lot* sides to (*i.e. a Keystone Lot*), shall have a setback that is equal to the front setback of the fronting lot. In addition, no solid fence shall be situated within this setback.

The proposed concept plan shows that the development will consist of a total of 29.72-acres of floodplain and 13.06-acres of open space maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA). This translates to an open space percentage of 29.71% (*i.e. [29.72-acres of floodplain/2] + 13.06-acres of open space = 27.92-acres/93.97-acres gross = 29.7116%*). In addition, the applicant has agreed to utilize the pro-rata equipment fees and cash-in-lieu of land fees to provide amenity to the four (4) acre tract of City owned land (*i.e. Tract 13-01 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72*) to create a public park. All amenities being proposed for this public park will need to be approved by the Parks and Recreation Board and be constructed to the City's standard as determined by the Director of Parks and Recreation. The applicant will also be providing a trail system consisting of a six (6) foot concrete trail that will connect this public park to the proposed subdivision.

Staff should point out that a portion of the subject property is situated within the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport. In addition, staff is currently bringing forward an Airport Overlay (AP OV) District [*Case No. Z2022-012*] that (*if approved*) will establish requirements for land uses in and around the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport. Based on this, staff sent a copy of the proposed plan to TXDOT Aviation for review and comment, and a copy of the proposed plan to Garver USA -- *the City's Airport Consultant* -- for review. TXDOT Aviation requested that the applicant [*1*] not have any development within the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) and [*2*] establish an avigation easement over the property to ensure that future homeowners are aware they are purchasing a home in close proximity to the flight path of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport. Garver USA requested that no development or amenity be constructed in the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ). In addition,

staff requested that the applicant adhere to the future Airport Overlay (AP OV) District, and not locate any portion of any lot in the *Airport Influence Zone* (*which if established is the zone that will prevent residential and assembly uses from being located within 500-feet of the airport*). The applicant has taken these comments and adjusted the concept plan to ensure compliance with these recommendations. In addition, staff has added a condition of approval that will require the avigation easement be established at the time of final plat.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Based on the applicant's concept plan and the proposed density, the following infrastructure is required to be constructed to provide adequate public services for the proposed development:

(1) *Roadways*. According to the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, FM-1141 is required to be a M4D (*i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway*), which requires an 85-foot right-of-way with a 64-foot *back-to-back* concrete street. In addition, SH-66 is identified as a which is identified as a TXDOT4D (*i.e. Texas Department of Transportation [TXDOT] roadway, four [4] lane, divided roadway*) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. This road will require a minimum of 120-feet of right-of-way or 60-feet from the centerline of the roadway. The applicant will also be required to perform a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for the proposed drive approaches off of FM-1141 and SH-66. Staff is also requiring that as part of the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) and/or roadway design, the applicant's consultant study the need for a left turn lane at the proposed drive approach on FM-1141.

(2) *Water*. The applicant will be required to construct a 12-inch water line on the subject property along SH-66, extending to the existing stub out at FM-1141. In addition, the development will require an eight (8) inch water line be looped through the subject property.

(3) *Wastewater*. The applicant will be required to construct an eight (8) inch wastewater line that will tie into the 12-inch line adjacent to the southwestern property line.

(4) *Drainage*. The applicant shall be required to perform a flood study to delineate the fully developed 100-year localized floodplain for all ponds, creeks or streams, and draws on the subject property. Detention will be required and sized per the required detention study. The applicant will also be required to perform a Wetlands and Waters of the United States (WOTUS) study for the existing ponds on the subject property.

CONFORMANCE TO THE CITY'S CODES

The proposed Planned Development District conforms to the majority of the City's code requirements; however, it should be noted that the development standards contained within the Planned Development District ordinance deviate from the requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the Municipal Code of Ordinances, and the Engineering Department's *Standards of Design and Construction Manual* in the following ways:

(1) *Alleyways*. The Engineering Department's *Standards of Design and Construction Manual* stipulates that "(a)lleys shall be provided in all residential areas and shall be paved with steel reinforced concrete…" The code does grant the City Council the ability to "… waive the residential alley requirement, if it is in the best interest of the City." [*Page 14; Section 2.11 of the Standards of Design and Construction Manual*]

(2) *Garage Configuration*. Section 04, *Residential Parking*, of Article 06, *Parking and Loading*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) requires that, "(i)n single-family or duplex districts, parking garages must be located at least 20-feet behind the front building façade for front entry garages unless it is a *J-Swing* [*or traditional swing*] garage where the garage door is perpendicular to the street."

Applicant's Response to (1) & (2): In lieu of providing the required alleyways, the applicant is proposing to provide 50% *J-Swing* (*or Traditional Swing*) or *Recessed Front Entry* (*i.e. where the garage is setback a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the home*) garages and ~~50%~~ 20.33% or 37 *Flat Front Entry* garages with a five (5) recess for the garage (*i.e. where the garage is setback five [5] feet from the front façade*). As a compensatory measure the applicant is proposing to provide additional architectural elements into all garage configurations: [*A*] coach lighting, [*B*] decorative

wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, [*C*] driveways constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained finished, or salt finished. Staff should also point out that by providing a five (5) foot recess in the *Flat Front Entry* garages a minimum of a 25-foot driveway is being provided. The following are examples of some of the upgraded finishes depicted in the Planned Development District ordinance:

IMAGES 6, 7, 8, & 9: *EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED FINISHES*

DIVIDED BAYS

CARRIAGE HARDWARE

CEDAR CLADDING

ORNAMENTAL PAVING

CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

According to the *Land Use Plan* contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, the subject property is located within the *Northeast Residential District* and is designated for *Low Density Residential* land uses. The plan defines *Low Density Residential* land uses as "… residential subdivisions that are two (2) units per gross acre or less; however, a density of up to two and one-half (2½) units per gross acre may be permitted for developments that incorporate increased amenity and a mix of land uses …" In addition, the Comprehensive Plan defines increased amenity as, "… developments that provide some of the following: (1) open space beyond the required 20%, (2) a golf course and/or other comparable recreation facilities, (3) amenity/recreation facilities, (4) school site integration, (5) dedication or development of park land beyond the required park land dedication, (6) additional development of trails, (7) other amenities deemed appropriate by the City Council." In this case the applicant is proposing a total density of *1.94* dwelling units per acre. In addition, the applicant is proposing to incorporate walking trails and 29.71% open space (*i.e. 9.71% in excess of the required 20.00%*). Based on this the applicant's request appears to be in conformance with the *Low Density Residential* designation indicated for the subject property.

According to the *Northeast Residential District*, the district "… is characterized by its established low-density residential subdivisions and rural/estate style lots … [*and*] is anticipated to be a future growth center for the City, having several large vacant tracts of land suitable for low-density, residential development." Looking at the *District Strategies* for the *Northeast Residential District* for *Suburban Residential*, the plan states that "…new *Suburban Residential* developments should include a mix of larger to mid-sized lots. Lots in these developments should not be smaller than existing *Suburban Residential* in this district." In this case, the applicant is proposing lot products that range from 62' x 110' (*or a minimum of 7,200 SF*) to 100' x 130' (*or a minimum of 13,000 SF*). After reviewing the district, staff has identified the following *Suburban Residential* developments that have similar lot sizes:

(1) *Ladera of Rockwall*. This development is platted as one (1) large lot, but calls out *Artificial Lots* (*i.e. setup in a condominium regime*) that consist of the following lot products: 20, 44.5' x 80'; 31, 42' x 77'; 41, 54' x 60'; and 6, 64' x 50'.
(2) *Saddle Star*. 143, 70' x 125' (*or a minimum of 8,750 SF*) and 33, 80' x 125' (*or a minimum of 10,000 SF*).
(3) *Dalton Ranch*. 75' x 120' and 80' x 125'
(4) *Gideon Grove (Phase 1)*. 45, 80' x 125' (*or a minimum of 10,000 SF*) and 27, 100' x 150' (*or a minimum of 15,000 SF*)
(5) *Gideon Grove (Phase 2)*. 53, 60' x 120' (*or a minimum of 7,000 SF*) and 31, 70' x 120' (*or a minimum of 8,400 SF*)
(6) *Nelson Lakes*. 134, 60' x 120' (*or a minimum of 7,000 SF*); 68, 70' x 120' (*or a minimum of 8,400 SF*); and 58, 72' x 120' (*or a minimum of 8,600 SF*)
(7) *Winding Creek*. 132, 90' x 100' (*or a minimum of 16,000 SF*)

Staff should point out that the majority of the applicant's request is for the smaller *Type 'E'* lots (*42.31%*), which are the 62' x 110' lots (*or a minimum of 7,200 SF*). The only developments with smaller lot sizes than the *Type 'E'* lots are the Ladera of Rockwall and Nelson Lakes Subdivisions. It should also be pointed out that the subject property is directly adjacent to the Ladera of Rockwall Subdivision, which has the smallest lot sizes in this district.

With regard to the policies and goals for residential development contained in the Comprehensive Plan, the applicant's request incorporates the majority of these policies and goals (*e.g. minimum of six [6] side yard setbacks on all lot types, fronting of homes on to a park/open space, designed utilizing the Housing Tree Model, etc.*); however, staff has identified the following non-conformities on the concept plan and provided the following recommendations to the applicant:

RED: NOT INCORPORATED INTO THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT ORDINANCE.
BLUE: INCORPORATED INTO THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT ORDINANCE.

(1) *CH. 08 | Sec. 02.03 | Goal 03; Policy 3*: In cases where *Flat Front Entry* garages (*i.e. even with the front façade of the primary structure*) are requested as part of a development no greater than 20% should be incorporated into the development. In addition, *Flat Front Entry* garages should have a minimum of a 25-foot front yard building setback to allow vehicles to be parked in the driveway without overhanging public right-of-way. This type of garage may not be appropriate for all developments and should be generally discouraged.

Staff Response: If *a Flat Front Entry* garage configuration with a recess of five (5) feet is being requested it should be limited to 20%. *The applicant has chosen to request ~~50%~~ 20.33% or 37 Flat Front Entry garages and ~~50%~~ 79.67% or 145 J-Swing (or Traditional Swing) or Recessed Front Entry (i.e. where the garage is setback a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the home) garages, but has consented to upgraded garage doors and driveway requirements. In addition, the added five (5) foot recess provides a 25-foot driveway on the Flat Front Entry garage product, which has a similar effect as the 25-foot setback requested by the Comprehensive Plan.*

Taking into account the applicant's concept plan, proposed density, and development standards, the request does ~~appear to~~ conform with the majority of the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan; however, as with all zoning cases, this request remains a discretionary decision for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission.

NOTIFICATIONS

On February 25, 2022, staff mailed 78 notices to property owners and occupants within 500-feet of the subject property. Staff also notified the Caruth Lakes (*Caruth Ridge Estates*) Homeowner's Association (HOA), which is the only HOA within 1,500-feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was drafted, staff had received the following:

(1) Two (2) notices from two (2) property owners situated within the 500-foot notification buffer in opposition to the applicant's request.
(2) One (1) email from one (1) property owner situated within the 500-foot notification buffer in opposition to the applicant's request.
(3) One (1) notice from one (1) property owner situated within the Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ) of the City of Rockwall in opposition to the applicant's request.
(4) One (1) response from the City's *Zoning & Specific Use Permit Input Form* from a property owner in the City of Fate in favor of the applicant's request.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the City Council chooses to approve the applicant's request to rezone the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:

(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the concept plan and development standards contained in the Planned Development District ordinance.

(2) The applicant will be required to put an avigation easement over the property to ensure that homeowners are provided with information showing that they are within close proximity to the flight path of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport. This will need to be established with the final plat for the subdivision.

(3) No development or amenities can take place within the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport.

(4) As part of the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) and/or roadway design, the need for a left turn lane on FM-1141 -- *at the proposed drive approach* -- shall be determined.

(5) Any construction resulting from the approval of this *Zoning Change* shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION

On March 15, 2022, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a motion to recommend approval of the applicant's request for a *Zoning Change* by a vote of 4-0, with Commissioners Chodun, Thomas, and Conway absent.

CITY COUNCIL

On March 21, 2022, the City Council approved a motion to table the request by a vote of 6-0, with Council Member Campbell absent. The purpose of this motion was to allow the applicant time to amend the percentage of *Flat Front Entry* garages being requested so that the percentage better conformed to the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY

PLANNING & ZONING CASE NO. Z2022-010

NOTE: *THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.*

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:

CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ MASTER PLAT ($100.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ PRELIMINARY PLAT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ FINAL PLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ REPLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ($150.00)
- ☐ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ($100.00)

SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ SITE PLAN ($250.00 + $20.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN ($100.00)

ZONING APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ ZONING CHANGE ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]
- ☐ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1 & 2]
- ☑ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) [1]

OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
- ☐ TREE REMOVAL ($75.00)
- ☐ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS ($100.00) [2]

NOTES:
[1]: IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.
[2]: A ***$1,000.00*** FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

ADDRESS	1649 FM 1141, Rockwall, TX 75087		
SUBDIVISION	ABS A0122, MB Jones, Tract 4-01	LOT	BLOCK
GENERAL LOCATION	Approximatly 1250 feet east of the intersection John King and FM 1141 South of 1141		

ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

CURRENT ZONING	Agricultural	CURRENT USE	Agricultural
PROPOSED ZONING	Planned Development District	PROPOSED USE	Single Family
ACREAGE	93.97	LOTS [CURRENT] 1	LOTS [PROPOSED] 182

☐ ***SITE PLANS AND PLATS:*** *BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.*

OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]

☐ OWNER	Mike Peoples	☐ APPLICANT	Michael Joyce Properties
CONTACT PERSON	Mike Peoples	CONTACT PERSON	Ryan Joyce
ADDRESS	1850 FM 1141	ADDRESS	767 Justin Rd
CITY, STATE & ZIP	Rockwall, TX 75087	CITY, STATE & ZIP	Rockwall, TX 75087
PHONE		PHONE	512-965-6280
E-MAIL		E-MAIL	ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED Mike Peoples [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:

"I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF $_____, TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE 14 DAY OF Feb, 20 22 BY SIGNING THIS APPLICATION, I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL (I.E. "CITY") IS AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION."

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE ON THIS THE 14 DAY OF Feb, 20 22

OWNER'S SIGNATURE

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS TEXAS

ROBERT LEE BASS
NOTARY PUBLIC
ID# 12344082
State of Texas
Comm. Exp. 06-05-2024

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 06-05-2024



City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.



Case Number: Z2022-010
Case Name: PD Development Plan for Vallis Greene
Case Type: Zoning
Zoning: Agricultural (AG) District
Case Address: 1649 FM 1141



Date Saved: 2/18/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745

Miller, Ryan

From: Gamez, Angelica
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 2:27 PM
Cc: Miller, Ryan; Lee, Henry; Ross, Bethany
Subject: Neighborhood Notification Program [Z2022-010]
Attachments: Public Notice (02.23.2022).pdf; HOA Map (02.22.2022).pdf

HOA/Neighborhood Association Representative:

Per your participation in the *Neighborhood Notification Program*, you are receiving this notice to inform your organization that a zoning case has been filed with the City of Rockwall that is located within 1,500-feet of the boundaries of your neighborhood. As the contact listed for your organization, you are encouraged to share this information with the residents of your subdivision. Please find the attached map detailing the property requesting to be rezoned in relation to your subdivision boundaries. Additionally, below is the summary of the zoning case that will be published in the Rockwall Herald Banner on *February 25, 2022*. The Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on *Tuesday, March 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM*, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 6:00 PM*. Both hearings will take place at 6:00 PM at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad, Rockwall, TX 75087.

All interested parties are encouraged to submit public comments via email to Planning@rockwall.com at least 30 minutes in advance of the meeting. Please include your name, address, and the case number your comments are referring to. These comments will be read into the record during each of the public hearings. Additional information on all current development cases can be found on the City's website: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases.

Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD
Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a *Zoning Change* from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

Thank you,

Angelica Gamez
Planning & Zoning Coordinator
City of Rockwall
972.771.7745 Office
972.772.6438 Direct
http://www.rockwall.com/planning/

This email was scanned by Bitdefender

City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.



Case Number: Z2022-010
Case Name: PD Development Plan for Vallis Greene
Case Type: Zoning
Zoning: Agricultural (AG) District
Case Address: 1649 FM 1141



Date Saved: 2/18/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745

MAUTNER ERNESTO & BEATRICE
103 N GREENPRINT CIRCLE
TOMBALL, TX 76262

BROWN LARRY MELVIN & MARSHA LIZBETH
1042 LAKE SHORE DR
MESQUITE, TX

SEE BETTY
110 WESTMINISTER
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

PEOPLES MIKE L
111 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RATLIFF JENNIFER L
123 HARKER TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ANDRULAT ALEC J AND VERONICA BITZ
124 HARKER TR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCKEE MARK D
131 MEADOWLARK CIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SQUIER NATALIE
153 MEADOWLARK CIRCLE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAMPTON ELDEN L
1530 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GRIFFITH JESSE JOHN AND SARAH KRISTIN
1578 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WHYDE KIMBERLY
1602 ENCANTO TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX

GIAMPAPA SANTO AND SUSAN
1606 ENCANTO TRL
ROCKWALL, TX

SANDKNOP LES T AND CLAUDIA J
1614 ENCANTO TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX

SHANNON STANLEY W
162 HARKER TRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DENNEY DENNIS W & LINDA L
162 MEADOWLARK CIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
1620 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
1624 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
1628 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL PROPERTY CORPORATION
ATTN BILL BRICKER
1630 WILLIAMS
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
1632 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
1636 MADRID WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HUDDIN RYAN S AND JADA
1666 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L JR AND
SHERYL NEWMAN PEOPLES
1700 STATE HIGHWAY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CITY OF ROCKWALL
1701 AIRPORT RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WAINNER MATTHEW CODY &
MELANIE RENEE HUMBLE
173 MEADOWLARK CIRCLE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SCHRAMM EDWIN W III & PATSY ANN
1895 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L
1936 HWY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BELEW THOMAS E SR
DIANNE S CURREY
2 NORMAN TRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L
2026 HWY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SALINAS HILSE S
2068 STATE HWY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GILLILAND TOMMY JOE
2098 WILLIAMS
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RANDLE SUSAN AND DERRICE
226 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAIN FAMILY PARTNERSHIP LTD
2294 ESTATE HIGHWAY 66
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MIKE
291 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL PROPERTY CORPORATION
ATTN BILL BRICKER
305 PARK PLACE BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAIN FAMILY PARTNERSHIP LTD
305 STONEBRIDGE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MIKE
333 CORNELIUS
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
361 W BYRON NELSON BLVD SUITE 104
ROANOKE, TX 76262

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
361 W BYRON NELSON BLVD SUITE 104
ROANOKE, TX 76262

DAVIS WILLIAM PAUL JR
510 TURTLE COVE BLVD STE 200
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VACLAVIK JOHN AND MARCILE
610 W COLCHESTER DR
EAGLE, ID

RILEY RODNEY H & JANET E
802 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
806 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
810 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
814 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ALDERMAN CLAUDETTE
815 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
818 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
819 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LANCASTER JAMES EVERETT JR &
GEORGIANA MARIE
820 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

RW LADERA LLC
822 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
825 BELLA CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WALLACE MARTHA G
826 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
826 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MAUTNER ERNESTO & BEATRICE
829 BELLA CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EVANS MARY E
830 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

HUCK WALTER R AND MARY A
830 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC
831 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
833 BELLA CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOPKINS TEENA
834 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

PAUL DAVID OLIVIER AND LYN DIANE OLIVIER
FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST
LYN DIANE OLIVIER- SURVIVING TRUSTOR
834 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MURPHY DON & SHARON
837 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 76262

RW LADERA LLC
837 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HENDLEY BEVERLY
838 BELLA DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
841 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC
841RIO VISTALN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOWARD EDWARD L AND BRENDA S
902 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

DELK JEANNETTE FREY
903 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

HAMBLIN DONALD ELEX AND DEBORAH LUCILLE
905 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

EASLEY PHYLLIS
909 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX

JONES BRENDA K & SAM A
910 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX

ROONEY CATHERINE
912 BELLA DR
ROCKWALL, TX

JOHN AND LYNNE MCMAHAN REVOCABLE TRUST
JOHN AND LYNNE MCMAHAN- TRUSTEES
912 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

BROCHON DELILIA A AND MARTIAL H
913 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX

G & C DAVIS FAMILY 2013 TRUST
GREGORY A DAVIS AND CAROL L DAVIS - CO TRUSTEES
916 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX

DIMISSEW SAMUEL
956 S WEATHERRED DR
RICHARDSON, TX 75080

LUKER LEO
P.O. BOX 1599
LYTLE, TX 78052

POTTER JOHN D ETUX
PO BOX 259
FATE, TX 75132

PEOPLES MICHAEL L
PO BOX 41
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PUBLIC NOTICE

CITY OF ROCKWALL
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
PHONE: (972) 771-7745
EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on *Tuesday, March 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM*, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 6:00 PM*. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Ryan Miller
Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 4:00 PM* to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,

Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning & Zoning

USE THIS QR CODE
TO GO DIRECTLY
TO THE WEBSITE

MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

☐ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

☐ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

Name:

Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

OUTSIDE OF THE CITY LIMITS IN THE ETJ

PUBLIC NOTICE

CITY OF ROCKWALL
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
PHONE: (972) 771-7745
EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on *Tuesday, March 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM*, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 6:00 PM*. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Ryan Miller
Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 4:00 PM* to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,

Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning & Zoning

USE THIS QR CODE TO GO DIRECTLY TO THE WEBSITE

MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

☐ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

☑ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

Airport landing strip is right across the street and property is in path to landing planes on runway. There was a recent plane crash on Hwy 66. ~~[illegible]~~ Also FM 1141 & hwy 66 are ~~[illegible]~~ narrow and not set up well for increased traffic.

Name: Jada Hudin

Address: 1666 FM 1141 Rockwall TX 75087

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

☐ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

☒ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

I just moved to this area in June 2021 to get away from busyness in Mesquite area. I selected a lot with tree/creek views to enjoy semi country living. There is too much development in this area of Rockwall.

Name: Kimberly Whyde

Address: 1602 Encanto Trail Rockwall, TX 75087

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

Gamez, Angelica

From: Edward Howard <edwardho@pacbell.net>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 1:21 PM
To: Planning
Cc: lillyhorn55@hoymail.com
Subject: ATTN: Ryan Miller - Case No. Z2022-010

I am writing in opposition to the proposed Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene for the following reasons.

1. My wife and I live in Ladera Rockwall (Lot 14) 55+ community just west of the proposed property. We paid a substantial premium for our lot where our patio faces east towards the proposed property. In other words, our view from the patio looks toward the trees along the existing creek. We are concerned the proposed change will possibly end up removing some trees and/or polluting the creek area with trash and debris. In the summer, when trees have leaves our view of the property is blocked. In the winter, the trees without leaves allows us to view the existing pasture property. Both seasons provide a pleasing view. We will not be happy to view a bunch of houses each winter.

2. The proposed property lies just north of the Rockwall airport directly in the flight path of takeoff and landings of numerous private planes and an occasional private jet. There have been two incidents just since we moved here in the past year or so. Building homes on this property is just asking for a bad incident with a plane crash where lives may be a risk. In the past,we lived adjacent to a private airport (Skypark) in Fresno CA where there were numerous incidents involving private planes in the flight path. Fortunately, there were no homes involved.

3. Third and possibly more important, is the question "What are you guys doing to our unique community?" Does every piece of farmland need new homes built on it. Just drive down John King and see what has happened there. Houses, houses, houses everywhere. Be kind to our small county.....

Thank you for considering my objections to your propose rezoning.

Sincerely, Edward and Brenda Howard
Phone 469-910-1770
902 Rio Vista Lane, Rockwall, TX 75087

This email was scanned by Bitdefender

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Case No. Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD for Vallis Greene

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

☐ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

☑ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

There are many housing complexes already under construction. Opposed to more at this time due to 1) can that many new houses be sold, 2) changes characteristic of the town, 3) infrastructure does not support increase in population

Name: Ernesto and Beatrice Martinez

Address: 829 Bella Drive, Rockwall

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

Zoning & Specific Use Permit Input Form

Case Number *

Please provide the Case Reference Number of the Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request that you are providing input on (Example: Z2019-001).

Z2022-010

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below: *

- [x] I am in favor of the request.
- [ ] I am in opposition to the request.

Please provide any additional information concerning your support or opposition to the request.

We need more housing inventory in Rockwall County.

Respondent Information

Please provide your information.

First Name *

Matthew

Last Name *

LaMunion

Address *

342 meredith dr

City *

Fate

State *

TX

Zip Code *

75087

Email Address *

mattlamunion@gmail.com

Phone Number

214-601-0983

Please check all that apply: *

- [ ] I live nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
- [ ] I work nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
- [ ] I own property nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
- [ ] I own a business nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
- [x] Other: I live off 66 in Fate in rockwall county.

How did you hear about this Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request? *

- ( ) I received a property owner notification in the mail.
- ( ) I read about the request on the City's website.
- ( ) I saw a zoning sign on the property.
- ( ) I read about the request in the Rockwall Herald Banner.
- ( ) My neighbors told me about the request.
- (•) Other: Facebook

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

JOHNSON VOLK
CONSULTING
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, Texas 75074 | 972.201.3100

February 18, 2022

City of Rockwall
Planning Department
385 South Goliad
Rockwall, TX 75087

RE: Vallis Greene – Zoning Application

Dear Staff,

The purpose of this letter is to formally request a zoning change for the referenced property located south of FM 1141 and north of SH 66. The subject development will consist of +/-182 single family lots on a 93.97 acre tract. After meeting with staff at a Development Review Committee Meeting, the Concept Plan included with this submittal is representative of our proposed vision for the property.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions regarding this project or application.

Sincerely,

Johnson Volk, Inc.

Tom Dayton, PE



LOCATION MAP
NOT TO SCALE



GRAPHIC SCALE

(IN FEET)
1 inch = 200 ft.

LEGEND

⦿	1" RED BOUNDARY MARKER STAMPED "G&A MCADAMS CO" FOUND (UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)
●	1/2" IRON ROD SET WITH YELLOW PLASTIC CAP STAMPED "JVC"
<CM>	CONTROL MONUMENT
FCP	FENCE CORNER POST FOUND
D.R.R.C.T.	DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS
P.R.R.C.T.	PLAT RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS



NOTES:

1. BASIS OF BEARINGS DERIVED FROM THE TEXAS STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, NAD83, NORTH CENTRAL ZONE. DIRECTION FOR CONTROL ESTABLISHED THROUGH <CM1> AND <CM2> HAVING A BEARING AND DISTANCE OF S29°53'54"E, 2,758.60'.

2. THE SUBJECT TRACT LIES WITHIN ZONE X (UNSHADED), DEFINED AS "AREAS DETERMINED TO BE OUTSIDE THE 0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOODPLAIN" AND ZONE A, DEFINED AS "SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAs) SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD" AS IDENTIFIED BY THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA), FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP (FIRM) AS SHOWN ON COMMUNITY PANEL NOS. 48397C0030L AND 48397C0035L, DATED SEPTEMBER 26, 2008 FOR ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND INCORPORATED AREAS.

3. SURVEYOR ADDRESSED ONLY THOSE ITEMS LISTED IN TITLE RESOURCES GUARANTY COMPANY'S COMMITMENT FOR TITLE INSURANCE, G.F. NO. 22135356-10GH, EFFECTIVE DATE FEBRUARY 16, 2022 AND ISSUED FEBRUARY 22, 2022. NO FURTHER RESEARCH WAS PERFORMED BY SURVEYOR.

4. VISIBLE IMPROVEMENTS/UTILITIES WERE NOT LOCATED WITH THIS SURVEY, NO SUBSURFACE PROBING, EXCAVATION OR EXPLORATION WAS PERFORMED FOR THIS SURVEY.

5. FENCES SHOWN HEREON ARE GRAPHIC ONLY AND MAY MEANDER BETWEEN MEASURED LOCATIONS.

6. THE ACREAGE TOTALS AS SHOWN HEREON ARE BASED ON THE MATHEMATICAL CLOSURE OF THE COURSES AND DISTANCES REFLECTED ON THIS SURVEY. THEY DO NOT INCLUDE THE TOLERANCES THAT MAY BE PRESENT DUE TO THE POSITIONAL ACCURACY OF THE BOUNDARY MONUMENTATION.

7. THIS SURVEY WAS PERFORMED EXCLUSIVELY FOR THE PARTIES CERTIFIED TO HEREON AND REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF THE SURVEYOR. THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OR REUSE OF THIS SURVEY WITHOUT THE EXPRESSED WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE SURVEYOR IS NOT PERMITTED. THE USE OF THIS SURVEY BY ANYONE OTHER THAN THE CERTIFIED PARTIES OR FOR ANY UNINTENDED USE WILL REMOVE SURVEYOR FROM BURDEN OF ANY DAMAGES INCURRED.

8. THE TERM "CERTIFY" OR "CERTIFICATE" AS SHOWN AND USED HEREON INDICATES AN EXPRESSION OF PROFESSIONAL OPINION REGARDING THE FACTS OF THE SURVEY AND DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A WARRANTY OR GUARANTEE, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED; AND IS EXCLUSIVELY TO THE PARTIES INVOLVED IN AND LIMITED TO THE TRANSACTION CLOSING AT THE TITLE COMPANY INDICATED HEREON.

j:\civil 3d projects\2215 - vallis green\survey\dwg\2215-title-94.133ac.dwg

SCHEDULE B NOTES:

10e. EASEMENT TO TEXAS POWER & LIGHT RECORDED IN VOLUME 33, PAGE 256, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10f. EASEMENT TO TEXAS POWER & LIGHT RECORDED IN VOLUME 33, PAGE 267, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10g. EASEMENT TO TEXAS POWER & LIGHT RECORDED IN VOLUME 33, PAGE 268, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10h. EASEMENT TO TEXAS POWER & LIGHT RECORDED IN VOLUME 40, PAGE 193, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10i. EASEMENT TO STATE OF TEXAS RECORDED IN VOLUME 45, PAGE 266, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL, COUNTY, TEXAS. (AS SHOWN ON SURVEY)

10j. EASEMENT TO STATE OF TEXAS RECORDED IN VOLUME 46, PAGE 249, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (AS SHOWN ON SURVEY)

10k. EASEMENT TO NORTH TEXAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT RECORDED IN VOLUME 54, PAGE 199, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10l. EASEMENT TO NORTH TEXAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT RECORDED IN VOLUME 54, PAGE 394, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (DESCRIPTION TOO VAGUE TO LOCATE)

10m. EASEMENT TO CITY OF ROCKWALL RECORDED IN DOCUMENT NO. 2019-4112, DEED RECORDS, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS. (AS SHOWN ON SURVEY)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72 and the M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 850, Page 15, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described as 0.64 Acre Tract in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 138, Page 106, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 190, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Michael L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a 1/2 inch iron rod found in the south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141, an 80 foot right-of-way, for the northwest corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE Easterly, with said south line, the following four (4) courses and distances:

North 83 degrees 33 minutes 49 seconds East, a distance of 62.35 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the right having a central angle of 07 degrees 20 minutes, a radius of 2,824.93 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 86 degrees 53 minutes 49 seconds East, 361.32 feet;

Easterly, with said curve to the right, an arc distance of 361.57 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

South 86 degrees 46 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 289.88 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 57 degrees 36 minutes 49 seconds, a radius of 517.68 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 64 degrees 24 minutes 40 seconds East, 498.90 feet;

Northeasterly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 520.55 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the intersection of said south line with the south line of Cornelius Road, a 60 foot right-of-way;

THENCE North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, leaving said south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141 and with said south line of Cornelius Road, a distance of 37.01 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

THENCE South 00 degrees 29 minutes 15 seconds East, leaving said south line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 300.96 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 61 degrees 44 minutes 36 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 134.73 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE North 00 degrees 05 minutes 20 seconds West, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 152.26 feet to a fence corner post found for an exterior ell corner of that tract of land described in Deed to City of Rockwall, Texas, as recorded in Volume 58, Page 191, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE North 89 degrees 12 minutes 20 seconds East, with the south line of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 657.00 feet to a fence corner post found for the southeast corner of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract;

THENCE South 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds East, a distance of 835.49 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Cain Family Partnership Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 2008-00396788, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and an interior ell corner of said Mike Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196;

THENCE South 01 degrees 35 minutes 19 seconds East, a distance of 1,090.27 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common east line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and west line of said Cain Family Partnership Ltd. tract;

THENCE South 83 degrees 16 minutes 15 seconds West, leaving said common line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 258.34 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 05 degrees 53 minutes 32 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 78.43 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

THENCE South 83 degrees 38 minutes 06 seconds West, a distance of 415.44 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common west line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and east line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE South 01 degrees 18 minutes 41 seconds East, with said common line, a distance of 334.84 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set in the north line of State Highway No. 66, a variable width right-of-way, for the common southeast corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 and southwest corner of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151;

THENCE South 89 degrees 38 minutes 42 seconds West, with said north line, a distance of 241.04 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for the southeast corner of Lot 1, Block A of LADERA ROCKWALL, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Document No. 20190000016594, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Northwesterly, with the north line of said Lot 1, the following five (5) courses and distances:

North 63 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, leaving said north line of State Highway No. 66, a distance of 347.40 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

North 29 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 384.58 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 65 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 278.64 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

South 88 degrees 32 minutes 20 seconds West, a distance of 252.79 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 37 degrees 46 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 128.78 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found in the west line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 for an interior ell corner of said Lot 1;

THENCE North 01 degrees 27 minutes 52 seconds West, with said west line, a distance of 1,699.38 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 94.133 acres of land, more or less.

SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE:

To MRJOYCE, LLC; MIKE L. PEOPLES; ALLEGIANCE TITLE COMPANY; and TITLE RESOURCES GUARANTY COMPANY:

I, Ryan S. Reynolds, a Registered Professional Land Surveyor in the State Of Texas, do hereby certify that this plat is based on an actual on the ground survey made under my direct supervision and all corners are monumented as shown hereon. Except as shown, there are no visible encroachments, conflicts or protrusions apparent on the ground.

PRELIMINARY, THIS DOCUMENT SHALL NOT BE RECORDED FOR ANY PURPOSE AND SHALL NOT BE USED OR VIEWED OR RELIED UPON AS A FINAL SURVEY DOCUMENT.

RYAN S. REYNOLDS
REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR
NO. 6385

SURVEYED ON THE GROUND: FEBRUARY 28, 2022

JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING
TBPELS: Engineering Firm No. 11962 / Land Surveying Firm No. 10194033
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, TX 75074 | 972.201.3100

WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRAT NO. 72
M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122

LAND TITLE SURVEY
94.133 ACRES
CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS



03/04/2022

SCALE:
1"=200'
One Inch

JVC No 2215

SHEET 1 OF 1

LEGAL DESCRIPTION
94.133 ACRES

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72 and the M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 850, Page 15, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described as 0.64 Acre Tract in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 138, Page 106, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 190, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Michael L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a 1/2 inch iron rod found in the south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141, an 80 foot right-of-way, for the northwest corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE Easterly, with said south line, the following four (4) courses and distances:

> North 83 degrees 33 minutes 49 seconds East, a distance of 62.35 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped “JVC” set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the right having a central angle of 07 degrees 20 minutes, a radius of 2,824.93 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 86 degrees 53 minutes 49 seconds East, 361.32 feet;
>
> Easterly, with said curve to the right, an arc distance of 361.57 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped “JVC” set for corner;
>
> South 86 degrees 46 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 289.88 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped “JVC” set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 57 degrees 36 minutes 49 seconds, a radius of 517.68 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 64 degrees 24 minutes 40 seconds East, 498.90 feet;
>
> Northeasterly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 520.55 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped “JVC” set for corner at the intersection of said south line with the south line of Cornelius Road, a 60 foot right-of-way;

THENCE North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, leaving said south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141 and with said south line of Cornelius Road, a distance of 37.01 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped “JVC” set for corner;

THENCE South 00 degrees 29 minutes 15 seconds East, leaving said south line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 300.96 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 61 degrees 44 minutes 36 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 134.73 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE North 00 degrees 05 minutes 20 seconds West, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 152.26 feet to a fence corner post found for an exterior ell corner of that tract of land described in Deed to City of Rockwall, Texas, as recorded in Volume 58, Page 191, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE North 89 degrees 12 minutes 20 seconds East, with the south line of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 657.00 feet to a fence corner post found for the southeast corner of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract;

THENCE South 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds East, a distance of 835.49 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Cain Family Partnership Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 2008-00396788, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and an interior ell corner of said Mike Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196;

THENCE South 01 degrees 35 minutes 19 seconds East, a distance of 1,090.27 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common east line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and west line of said Cain Family Partnership Ltd. tract;

THENCE South 83 degrees 16 minutes 15 seconds West, leaving said common line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 258.34 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 05 degrees 53 minutes 32 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 78.43 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

THENCE South 83 degrees 38 minutes 06 seconds West, a distance of 415.44 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common west line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and east line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE South 01 degrees 18 minutes 41 seconds East, with said common line, a distance of 334.84 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set in the north line of State Highway No. 66, a variable width right-of-way, for the common southeast corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 and southwest corner of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151;

THENCE South 89 degrees 38 minutes 42 seconds West, with said north line, a distance of 241.04 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for the southeast corner of Lot 1, Block A of LADERA ROCKWALL, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Document No. 20190000016594, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Northwesterly, with the north line of said Lot 1, the following five (5) courses and distances:

> North 63 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, leaving said north line of State Highway No. 66, a distance of 347.40 feet to a 1/2 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;
>
> North 29 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 384.58 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;
>
> North 65 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 278.64 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;
>
> South 88 degrees 32 minutes 20 seconds West, a distance of 252.79 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;
>
> North 37 degrees 46 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 128.78 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found in the west line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 for an interior ell corner of said Lot 1;

THENCE North 01 degrees 27 minutes 52 seconds West, with said west line, a distance of 1,699.38 feet to the **POINT OF BEGINNING** and containing 94.133 acres of land, more or less.



ALL LOTS LESS THAN 12,000 SF ARE LOCATED WITHIN 800 FT OF A PUBLIC OR PRIVATE OPEN SPACE.

OPEN SPACE	ACRES
FLOOD PLAIN	29.72
OTHER	13.06
TOTAL	42.78
OPEN SPACE RATIO*	
[(29.72/2) + 13.06] / 94.13 =	29.7%

*ASSUMING HALF OF FLOODPLAIN

JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING
TBPELS: Engineering Firm No. 11962 / Land Surveying Firm No. 10194033
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano, TX 75074 | 972.201.3100

CONCEPT PLAN
VALLIS GREENE
94.13 ACRES
ROCKWALL TEXAS

LOT TYPE	MIN. LOT AREA (SF)
A (100'x130')	13,000
B (82'x120')	10,000
C (72'x120')	9,000
D (72'x120')	8,400
E (62'x120')	7,200

100 50 0 100
SCALE 1" = 100'

ZONING CASE # Z2022-010

05 FUTURE LAND USE PLAN
01 LAND USE PLAN DESIGNATIONS

01.01 RESIDENTIAL

LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (LDR)

The *Low Density Residential* land use category consists of residential subdivisions that are two (2) units per gross acre or less; however, a density of up to two and one-half (2½) units per gross acre may be permitted for developments that incorporate increased amenity and a mix of land uses (*see Chapter 8, Residential Developments, of this Comprehensive Plan*).

DESIGNATION CHARACTERISTICS

1. *Primary Land Uses:* Suburban, Estate and Rural Residential (*i.e. Single-Family Detached Homes*)
2. *Secondary Land Uses:* Amenities, Parks, Open Space, and Institutional/Civic Land Uses
3. *Zoning Districts:* All Single-Family Estate (SFE) Districts (i.e. SFE 1.5, 2.0 & 4.0), certain Planned Development (PD) Districts and the Single-Family One (SF-1) District.

EXISTING LAND USE EXAMPLES

1. Breezy Hill Subdivision
2. Stone Creek Subdivision
3. Oaks of Buffalo Way Subdivision

RESIDENTIAL DENSITY CHART



MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (MDR)

The *Medium Density Residential* land use category consists of residential subdivisions that are greater than two and one-half (2½) units per gross acre, but not higher than three (3) units per gross acre; however, a density of up to three and one-half (3½) units per gross acre may be permitted for developments that incorporate increased amenity and a mix of land uses (*see Chapter 8, Residential Developments, of this Comprehensive Plan*).

DESIGNATION CHARACTERISTICS

1. *Primary Land Uses:* Suburban Residential (*i.e. Single-Family Detached Homes*)
2. *Secondary Land Uses:* Amenities, Parks, Open Space, and Institutional/Civic Land Uses
3. *Zoning Districts:* Certain Planned Development (PD) Districts and the Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District

EXISTING LAND USE EXAMPLES

1. Caruth Lakes Subdivision
2. Lago VistaSubdivision
3. Park Place Subdivision

RESIDENTIAL DENSITY CHART



HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (HDR)

The *High Density Residential* land use category may consist of single-family residential homes, duplexes, townhomes, apartments, lofts, condominiums or other forms of housing that exceed three and one-half (3½) units per gross acre. These developments should contain increased amenities and open space, and incorporate pedestrian connectivity to adjacent land uses.

DESIGNATION CHARACTERISTICS

1. *Primary Land Uses:* Suburban and Urban Residential (*i.e. Single-Family Detached, Single-Family Attached, Zero Lot Line Homes, Townhomes, Duplexes, Condominiums and Multi-Family Apartments*)
2. *Secondary Land Uses:* Amenities, Parks, Open Space, and Institutional/Civic Land Uses
3. *Zoning Districts:* Certain Planned Development (PD) Districts, Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District, Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, Zero Lot Line (ZL-5) District, Two Family (2F) District, and the Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District.

EXISTING LAND USE EXAMPLES

1. Turtle Cove Subdivision
2. Sixteen50 @ Lake Ray Hubbard Apartments
3. Mission Rockwall Apartment Complex

RESIDENTIAL DENSITY CHART



NOTE: HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL REQUIRES INCREASED AMENITY

11 NORTHEAST RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT

DISTRICT DESCRIPTION

The *Northeast Residential District* is characterized by its established low-density residential subdivisions and rural/estate style lots. This district is anticipated to be a future growth center for the City, having several large vacant tracts of land suitable for low-density, residential development. In addition, the City currently owns a large tract of land that will be a northern community park and serve this district in the future.

DISTRICT STRATEGIES

The *Northeast Residential District* being mostly an established residential district, is not anticipated to change or transition. The strategies for this district are:

1. *Estate and Rural Residential*. The maintenance of the *Estate* and *Rural Residential* housing types are important to balancing the diversity of suburban lots to large lot housing within the City. These areas also provide rural reserves for the City and create a natural transition zone to the east, towards FM-3549.
2. *Suburban Residential*. Any new *Suburban Residential* developments should include a mix of larger to mid-sized lots. Lots in these developments should not be smaller than existing *Suburban Residential* in this district.
3. *Infill Development*. Residential infill development within this district should be compatible with the surrounding structures and should generally follow the guidelines for low density, suburban housing or rural/estate housing.
4. *Neighborhood/Convenience Centers*. The commercial in this district is intended to support the existing residential subdivisions and should be compatible in scale with the adjacent residential structures.
5. *John King Boulevard Trail Plan*. A ten (10) foot hike/bike trail should be incorporated along John King Boulevard with rest stops and signage as indicated in *Appendix 'B'* of this Comprehensive Plan.



POINTS OF REFERENCE

A. Stoney Hollow Subdivision
B. Celia Hays Elementary School
C. North Country Lane Park
D. Saddlebrook Estates Subdivision
E. Resthaven Funeral Home

2 Current Suburban Residential

2 Future Suburban Residential

1 Current Rural Residential

LAND USE PALETTES

- Current Land Use
- Future Land Use

John King Boulevard Trail Plan
Rest Stop/Trailblazer Pylon

BUILD OUT
1,964
5,794

% OF ROCKWALL
3.13%
0.99%
3.10%

CURRENT
625
18
1,844



MINOR COLLECTOR	
M4U	
M4D	

COMMERCIAL	0.09%
RESIDENTIAL	99.91%
MIXED USE	0.00%

CEMETERY (CEM)	32.34-ACRES
COMMERCIAL/RETAIL (CR)	1.52-ACRES
LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (LDR)	1,658.33-ACRES
PARKS AND OPEN SPACE (OS)	178.54-ACRES
PUBLIC (P)	92.45-ACRES
QUASI-PUBLIC (QP)	3.25-ACRES

CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 22-XX

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [*ORDINANCE NO. 20-02*] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO CHANGE THE ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT TO PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT XX (PD-XX) FOR SINGLE-FAMILY 10 (SF-10) DISTRICT LAND USES ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, BEING A 93.97-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS TRACT 4-01 OF THE M. B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY *EXHIBIT 'A'* AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY *EXHIBIT 'B'*; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ($2,000.00) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City has received a request by Ryan Joyce of the Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a zoning change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and more fully described in *Exhibit 'A'* and depicted in *Exhibit 'B'* of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the *Subject Property* and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code [*Ordinance No. 20-02*] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the *Subject Property* shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District Ordinance and the Unified Development Code [*Ordinance No. 20-02*] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 2. That development of the *Subject Property* shall generally be in accordance with the *Concept Plan*, depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as *Exhibit 'C'*, which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the *Subject Property*;

SECTION 3. That development of the *Subject Property* shall generally be in accordance with the *Density and Development Standards*, outlined in *Exhibit 'D'* of this ordinance, attached

DRAFT ORDINANCE 04.04.2022

hereto and incorporated herein by reference as *Exhibit 'D'*, which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the *Subject Property*;

SECTION 4. That a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* for the *Subject Property*, prepared in accordance with this ordinance and consistent with the *Planned Development Concept Plan* described in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

SECTION 5. That development of the *Subject Property* shall be in conformance with the schedule listed below (*except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals*).

(a) The procedures set forth in the City's subdivision regulations on the date this ordinance is approved by the City, as amended by this ordinance [*including Subsections 5(b) through 5(g) below*], shall be the exclusive procedures applicable to the subdivision and platting of the *Subject Property*.

(b) The following plans and plats shall be required in the order listed below (*except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals*). The City Council shall act on an application for a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* in accordance with the time period specified in *Section 212.009* of the *Texas Local Government Code*.

(1) Master Parks and Open Space Plan
(2) Master Plat
(3) Preliminary Plat
(4) PD Site Plan
(5) Final Plat

(c) *Master Parks and Open Space Plan*. A *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* for the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, prepared in accordance with this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

(d) *Master Plat*. A *Master Plat* for the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify the proposed timing of each phase of the proposed development. A *Master Plat* application may be processed by the City concurrently with a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* application for the development.

(e) *Preliminary Plat*. A *Preliminary Plat* for each phase of the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be submitted in accordance with the phasing plan established by the *Master Plat* and shall include a *Treescape Plan* for the phase being *Preliminary Platted*. A *Preliminary Plat* application may be processed by the City concurrently with a *Master Plat* and a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* application for the development.

(f) *PD Site Plan*. A *PD Site Plan* for each phase of the development of the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify all site/landscape/hardscape plan(s) for all open space, neighborhood parks, trail systems, street buffers and entry features. A *PD Site Plan* application may be processed by the City concurrently with a *Final Plat* application for the development.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 04.04.2022

(g) *Final Plat*. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, a *Final Plat*, conforming to the *Preliminary Plat*, shall be submitted for approval.

SECTION 6. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of *Two Thousand Dollars* ($2,000.00) for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 7. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 8. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code or any provision of the City Code, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code (including references to the *Unified Development Code*), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the Exhibits hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 9. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE 4TH DAY OF JULY, 2021.

_____

Kevin Fowler, *Mayor*

ATTEST:

_____

Kristy Teague, *City Secretary*

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____

Frank J. Garza, *City Attorney*

1st Reading: *March 21, 2022*

2nd Reading: *April 4, 2022*

DRAFT ORDINANCE 04.04.2022

Exhibit 'A':
Legal Description

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72 and the M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 850, Page 15, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described as 0.64 Acre Tract in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 138, Page 106, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 190, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Michael L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a ½-inch iron rod found in the south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141, an 80-foot right-of-way, for the northwest corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE Easterly, with said south line, the following four (4) courses and distances:

North 83 degrees 33 minutes 49 seconds East, a distance of 62.35 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the right having a central angle of 07 degrees 20 minutes, a radius of 2,824.93 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 86 degrees 53 minutes 49 seconds East, 361.32 feet;

Easterly, with said curve to the right, an arc distance of 361.57 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

South 86 degrees 46 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 289.88 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 57 degrees 36 minutes 49 seconds, a radius of 517.68 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 64 degrees 24 minutes 40 seconds East, 498.90 feet;

Northeasterly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 520.55 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the intersection of said south line with the south line of Cornelius Road, a 60-foot right-of-way;

THENCE North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, leaving said south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141 and with said south line of Cornelius Road, a distance of 37.01 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

THENCE South 00 degrees 29 minutes 15 seconds East, leaving said south line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 300.96 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 61 degrees 44 minutes 36 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 134.73 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE North 00 degrees 05 minutes 20 seconds West, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 152.26 feet to a fence corner post found for an exterior ell corner of that tract of land described in Deed to City of Rockwall, Texas, as recorded in Volume 58, Page 191, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE North 89 degrees 12 minutes 20 seconds East, with the south line of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 657.00 feet to a fence corner post found for the southeast corner of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract;

THENCE South 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds East, a distance of 835.49 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Cain Family Partnership Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 2008-00396788, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and an interior ell corner of said Mike Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196;

THENCE South 01 degrees 35 minutes 19 seconds East, a distance of 1,090.27 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common east line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and west line of said Cain Family Partnership Ltd. tract;

DRAFT ORDINANCE 04.04.2022

Exhibit 'A':
Legal Description

THENCE South 83 degrees 16 minutes 15 seconds West, leaving said common line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 258.34 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 05 degrees 53 minutes 32 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 78.43 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

THENCE South 83 degrees 38 minutes 06 seconds West, a distance of 415.44 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common west line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and east line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE South 01 degrees 18 minutes 41 seconds East, with said common line, a distance of 334.84 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set in the north line of State Highway No. 66, a variable width right-of-way, for the common southeast corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 and southwest corner of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151;

THENCE South 89 degrees 38 minutes 42 seconds West, with said north line, a distance of 241.04 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for the southeast corner of Lot 1, Block A of LADERA ROCKWALL, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Document No. 20190000016594, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Northwesterly, with the north line of said Lot 1, the following five (5) courses and distances:

North 63 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, leaving said north line of State Highway No. 66, a distance of 347.40 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

North 29 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 384.58 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 65 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 278.64 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

South 88 degrees 32 minutes 20 seconds West, a distance of 252.79 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 37 degrees 46 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 128.78 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found in the west line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 for an interior ell corner of said Lot 1;

THENCE North 01 degrees 27 minutes 52 seconds West, with said west line, a distance of 1,699.38 feet to the *POINT OF BEGINNING* and containing 94.133 acres of land, more or less.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 04.04.2022

Exhibit 'B':
Survey



DRAFT
01.04.2022

Exhibit 'C':
Concept Plan



DRAFT ORDINANCE

Exhibit 'D':
Density and Development Standards

DENSITY AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.

(1) *Permitted Uses*. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, only those uses permitted within the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as stipulated by the *Permissible Use Charts* contained in Article 04, *Permissible Uses*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), are allowed on the *Subject Property*.

(2) *Lot Composition and Layout*. The lot layout and composition shall generally conform to the *Concept Plan* depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* and stated in *Table 1*, which is as follows:

TABLE 1: LOT COMPOSITION

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size (FT)*	*Minimum Lot Size (SF)*	*Dwelling Units (#)*	*Dwelling Units (%)*
A	100' x 130'	13,000 SF	9	04.95%
B	82' x 120'	10,000 SF	29	15.93%
C	72' x 110'	9,000 SF	45	24.73%
D	72' x 110'	8,400 SF	22	12.09%
E	62' x 110'	7,200 SF	77	42.31%
		Maximum Permitted Units:	*182*	*100.00%*

(3) *Variation in Residential Lot Composition*. The allocation of single-family residential lot types may deviate from the that in Subsection (2), *Residential Lot Composition and Layout*, of this ordinance provided that the maximum allowed total dwelling units does not exceed *182* units provided [*1*] a minimum of 57.69% of the total lot count be comprised of *Lot Types 'A'*, *'B'*, *'C'* & *'D'*, [*2*] a minimum of nine (9) *Lot Type 'A'* and 30 *Lot Type 'B'* lots are provided, and [*3*] a maximum of 42.30% *Lot Type 'E'* lots can be provided.

(4) *Density and Dimensional Requirements*. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, the development standards stipulated by the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as specified by Article 05, *District Development Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) are applicable to all development on the *Subject Property.* The maximum permissible density for the *Subject Property* shall not exceed *1.93* dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed *182* units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in *Table 2*, which are as follows:

TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Lot Type (see Concept Plan) ►	***A***	***B***	***C***	***D***	***E***
Minimum Lot Width [1]	100'	82'	72'	72'	62'
Minimum Lot Depth	130'	120'	115'	110'	110'
Minimum Lot Area	13,000 SF	10,000 SF	9,000 SF	8,400 SF	7,200 SF
Minimum Front Yard Setback [2], [5] & [6]	25'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Side Yard Setback	15'	6'	6'	6'	6'
Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) [2], [5] & [7]	15'	15'	15'	15'	15'
Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement	20'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Maximum Height [3]	35'	35'	35'	35'	35'
Minimum Rear Yard Setback [4]	25'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space]	2,400 SF	2,200 SF	2,200 SF	2,200 SF	2,000 SF
Maximum Lot Coverage	45'	45'	45'	65'	65'

General Notes:

[1]: Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by 20% as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the *Front Yard Building Setback*. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in *Table 1*.

[2]: The location of the *Front Yard Building Setback* as measured from the front property line.

[3]: The *Maximum Height* shall be measured to the eave or top plate (*whichever is greater*) of the single-family home.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 04.05.22

[4]: The location of the *Rear Yard Building Setback* as measured from the rear property line.
[5]: Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the *Front Yard Building Setback* and *Side Yard Building Setback* by up to five (5) feet for any property. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15-feet in width that has glass on at least 50% of each of the encroaching faces.
[6]: *J-Swing* or *Traditional Swing Garages* are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a minimum of five (5) feet.
[7]: All *Corner Lots* that back to a lot that fronts onto the same street that the *Corner Lot* sides to (*i.e. a Keystone Lot*), shall have a setback that is equal to the front setback of the fronting lot. In addition, no solid fence shall be situated within this setback.

(5) *Building Standards*. All development shall adhere to the following building standards:

(a) *Masonry Requirement*. The minimum masonry requirement for the exterior façade of all buildings shall be 90% (*excluding dormers and walls over roof areas*). For the purposes of this ordinance, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementitious fiberboard (*e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank*) in a horizontal lap-siding, *board-and-batten* siding, or a decorative pattern (*see examples below*) may be used for up to 80% of the masonry requirement; however, administrative from the Director of Planning and Zoning approval may be requested for housing plans that utilize cementitous fiberboard in excess of 80% of the masonry requirement on a *case-by-case* basis.

FIGURE 1: EXAMPLES OF CEMENTITIOUS FIBERBOARD

FIGURE 2: EXAMPLES OF BOARD AND BATTEN

DRAFT
04.04.2022

FIGURE 3: EXAMPLES OF HORIZONTAL LAP

(b) *Roof Pitch*. A minimum of an *8:12* roof pitch is required on all structures with the exception of dormers, sunrooms and porches, which shall have a minimum of a *4:12* roof pitch.

(c) *Garage Orientation and Garage Doors*. A total of 20.33% or 37 of the 182 garages may be oriented toward the street in a *Front Entry* garage configuration; however, the front façade of the garage must be situated a minimum of five (5) feet behind the front façade of the primary structure. The remaining 79.67% or 145 garages shall be oriented in a *J-Swing* (*or Traditional Swing*) configuration or be situated a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the home. In addition, all garage configurations shall have upgraded finishes that consist of the following architectural elements: [*1*] coach lighting, [*2*] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, and [*3*] driveways that are constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained finished, or salt finished [*see Figures 4-6 for examples of upgraded or enhanced finishes*].

FIGURE 4: EXAMPLES OF ENHANCED WOOD GARAGE DOOR

DRAFT ORDINANCE 04.04.2022

FIGURE 5: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED FINISHES

DIVIDED BAYS

CARRIAGE HARDWARE

CEDAR CLADDING

ORNAMENTAL PAVING

FIGURE 6: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED GARAGES

(6) *Anti-Monotony Restrictions*. The development shall adhere to the Anti-Monotony Matrix depicted in *Table 3* below (*for spacing requirements see Figures 7 & 8 below*).

TABLE 3: ANTI-MONOTONY MATRIX

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size*	*Elevation Features*
A	13,000 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
B	10,000 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
C	9,000 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
D	8,400 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
E	7,200 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)

DRAFT 04.04.22

Exhibit 'D':
Density and Development Standards

(a) Identical brick blends or paint colors may not occur on adjacent (*side-by-side*) properties along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing materials on the same side of the street beginning with the adjacent property and six (6) intervening homes of differing materials on the opposite side of the street.

(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same side of the street and six (6) intervening homes of differing appearance on the opposite side of the street. The rear elevation of homes backing to open space, Cornelius Road, FM-1141, or SH-66 shall not repeat without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:

(1) Number of Stories
(2) Permitted Encroachment Type and Layout
(3) Roof Type and Layout
(4) Articulation of the Front Façade

(c) Permitted encroachment (*i.e. porches and sunroom*) elevations shall not repeat or be the same along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of sufficient dissimilarity on the same side of the street beginning with the home adjacent to the subject property and six (6) intervening homes beginning with the home on the opposite side of the street.

(d) Each phase of the subdivision will allow for a maximum of four (4) compatible roof colors, and all roof shingles shall be an architectural or dimensional shingle (*3-Tab Roofing Shingles are prohibited*).

FIGURE 7: Properties line up on the opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.



FIGURE 8: Properties do not line up on opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.



DRAFT ORDINANCE 2022

(7) *Fencing Standards*. All individual residential fencing and walls shall be architecturally compatible with the design, materials and colors of the primary structure on the same lot, and meet the following standards:

(a) *Front Yard Fences*. Front yard fences shall be prohibited.

(b) *Wood Fences*. All solid fencing shall be constructed utilizing standard cedar fencing materials (*spruce fencing is prohibited*) that are a minimum of ½-inch or greater in thickness. Fences shall be *board-on-board* panel fence that is constructed a minimum of six (6) feet in height and a maximum of eight (8) feet in height. Posts, fasteners, and bolts shall be formed from hot dipped galvanized or stainless steel. All cedar pickets shall be placed on the public side (*i.e. facing streets, alleys, open space, parks, and/or neighboring properties*). All posts and/or framing shall be placed on the private side (*i.e. facing towards the home*) of the fence. All wood fences shall be smooth finished, free of burs and splinters, and be stained and sealed on both sides of the fence. Painting a fence with oil or latex based paint shall be prohibited.

(c) *Wrought Iron/Tubular Steel*. Lots located along the perimeter of roadways (*i.e. FM-1141, Cornelius Road, and SH-66*), abutting open spaces, greenbelts and parks shall be required to install a wrought iron or tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences can be a maximum of six (6) feet in height. The *Lot Type 'A'* lots shall be required to utilize wrought iron/tubular steel fencing.

(d) *Corner Lots*. Corner lots fences (*i.e. adjacent to the street*) shall provide masonry columns at 45-feet off center spacing that begins at the rear of the property line. A maximum of six (6) foot solid *board-on-board panel* fence constructed utilizing cedar fencing shall be allowed between the masonry columns along the side and/or rear lot adjacent to a street. In addition, the fencing shall be setback from the side property line adjacent to a street a minimum of five (5) feet. The property owner shall be required to maintain both sides of the fence.

(e) *Solid Fences (including Wood Fences)*. All solid fences shall incorporate a decorative top rail or cap detailing into the design of the fence.

(f) *Fence in Easements*. No fencing shall be constructed in or across a North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD) easement and/or an easement of the City of Rockwall.

(g) *Additional Fence Requirements*. In addition to the abovementioned fence requirements the following additional requirements will need to be met for *Locations* ❶ *&* ❷ as depicted on *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance.

(1) *Location* ❶. In Location ❶, where the *Lot Types 'A' & 'B'* lots abut an existing single-family home that has an existing six (6) foot or greater wood fence, the wood fence shall be permitted to remain on the shared property line and serve both lots.

(2) *Location* ❷. In Location ❷, where the lots abut a non-residential land use along the southern property line, a minimum of an eight (8) foot wood fence meeting the requirements of Subsection (b), *Wood Fences*, and Subsection (e), *Solid Fences (including Woods Fences*), above shall be constructed. In addition, one (1) row of

DRAFT USE ORDINANCE 04.26.22

a minimum of four (4), four (4) inch caliper Eastern Red Cedar or Leland Cypress trees shall be planted along the rear property line of each property.

(8) *Landscape and Hardscape Standards.*

(a) *Landscape.* Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*. All *Canopy/Shade Trees* planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all *Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees* shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height. All shrubs shall be a minimum of five (5) gallons in size. Any residential lot that sides or backs to a major roadway where wrought iron/tubular steel fencing is required, shall be required to plant an additional row of shrubs adjacent to the wrought iron/tubular fence within the required 30-foot landscape buffer (*i.e. on the Homeowner's Associations' [HOAs'] property*). These shrubs shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(b) *Landscape Buffers.* All landscape buffers and plantings located within the buffers shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(1) *Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (FM-1141)*. A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along FM-1141 (*outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication*), and shall incorporate ground cover, a *built-up* berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30-inches and a maximum height of 48-inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30-foot landscape buffer.

(2) *Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (SH-66)*. A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along SH-66 (*outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication*), and shall incorporate ground cover, a *built-up* berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30-inches and a maximum height of 48-inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30-foot landscape buffer.

(3) *Landscape Buffers (Adjacent to Open Space).* A minimum of a ten (10) foot landscape buffer shall be provided along streets that have frontage on open space. This landscape buffer shall incorporate one (1) canopy tree and one (1) accent tree per 50-feet of linear frontage.

(c) *Street Trees.* The Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and will be required to maintain a minimum of 14-feet vertical clearance height for any trees overhanging a public right-of-way. Street trees shall be planted a minimum of five (5) feet from public water, sanitary sewer and storm lines. All street trees shall be reviewed with the *PD Site Plan*.

(d) *Residential Lot Landscaping*. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (CO), all residential lots depicted on *Exhibit 'C'* shall be landscaped with a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the front yard. In

DRAFT ORDINANCE 04-04-22

addition, corner lots shall be required to add a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the side yard facing the street.

(e) *Irrigation Requirements*. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping located within common areas, landscape buffers and/or open space. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(f) *Hardscape*. Hardscape plans indicating the location of all sidewalks and trails shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*.

(9) *Street*. All streets (*excluding drives, fire lanes and private parking areas*) shall be built according to City street standards.

(10) *Lighting*. Light poles shall not exceed 20-feet in total height (*i.e. base and lighting standard*). All fixtures shall be directed downward and be positioned to contain all light within the development area.

(11) *Sidewalks*. All sidewalks adjacent to a street shall be a maximum of two (2) feet inside the right-of-way line and be five (5) feet in overall width.

(12) *Buried Utilities*. New distribution power-lines required to serve the *Subject Property* shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the perimeter of the *Subject Property*, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. Temporary power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the *Subject Property* to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.

(13) *Open Space/Public Park*. The development shall consist of a minimum of 20% open space (*or a minimum of 18.794-acres -- as calculated using the formula stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan*), and generally conform to the *Concept Plan* contained in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance. In addition, the developer shall be required to use the pro-rata equipment and cash-in-lieu of land fees -- *in the amounts stipulated by the Parkland Dedication Ordinance* -- to establish a public park with amenities on the four (4) acre tract of land (*i.e. Tract 13-01 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract 72*) owned by the City of Rockwall adjacent to Cornelius Road. Amenities for this public park shall include irrigation, parking, a playground, walking trails, and benches. All equipment and improvements within the public park shall be built to the specifications stipulated by the Director of Parks and Recreation, and shall be provided by the developer in conjunction with the development of the proposed subdivision. Funds provided by the developer shall not be required to exceed the fees required through the *Parkland Dedication Ordinance*. Plans for the proposed public park shall be reviewed and recommended by the Parks and Recreation Board and approved by the City Council with the Preliminary Plat/Master Parks and Open Space Plan. In the event that the design of the public park cannot be agreed up by the *Developer* and the City of Rockwall, the *Developer* shall have the ability to satisfy the fees through the normal payment method dictated by the *Parkland Dedication Ordinance*.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 04.04.22

(14) *Trails*. A minimum of a six (6) foot concrete trail system shall be constructed generally in the same location as the trail system depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance.

(15) *Neighborhood Signage and Enhancements*. Permanent subdivision identification signage shall be required at all major entry points for the proposed subdivision. Final design and location of any entry features shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*; however, the signage should be equal to or better than the representative signage depicted in *Exhibit 'C'*. The developer shall provide enhanced landscaping areas at all entry points to the *Subject Property*. The final design of these areas shall be provided on the *PD Site Plan*.

(16) *Homeowner's Association (HOA)*. A Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of *Section 38-15* of the *Subdivision Regulations* contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. The HOA shall also maintain all private neighborhood parks, trails, open space and common areas (*including drainage facilities*), floodplain areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and neighborhood signage associated with this development. These areas are required to be delineated on the *PD Site Plan*.

(17) *Variances*. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) shall apply to any application for variances to this ordinance.

DRAFT ORDINANCE 04.04.2022

Miller, Ryan

From: Gamez, Angelica
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 2:27 PM
Cc: Miller, Ryan; Lee, Henry; Ross, Bethany
Subject: Neighborhood Notification Program [Z2022-010]
Attachments: Public Notice (02.23.2022).pdf; HOA Map (02.22.2022).pdf

HOA/Neighborhood Association Representative:

Per your participation in the *Neighborhood Notification Program*, you are receiving this notice to inform your organization that a zoning case has been filed with the City of Rockwall that is located within 1,500-feet of the boundaries of your neighborhood. As the contact listed for your organization, you are encouraged to share this information with the residents of your subdivision. Please find the attached map detailing the property requesting to be rezoned in relation to your subdivision boundaries. Additionally, below is the summary of the zoning case that will be published in the Rockwall Herald Banner on *February 25, 2022*. The Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on *Tuesday, March 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM*, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on *Monday, March 21, 2022 at 6:00 PM*. Both hearings will take place at 6:00 PM at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad, Rockwall, TX 75087.

All interested parties are encouraged to submit public comments via email to Planning@rockwall.com at least 30 minutes in advance of the meeting. Please include your name, address, and the case number your comments are referring to. These comments will be read into the record during each of the public hearings. Additional information on all current development cases can be found on the City's website: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases.

Z2022-010: Zoning Change from AG to PD
Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a *Zoning Change* from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and take any action necessary.

Thank you,

Angelica Gamez
Planning & Zoning Coordinator
City of Rockwall
972.771.7745 Office
972.772.6438 Direct
http://www.rockwall.com/planning/

This email was scanned by Bitdefender

Miller, Ryan

From: Miller, Ryan
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 8:50 AM
To: ryan
Cc: 'Meredith Joyce'
Subject: Project Comments: Z2022-010
Attachments: Draft Ordinance (02.18.2022).pdf; Engineering Markups (02.25.2022).pdf; Project Comments (02.25.2022).pdf

Ryan … Attached are the project comments, draft ordinance, and engineering markups for Case No. Z2022-010. Please address these comments and have revisions returned to staff by *March 7, 2022*. The schedule for this case is as follows:

Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting Work Session Meeting: February 28, 2022
Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting: March 15, 2022
City Council [Public Hearing/First Reading]: March 21, 2022
City Council [Second Reading]: April 4, 2022

All meetings will be held at 6:00 PM in the City Council Chambers of City Hall at 385 S. Goliad Street. Please note that a representative will need to be present at all meetings for this case to be approved. If you have any additional questions please let me know. Thanks.

RYAN C. MILLER, AICP
DIRECTOR OF PLANNING & ZONING • PLANNING & ZONING DIVISION • CITY OF ROCKWALL
972.772.6441 OFFICE
RMILLER@ROCKWALL.COM
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HELPFUL LINKS | CITY OF ROCKWALL WEBSITE | PLANNING & ZONING DIVISION WEBSITE | MUNICIPAL CODE WEBSITE
GIS DIVISION WEBSITE | CITY OF ROCKWALL INTERACTIVE MAPS | UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE

NOTES
1) *APPOINTED AND ELECTED OFFICIALS*: BY *REPLYING ALL* TO THIS EMAIL YOU MAY BE IN VIOLATION OF THE TEXAS OPEN MEETINGS ACT. PLEASE REPLY ONLY TO THE SENDER.
2) PLEASE NOTE THAT ANY CORRESPONDENCE SENT TO CITY STAFF MAY BECOME PUBLIC RECORD

Miller, Ryan

From: Ryan Joyce <ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 4:44 PM
To: Miller, Ryan
Cc: Chance Schlenk
Subject: Vallis Green PD
Attachments: Vallis Green PD Mark Ups - RJ.pdf

Ryan,

Please see the attached marked up PD doc. Please add the following as requested:

1. Page 6 update concept plan with exhibit provided in separate email
2. Exhibit "D" (3) – change Type "B" lots to 30
    a. We could not add another 100' lot at the front due to elevations and sewer requirements. We proposed changing two of the Type "B" lots down to 72s to get the 20' trail access to the park area.
3. Please correct Typo in Table 2 "E" lots Minimum width to 62
4. (7) Fencing Standards (c) – please add the following notes, location 1 on the concept plan shall incorporate a 6' Wood Fence along the shared property line to the existing property, Location 2 shall incorporate a solid wood fence along the rear property line per the Southern Boundary Screening requirements in this exhibit. It shall also include a Wrought Iron / Tubular Steel Fence along the side property line adjacent to the open space. The reaming lot line shall incorporate a Wood Fence.
5. (8) Landscape Standards (b)(4) – An 8' Woodend Board on Board fence with decorative top rail shall be required along the southern shared property line. Additionally these lots shall require a minimum of four (4) – four (4) inch caliper trees of either Eastern Red Cedar of Leland Cypress to be planted along the rear of the properties. Any open space along the southern boundary shall also incorporate the 8" wooden fence and a minimum of four (4) – four (4) inch caliper trees of either Eastern Red Cedar of Leland Cypress to be planted along the shared property boundary.
6. (13) Open Space/Public Park – insert the following:

Add (A) – Developer would propose to utilize Park Land Equipment fees and Cash in Lue of Parkland Dedication fees for park enhancements for an approximate 4 acre parcel of land currently owned by the City of Rockwall adjacent to the proposed development. Improvements shall include open space, playground, multi-sport field with soccer goal, with walking trail and benches. All equipment shall be provided by the developer and total improvements shall be completed in conjunction with the adjacent lot construction. Fund to be utilized shall not exceed the total amount of park fees for the park district upon which the parcel is located. Plans for the proposed improvements shall be approved by planning and the City of Rockwall Parks Department. In the event design plans cannot be agreed upon by the Developer and the City of Rockwall, Developer shall have the ability to satisfy the requirements of Park Fees through payment of normal fees at the time required.

These should be all the issues we have with the PD.

Thanks,

Ryan

Ryan Joyce
767 Justin Rd
Rockwall, TX 75087

Mobile: 512-965-6280

M_ryan_joyce@msn.com
ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com

This email was scanned by Bitdefender

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Miller, Ryan

From: Ryan Joyce <ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 6:01 PM
To: Miller, Ryan
Cc: Chance Schlenk
Subject: FW: Vallis Greene
Attachments: 2215-VALLIS - LOT STANDARDS.pdf; 2200 - VALLIS GREENE - CONCEPT PLAN-24x36 (P).pdf

Ryan,

Please see attached as requested. We tried to get another 100' lot up front but due to sanitary restrictions and grading restrictions it doesn't really work out very well with out 12' of fill.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Ryan

MICHAEL JOYCE PROPERTIES

Ryan Joyce
767 Justin Rd
Rockwall, TX 75087

Mobile: 512-965-6280

M_ryan_joyce@msn.com
ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com

From: Tom Dayton <tom.dayton@johnsonvolk.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 3:38 PM
To: Ryan Joyce <ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com>; Jay Volk <jay.volk@johnsonvolk.com>
Subject: RE: Vallis Greene

See attached Ryan.

Tom Dayton, PE | Vice President

JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING

Please note our new address:
704 Central Parkway East | Suite 1200 | Plano | TX 75074
972-201-3100 ext. 202 (office)

682-225-7189 (mobile)
972-201-3099 (fax)
www.johnsonvolk.com

INTERNET E-MAIL CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission (and/or the attachments accompanying it) may contain confidential information, belonging to the sender, which is protected by the attorney-client privilege. The information is only for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying or distribution of any information in this transmission, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this transmission, is strictly prohibited. Any unauthorized interception of this transmission is illegal under the law. If you have received this transmission in error, please promptly notify the sender by reply e-mail, and then destroy all copies of this transmission. Thank you.

From: Ryan Joyce <ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 2:26 PM
To: Tom Dayton <tom.dayton@johnsonvolk.com>; Jay Volk <jay.volk@johnsonvolk.com>
Subject: Vallis Greene

Miller, Ryan

From: Ryan Joyce <ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 4:27 PM
To: Miller, Ryan
Cc: Chance Schlenk
Subject: Re: Vallis Green PD
Attachments: image001.jpg; image004.png; image005.png; image007.png; Draft Ordinance (03.15.2022).pdf

Ryan,

We can move forward with this ordinance. I will make a final review later this evening and let you know if any changes.

Thanks,

Ryan

Ryan Joyce
(512)-965-6280

On Mar 10, 2022, at 3:45 PM, Miller, Ryan <RMiller@rockwall.com> wrote:

Ryan … Please see the attached draft ordinance. Please review the changed language and let me know if you have any additional changes. I also need to know by tomorrow morning that you are good to move forward so that I can send out the P&Z packet. Thanks.

Ryan C. Miller, AICP
DIRECTOR OF PLANNING & ZONING • PLANNING & ZONING DIVISION • CITY OF ROCKWALL
972.772.6441 OFFICE
RMILLER@ROCKWALL.COM
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087

helpful links | City of Rockwall Website | Planning & Zoning Division Website | Municipal Code Website
GIS Division Website | City of Rockwall Interactive Maps | UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE

NOTES
1) *APPOINTED AND ELECTED OFFICIALS*: BY *REPLYING ALL* TO THIS EMAIL YOU MAY BE IN VIOLATION OF THE TEXAS OPEN MEETINGS ACT. PLEASE REPLY ONLY TO THE SENDER.
2) PLEASE NOTE THAT ANY CORRESPONDENCE SENT TO CITY STAFF MAY BECOME PUBLIC RECORD

From: Ryan Joyce <ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 4:44 PM

To: Miller, Ryan <RMiller@rockwall.com>
Cc: Chance Schlenk <Chance@michaeljoyceproperties.com>
Subject: Vallis Green PD

Ryan,

Please see the attached marked up PD doc. Please add the following as requested:

1. Page 6 update concept plan with exhibit provided in separate email
2. Exhibit "D" (3) – change Type "B" lots to 30
    a. We could not add another 100' lot at the front due to elevations and sewer requirements. We proposed changing two of the Type "B" lots down to 72s to get the 20' trail access to the park area.
3. Please correct Typo in Table 2 "E" lots Minimum width to 62
4. (7) Fencing Standards (c) – please add the following notes, location 1 on the concept plan shall incorporate a 6' Wood Fence along the shared property line to the existing property, Location 2 shall incorporate a solid wood fence along the rear property line per the Southern Boundary Screening requirements in this exhibit. It shall also include a Wrought Iron / Tubular Steel Fence along the side property line adjacent to the open space. The reaming lot line shall incorporate a Wood Fence.
5. (8) Landscape Standards (b)(4) – An 8' Woodend Board on Board fence with decorative top rail shall be required along the southern shared property line. Additionally these lots shall require a minimum of four (4) – four (4) inch caliper trees of either Eastern Red Cedar of Leland Cypress to be planted along the rear of the properties. Any open space along the southern boundary shall also incorporate the 8" wooden fence and a minimum of four (4) – four (4) inch caliper trees of either Eastern Red Cedar of Leland Cypress to be planted along the shared property boundary.
6. (13) Open Space/Public Park – insert the following:

Add (A) – Developer would propose to utilize Park Land Equipment fees and Cash in Lue of Parkland Dedication fees for park enhancements for an approximate 4 acre parcel of land currently owned by the City of Rockwall adjacent to the proposed development. Improvements shall include open space, playground, multi-sport field with soccer goal, with walking trail and benches. All equipment shall be provided by the developer and total improvements shall be completed in conjunction with the adjacent lot construction. Fund to be utilized shall not exceed the total amount of park fees for the park district upon which the parcel is located. Plans for the proposed improvements shall be approved by planning and the City of Rockwall Parks Department. In the event design plans cannot be agreed upon by the Developer and the City of Rockwall, Developer shall have the ability to satisfy the requirements of Park Fees through payment of normal fees at the time required.

These should be all the issues we have with the PD.

Thanks,

Ryan

Ryan Joyce
767 Justin Rd
Rockwall, TX 75087

Mobile: 512-965-6280

M_ryan_joyce@msn.com

ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com

This email was scanned by Bitdefender

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

This email was scanned by Bitdefender

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Miller, Ryan

From: Ryan Joyce <ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 9:28 AM
To: Miller, Ryan
Subject: RE: Ordinance

Ryan,

This is approved.

Thanks,

Ryan

Ryan Joyce
767 Justin Rd
Rockwall, TX 75087

Mobile: 512-965-6280

M_ryan_joyce@msn.com
ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com

From: Miller, Ryan <RMiller@rockwall.com>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 9:07 AM
To: Ryan Joyce <ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com>
Subject: Ordinance

Ryan … Attached is the updated draft ordinance. The only change was to the garage section to bring the garage orientation breakdown into conformance with what we talked about. Please review this and let me know by email if we are good to move forward with this ordinance for the Monday, April 4, 2022 City Council meeting. Thanks.

Ryan C. Miller, AICP
DIRECTOR OF PLANNING & ZONING • PLANNING & ZONING DIVISION • CITY OF ROCKWALL
972.772.6441 OFFICE
RMILLER@ROCKWALL.COM
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087

---

helpful links | City of Rockwall Website | Planning & Zoning Division Website | Municipal Code Website
GIS Division Website | City of Rockwall Interactive Maps | UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE

NOTES

1) *APPOINTED AND ELECTED OFFICIALS*: BY *REPLYING ALL* TO THIS EMAIL YOU MAY BE IN VIOLATION OF THE TEXAS OPEN MEETINGS ACT. PLEASE REPLY ONLY TO THE SENDER.
2) PLEASE NOTE THAT ANY CORRESPONDENCE SENT TO CITY STAFF MAY BECOME PUBLIC RECORD

This email was scanned by Bitdefender

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

April 25, 2022

TO: Ryan Joyce
Michael Joyce Properties
767 Justin Road
Rockwall, TX 75087

CC: Mike Peoples
1850 FM-1141
Rockwall, TX 75087

FROM: Ryan Miller, AICP
City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087

SUBJECT: Z2022-010; *Zoning Change (AG to PD) for the Vallis Greene Subdivision*

Mr. Joyce:

This letter serves to notify you that the above referenced zoning case that you submitted for consideration by the City of Rockwall was approved by the City Council on March 7, 2022. The following is a record of all recommendations, voting records and conditions of approval:

Staff Recommendations

(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the concept plan and development standards contained in the Planned Development District ordinance.

(2) The applicant will be required to put an avigation easement over the property to ensure that homeowners are provided with information showing that they are within close proximity to the flight path of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport. This will need to be established with the final plat for the subdivision.

(3) No development or amenities can take place within the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport.

(4) As part of the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) and/or roadway design, the need for a left turn lane on FM-1141 -- *at the proposed drive approach* -- shall be determined.

(5) Any construction resulting from the approval of this *Zoning Change* shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

Planning and Zoning Commission

On March 15, 2022, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a motion to recommend approval of the applicant's request for a *Zoning Change* by a vote of 4-0, with Commissioners Chodun, Thomas, and Conway absent.

City Council

On March 21, 2022, the City Council approved a motion to table the zoning change by a vote of 6-0, with Council Member Campbell absent.

On April 4, 2022, the City Council approved a motion to approve the zoning change with the conditions of approval by a vote of 7-0.

On April 18, 2022, the City Council approved a motion to approve the zoning change with the conditions of approval by a vote of 7-0.

Included with this letter is a copy of *Ordinance No. 22-22*, which is the regulating ordinance adopted with the City Council's approval of this case. Should you have any questions or concerns regarding your zoning case, please feel free to contact me a (972) 771-7745.

Sincerely,

Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning and Zoning

CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 22-22

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [*ORDINANCE NO. 20-02*] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO CHANGE THE ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT TO PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 93 (PD-93) FOR SINGLE-FAMILY 10 (SF-10) DISTRICT LAND USES ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, BEING A 93.97-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS TRACT 4-01 OF THE M. B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY *EXHIBIT 'A'* AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY *EXHIBIT 'B'*; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ($2,000.00) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City has received a request by Ryan Joyce of the Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a zoning change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and more fully described in *Exhibit 'A'* and depicted in *Exhibit 'B'* of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the *Subject Property* and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code [*Ordinance No. 20-02*] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the *Subject Property* shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District Ordinance and the Unified Development Code [*Ordinance No. 20-02*] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 2. That development of the *Subject Property* shall generally be in accordance with the *Concept Plan*, depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as *Exhibit 'C'*, which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the *Subject Property*;

SECTION 3. That development of the *Subject Property* shall generally be in accordance with the *Density and Development Standards*, outlined in *Exhibit 'D'* of this ordinance, attached hereto

and incorporated herein by reference as *Exhibit 'D'*, which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the *Subject Property*;

SECTION 4. That a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* for the *Subject Property*, prepared in accordance with this ordinance and consistent with the *Planned Development Concept Plan* described in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

SECTION 5. That development of the *Subject Property* shall be in conformance with the schedule listed below (*except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals*).

(a) The procedures set forth in the City's subdivision regulations on the date this ordinance is approved by the City, as amended by this ordinance [*including Subsections 5(b) through 5(g) below*], shall be the exclusive procedures applicable to the subdivision and platting of the *Subject Property*.

(b) The following plans and plats shall be required in the order listed below (*except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals*). The City Council shall act on an application for a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* in accordance with the time period specified in *Section 212.009* of the *Texas Local Government Code*.

(1) Master Parks and Open Space Plan
(2) Master Plat
(3) Preliminary Plat
(4) PD Site Plan
(5) Final Plat

(c) *Master Parks and Open Space Plan*. A *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* for the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, prepared in accordance with this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

(d) *Master Plat*. A *Master Plat* for the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify the proposed timing of each phase of the proposed development. A *Master Plat* application may be processed by the City concurrently with a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* application for the development.

(e) *Preliminary Plat*. A *Preliminary Plat* for each phase of the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be submitted in accordance with the phasing plan established by the *Master Plat* and shall include a *Treescape Plan* for the phase being *Preliminary Platted*. A *Preliminary Plat* application may be processed by the City concurrently with a *Master Plat* and a *Master Parks and Open Space Plan* application for the development.

(f) *PD Site Plan*. A *PD Site Plan* for each phase of the development of the *Subject Property*, as depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify all site/landscape/hardscape plan(s) for all open space, neighborhood parks, trail systems, street buffers and entry features. A *PD Site Plan* application may be processed by the City concurrently with a *Final Plat* application for the development.

(g) *Final Plat*. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, a *Final Plat*, conforming to the *Preliminary Plat*, shall be submitted for approval.

SECTION 6. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of *Two Thousand Dollars* ($2,000.00) for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 7. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 8. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code or any provision of the City Code, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code (including references to the *Unified Development Code*), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the Exhibits hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 9. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE 18TH DAY OF APRIL, 2022.

Kevin Fowler, Mayor

ATTEST:

Kristy Teague, City Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney

CITY OF ROCKWALL TEXAS
SEAL

1st Reading: April 4, 2022

2nd Reading: April 18, 2022

Exhibit 'A':
Legal Description

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72 and the M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 850, Page 15, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described as 0.64 Acre Tract in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 138, Page 106, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 190, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Michael L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a ½-inch iron rod found in the south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141, an 80-foot right-of-way, for the northwest corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE Easterly, with said south line, the following four (4) courses and distances:

North 83 degrees 33 minutes 49 seconds East, a distance of 62.35 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the right having a central angle of 07 degrees 20 minutes, a radius of 2,824.93 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 86 degrees 53 minutes 49 seconds East, 361.32 feet;

Easterly, with said curve to the right, an arc distance of 361.57 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

South 86 degrees 46 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 289.88 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 57 degrees 36 minutes 49 seconds, a radius of 517.68 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 64 degrees 24 minutes 40 seconds East, 498.90 feet;

Northeasterly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 520.55 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the intersection of said south line with the south line of Cornelius Road, a 60-foot right-of-way;

THENCE North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, leaving said south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141 and with said south line of Cornelius Road, a distance of 37.01 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

THENCE South 00 degrees 29 minutes 15 seconds East, leaving said south line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 300.96 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 61 degrees 44 minutes 36 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 134.73 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE North 00 degrees 05 minutes 20 seconds West, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 152.26 feet to a fence corner post found for an exterior ell corner of that tract of land described in Deed to City of Rockwall, Texas, as recorded in Volume 58, Page 191, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE North 89 degrees 12 minutes 20 seconds East, with the south line of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 657.00 feet to a fence corner post found for the southeast corner of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract;

THENCE South 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds East, a distance of 835.49 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Cain Family Partnership Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 2008-00396788, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and an interior ell corner of said Mike Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196;

THENCE South 01 degrees 35 minutes 19 seconds East, a distance of 1,090.27 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common east line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and west line of said Cain Family Partnership Ltd. tract;

THENCE South 83 degrees 16 minutes 15 seconds West, leaving said common line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 258.34 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

Exhibit 'A':
Legal Description

THENCE South 05 degrees 53 minutes 32 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 78.43 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

THENCE South 83 degrees 38 minutes 06 seconds West, a distance of 415.44 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common west line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and east line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE South 01 degrees 18 minutes 41 seconds East, with said common line, a distance of 334.84 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set in the north line of State Highway No. 66, a variable width right-of-way, for the common southeast corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 and southwest corner of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151;

THENCE South 89 degrees 38 minutes 42 seconds West, with said north line, a distance of 241.04 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for the southeast corner of Lot 1, Block A of LADERA ROCKWALL, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Document No. 20190000016594, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Northwesterly, with the north line of said Lot 1, the following five (5) courses and distances:

North 63 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, leaving said north line of State Highway No. 66, a distance of 347.40 feet to a ½-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

North 29 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 384.58 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 65 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 278.64 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

South 88 degrees 32 minutes 20 seconds West, a distance of 252.79 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 37 degrees 46 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 128.78 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G&A MCADAMS CO" found in the west line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 for an interior ell corner of said Lot 1;

THENCE North 01 degrees 27 minutes 52 seconds West, with said west line, a distance of 1,699.38 feet to the *POINT OF BEGINNING* and containing 94.133 acres of land, more or less.

Exhibit 'B':
Survey



Exhibit 'C':
Concept Plan



JOHNSON VOLK CONSULTING

CONCEPT PLAN
VALLIS GREENE
94.13 ACRES
ROCKWALL TEXAS

Exhibit 'D':
Density and Development Standards

DENSITY AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.

(1) *Permitted Uses*. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, only those uses permitted within the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as stipulated by the *Permissible Use Charts* contained in Article 04, *Permissible Uses*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), are allowed on the *Subject Property*.

(2) *Lot Composition and Layout*. The lot layout and composition shall generally conform to the *Concept Plan* depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* and stated in *Table 1*, which is as follows:

TABLE 1: LOT COMPOSITION

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size (FT)*	*Minimum Lot Size (SF)*	*Dwelling Units (#)*	*Dwelling Units (%)*
A	100' x 130'	13,000 SF	9	04.95%
B	82' x 120'	10,000 SF	29	15.93%
C	72' x 110'	9,000 SF	45	24.73%
D	72' x 110'	8,400 SF	22	12.09%
E	62' x 110'	7,200 SF	77	42.31%
		Maximum Permitted Units:	*182*	*100.00%*

(3) *Variation in Residential Lot Composition*. The allocation of single-family residential lot types may deviate from the that in Subsection (2), *Residential Lot Composition and Layout*, of this ordinance provided that the maximum allowed total dwelling units does not exceed *182* units provided [*1*] a minimum of 57.69% of the total lot count be comprised of *Lot Types 'A'*, *'B'*, *'C'* & *'D'*, [*2*] a minimum of nine (9) *Lot Type 'A'* and 30 *Lot Type 'B'* lots are provided, and [*3*] a maximum of 42.30% *Lot Type 'E'* lots can be provided.

(4) *Density and Dimensional Requirements*. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, the development standards stipulated by the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as specified by Article 05, *District Development Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) are applicable to all development on the *Subject Property*. The maximum permissible density for the *Subject Property* shall not exceed *1.93* dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed *182* units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in *Table 2*, which are as follows:

TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Lot Type (see Concept Plan) ►	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
Minimum Lot Width [1]	100'	82'	72'	72'	62'
Minimum Lot Depth	130'	120'	115'	110'	110'
Minimum Lot Area	13,000 SF	10,000 SF	9,000 SF	8,400 SF	7,200 SF
Minimum Front Yard Setback [2], [5] & [6]	25'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Side Yard Setback	15'	6'	6'	6'	6'
Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) [2], [5] & [7]	15'	15'	15'	15'	15'
Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement	20'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Maximum Height [3]	35'	35'	35'	35'	35'
Minimum Rear Yard Setback [4]	25'	20'	20'	20'	20'
Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space]	2,400 SF	2,200 SF	2,200 SF	2,200 SF	2,000 SF
Maximum Lot Coverage	45'	45'	45'	65'	65'

General Notes:

[1]: Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by 20% as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the *Front Yard Building Setback*. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in *Table 1*.

[2]: The location of the *Front Yard Building Setback* as measured from the front property line.

[3]: The *Maximum Height* shall be measured to the eave or top plate (*whichever is greater*) of the single-family home.

[4]: The location of the *Rear Yard Building Setback* as measured from the rear property line.

[5]: Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features

may encroach beyond the *Front Yard Building Setback* and *Side Yard Building Setback* by up to five (5) feet for any property. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15-feet in width that has glass on at least 50% of each of the encroaching faces.

[6]: *J-Swing* or *Traditional Swing Garages* are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a minimum of five (5) feet.

[7]: All *Corner Lots* that back to a lot that fronts onto the same street that the *Corner Lot* sides to (*i.e. a Keystone Lot*), shall have a setback that is equal to the front setback of the fronting lot. In addition, no solid fence shall be situated within this setback.

(5) *Building Standards*. All development shall adhere to the following building standards:

(a) *Masonry Requirement*. The minimum masonry requirement for the exterior façade of all buildings shall be 90% (*excluding dormers and walls over roof areas*). For the purposes of this ordinance, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementitious fiberboard (*e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank*) in a horizontal lap-siding, *board-and-batten* siding, or a decorative pattern (*see examples below*) may be used for up to 80% of the masonry requirement; however, administrative from the Director of Planning and Zoning approval may be requested for housing plans that utilize cementitous fiberboard in excess of 80% of the masonry requirement on a *case-by-case* basis.

FIGURE 1: EXAMPLES OF CEMENTITIOUS FIBERBOARD

FIGURE 2: EXAMPLES OF BOARD AND BATTEN

FIGURE 3: EXAMPLES OF HORIZONTAL LAP

(b) *Roof Pitch*. A minimum of an *8:12* roof pitch is required on all structures with the exception of dormers, sunrooms and porches, which shall have a minimum of a *4:12* roof pitch.

(c) *Garage Orientation and Garage Doors*. A total of 20.33% or 37 of the 182 garages may be oriented toward the street in a *Front Entry* garage configuration; however, the front façade of the garage must be situated a minimum of five (5) feet behind the front façade of the primary structure. The remaining 79.67% or 145 garages shall be oriented in a *J-Swing* (*or Traditional Swing*) configuration or be situated a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the home. In addition, all garage configurations shall have upgraded finishes that consist of the following architectural elements: [*1*] coach lighting, [*2*] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, and [*3*] driveways that are constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained finished, or salt finished [*see Figures 4-6 for examples of upgraded or enhanced finishes*].

FIGURE 4: EXAMPLES OF ENHANCED WOOD GARAGE DOOR

FIGURE 5: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED FINISHES

Exhibit 'D':
Density and Development Standards

DIVIDED BAYS

CARRIAGE HARDWARE


CEDAR CLADDING

ORNAMENTAL PAVING

FIGURE 6: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED GARAGES

(6) *Anti-Monotony Restrictions*. The development shall adhere to the Anti-Monotony Matrix depicted in *Table 3* below (*for spacing requirements see Figures 7 & 8 below*).

TABLE 3: ANTI-MONOTONY MATRIX

Lot Type	*Minimum Lot Size*	*Elevation Features*
A	13,000 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
B	10,000 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
C	9,000 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
D	8,400 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)
E	7,200 SF	(1), (2), (3), (4)

Exhibit 'D':
Density and Development Standards

(a) Identical brick blends or paint colors may not occur on adjacent (*side-by-side*) properties along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing materials on the same side of the street beginning with the adjacent property and six (6) intervening homes of differing materials on the opposite side of the street.

(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same side of the street and six (6) intervening homes of differing appearance on the opposite side of the street. The rear elevation of homes backing to open space, Cornelius Road, FM-1141, or SH-66 shall not repeat without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:

(1) Number of Stories
(2) Permitted Encroachment Type and Layout
(3) Roof Type and Layout
(4) Articulation of the Front Façade

(c) Permitted encroachment (*i.e. porches and sunroom*) elevations shall not repeat or be the same along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of sufficient dissimilarity on the same side of the street beginning with the home adjacent to the subject property and six (6) intervening homes beginning with the home on the opposite side of the street.

(d) Each phase of the subdivision will allow for a maximum of four (4) compatible roof colors, and all roof shingles shall be an architectural or dimensional shingle (*3-Tab Roofing Shingles are prohibited*).

FIGURE 7: Properties line up on the opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.

FIGURE 8: Properties do not line up on opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.



(7) *Fencing Standards*. All individual residential fencing and walls shall be architecturally compatible with the design, materials and colors of the primary structure on the same lot, and meet the following standards:

(a) *Front Yard Fences*. Front yard fences shall be prohibited.

(b) *Wood Fences*. All solid fencing shall be constructed utilizing standard cedar fencing materials (*spruce fencing is prohibited*) that are a minimum of ½-inch or greater in thickness. Fences shall be *board-on-board* panel fence that is constructed a minimum of six (6) feet in height and a maximum of eight (8) feet in height. Posts, fasteners, and bolts shall be formed from hot dipped galvanized or stainless steel. All cedar pickets shall be placed on the public side (*i.e. facing streets, alleys, open space, parks, and/or neighboring properties*). All posts and/or framing shall be placed on the private side (*i.e. facing towards the home*) of the fence. All wood fences shall be smooth finished, free of burs and splinters, and be stained and sealed on both sides of the fence. Painting a fence with oil or latex based paint shall be prohibited.

(c) *Wrought Iron/Tubular Steel*. Lots located along the perimeter of roadways (*i.e. FM-1141, Cornelius Road, and SH-66*), abutting open spaces, greenbelts and parks shall be required to install a wrought iron or tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences can be a maximum of six (6) feet in height. The *Lot Type 'A'* lots shall be required to utilize wrought iron/tubular steel fencing.

(d) *Corner Lots*. Corner lots fences (*i.e. adjacent to the street*) shall provide masonry columns at 45-feet off center spacing that begins at the rear of the property line. A maximum of six (6) foot solid *board-on-board panel* fence constructed utilizing cedar fencing shall be allowed between the masonry columns along the side and/or rear lot adjacent to a street. In addition, the fencing shall be setback from the side property line adjacent to a street a minimum of five (5) feet. The property owner shall be required to maintain both sides of the fence.

(e) *Solid Fences (including Wood Fences)*. All solid fences shall incorporate a decorative top rail or cap detailing into the design of the fence.

(f) *Fence in Easements*. No fencing shall be constructed in or across a North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD) easement and/or an easement of the City of Rockwall.

(g) *Additional Fence Requirements*. In addition to the abovementioned fence requirements the following additional requirements will need to be met for *Locations* ❶ & ❷ as depicted on *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance.

(1) *Location* ❶. In Location ❶, where the *Lot Types 'A' & 'B'* lots abut an existing single-family home that has an existing six (6) foot or greater wood fence, the wood fence shall be permitted to remain on the shared property line and serve both lots.

(2) *Location* ❷. In Location ❷, where the lots abut a non-residential land use along the southern property line, a minimum of an eight (8) foot wood fence meeting the requirements of Subsection (b), *Wood Fences*, and Subsection (e), *Solid Fences (including Woods Fences)*, above shall be constructed. In addition, one (1) row of a

minimum of four (4), four (4) inch caliper Eastern Red Cedar or Leland Cypress trees shall be planted along the rear property line of each property.

(8) *Landscape and Hardscape Standards.*

(a) *Landscape*. Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*. All *Canopy/Shade Trees* planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all *Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees* shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height. All shrubs shall be a minimum of five (5) gallons in size. Any residential lot that sides or backs to a major roadway where wrought iron/tubular steel fencing is required, shall be required to plant an additional row of shrubs adjacent to the wrought iron/tubular fence within the required 30-foot landscape buffer (*i.e. on the Homeowner's Associations' [HOAs'] property*). These shrubs shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(b) *Landscape Buffers*. All landscape buffers and plantings located within the buffers shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(1) *Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (FM-1141)*. A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along FM-1141 (*outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication*), and shall incorporate ground cover, a *built-up* berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30-inches and a maximum height of 48-inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30-foot landscape buffer.

(2) *Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (SH-66)*. A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along SH-66 (*outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication*), and shall incorporate ground cover, a *built-up* berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30-inches and a maximum height of 48-inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30-foot landscape buffer.

(3) *Landscape Buffers (Adjacent to Open Space)*. A minimum of a ten (10) foot landscape buffer shall be provided along streets that have frontage on open space. This landscape buffer shall incorporate one (1) canopy tree and one (1) accent tree per 50-feet of linear frontage.

(c) *Street Trees*. The Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and will be required to maintain a minimum of 14-feet vertical clearance height for any trees overhanging a public right-of-way. Street trees shall be planted a minimum of five (5) feet from public water, sanitary sewer and storm lines. All street trees shall be reviewed with the *PD Site Plan*.

(d) *Residential Lot Landscaping*. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (CO), all residential lots depicted on *Exhibit 'C'* shall be landscaped with a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the front yard. In addition,

corner lots shall be required to add a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (*as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]*) within the side yard facing the street.

(e) *Irrigation Requirements*. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping located within common areas, landscape buffers and/or open space. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).

(f) *Hardscape*. Hardscape plans indicating the location of all sidewalks and trails shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*.

(9) *Street*. All streets (*excluding drives, fire lanes and private parking areas*) shall be built according to City street standards.

(10) *Lighting*. Light poles shall not exceed 20-feet in total height (*i.e. base and lighting standard*). All fixtures shall be directed downward and be positioned to contain all light within the development area.

(11) *Sidewalks*. All sidewalks adjacent to a street shall be a maximum of two (2) feet inside the right-of-way line and be five (5) feet in overall width.

(12) *Buried Utilities*. New distribution power-lines required to serve the *Subject Property* shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the perimeter of the *Subject Property*, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. Temporary power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the *Subject Property* to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.

(13) *Open Space/Public Park*. The development shall consist of a minimum of 20% open space (*or a minimum of 18.794-acres -- as calculated using the formula stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan*), and generally conform to the *Concept Plan* contained in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance. In addition, the developer shall be required to use the pro-rata equipment and cash-in-lieu of land fees -- *in the amounts stipulated by the Parkland Dedication Ordinance* -- to establish a public park with amenities on the four (4) acre tract of land (*i.e. Tract 13-01 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract 72*) owned by the City of Rockwall adjacent to Cornelius Road. Amenities for this public park shall include irrigation, parking, a playground, walking trails, and benches. All equipment and improvements within the public park shall be built to the specifications stipulated by the Director of Parks and Recreation, and shall be provided by the developer in conjunction with the development of the proposed subdivision. Funds provided by the developer shall not be required to exceed the fees required through the *Parkland Dedication Ordinance*. Plans for the proposed public park shall be reviewed and recommended by the Parks and Recreation Board and approved by the City Council with the Preliminary Plat/Master Parks and Open Space Plan. In the event that the design of the public park cannot be agreed up by the *Developer* and the City of Rockwall, the *Developer* shall have the ability to satisfy the fees through the normal payment method dictated by the *Parkland Dedication Ordinance*.

(14) *Trails*. A minimum of a six (6) foot concrete trail system shall be constructed generally in the same location as the trail system depicted in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance.

(15) *Neighborhood Signage and Enhancements*. Permanent subdivision identification signage shall be required at all major entry points for the proposed subdivision. Final design and location of any entry features shall be reviewed and approved with the *PD Site Plan*; however, the signage should be equal to or better than the representative signage depicted in *Exhibit 'C'*. The developer shall provide enhanced landscaping areas at all entry points to the *Subject Property*. The final design of these areas shall be provided on the *PD Site Plan*.

(16) *Homeowner's Association (HOA)*. A Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of *Section 38-15* of the *Subdivision Regulations* contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. The HOA shall also maintain all private neighborhood parks, trails, open space and common areas (*including drainage facilities*), floodplain areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and neighborhood signage associated with this development. These areas are required to be delineated on the *PD Site Plan*.

(17) *Variances*. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) shall apply to any application for variances to this ordinance.